patient a toll-free telephone number to call at to complete the survey by telephone.

Patients will be asked a series of questions that will provide baseline data for the evaluation. Follow-up data on the services received, satisfaction with the treatment, and outcomes will be collected at 30 days and 6 months intervals. Survey domains include the following: Patient demographics; Buprenorphine dose over time; Items

from the short form of the Addiction Severity Index (ASI); Services being received in addition to medications; Needle-sharing and HIV status; Treatment and substance abuse history, in particular prior experience with medication-based treatment for opioid dependence; Experience, satisfaction with, and general knowledge of, buprenorphine.

A third survey will be conducted later, focusing on the clinical practice

and perceived effectiveness of buprenorphine among only those physicians who are actively prescribing the medication. A separate clearance request will be submitted for this physician survey.

The estimated response burden for the longitudinal survey of buprenorphine patients over a period of one year is summarized below.

	Number of respondents	Responses/ respondent	Total responses	Hours/ response	Total hour burden
Buprenorphine patients	800	3	2,400	.50	1,200

Send comments to Nancy Pearce, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 16–105, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Dated: April 30, 2003.

Richard Kopanda,

Executive Officer, SAMHSA.
[FR Doc. 03–11263 Filed 5–6–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Availability of the Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Summary for Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge, Monterey County, CA

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announces that a Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) and a Summary for Salinas River National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge) are available for distribution. The CCP, prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, describes how the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service intends to manage the Refuge for the next 15 years. The compatibility determinations for waterfowl hunting, surf fishing access, wildlife observation and photography, environmental education and interpretation, research, and mosquito control are also available with the CCP. **DATES:** The Final CCP is available now. The finding of no significant impact (FONSI) was signed on December 20, 2002. Implementation of the plan began after the FONSI was signed.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Final CCP or Summary may be obtained by writing to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Attn: Mark Pelz, California/Nevada Refuge Planning Office, Room W–1916, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California, 95825. Copies of the plan may be viewed at this address or at the San Francisco Bay NWR Complex Headquarters, 1 Marshlands Road, Fremont, California. The Final CCP will also be available online for viewing and downloading at http://pacific.fws.gov/planning.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Pelz, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California/Nevada Refuge Planning Office, Room W–1916, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California, 95825; 916–414–6500; fax 916–414–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Salinas River Refuge encompasses 367 acres 11 miles north of Monterey, California, where the Salinas River empties into Monterey Bay. The Refuge is part of the San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge Complex, which has its headquarters in Fremont, California. Refuge lands include a range of terrestrial and aquatic habitats, including coastal dunes and beach, grasslands, wetlands, and riparian scrub. Because of its location within the Pacific Flyway, the Refuge is used by a variety of migratory birds during breeding, wintering, and migration periods. The Refuge also provides habitat for several threatened and endangered species, including western snowy plover, California brown pelican, Smith's blue butterfly, Monterey gilia, and Monterey spineflower. Approximately 40 species that exist or are suspected to exist on the Refuge are considered sensitive by Federal or State agencies. Current recreational uses on

the Refuge include wildlife observation and photography, waterfowl hunting, and access to surf fishing.

The availability of the Draft CCP/ Environmental Assessment (EA) for 30day public review and comment was noticed in the Federal Register on Wednesday, November 14, 2001, in volume 66, number 220. The Draft CCP/ EA identified and evaluated four alternatives for managing the Refuge for the next 15 years. Alternative 1 was the no-action alternative—current Refuge management would continue. Under Alternative 2, the Refuge would focus exclusively on protecting, enhancing, and restoring natural resources and would be closed to all public use except guided tours led by Service staff. Alternative 3 emphasized improving current management through inventories, monitoring, and increasing protection for threatened and endangered species. Existing public use of the Refuge would be improved but not substantially expanded. Under Alternative 4, public use of the Refuge would be improved and expanded. Management programs for endangered species and native habitats would also be expanded and improved to minimize and offset potential effects of increased public use. The Service received eight comment letters on the Draft CCP. The comments received were incorporated into the CCP and are responded to in an appendix to the CCP. Alternative 3 was selected for implementation and is the basis for the Final CCP.

With the management program described in the Final CCP, informational signs and interpretive exhibits will be installed on the Refuge and a wheelchair-accessible trail to the Salinas River will be constructed. In addition, the existing parking lot will be improved (i.e., graded and covered with gravel). The seasonal waterfowl hunting area will be reduced by approximately 15 percent to protect roosting California

brown pelicans. All of the current management activities will continue. Some activities, such as special-status species inventories, will be substantially expanded. New management tools and techniques will include: using prescribed fire to augment mowing and herbicide use in the grassland/ shrubland habitat; conducting inventories of all habitats on the Refuge; translocating problem avian predators of the western snowy plover; and creating a geographical information system database to track vegetation and population trends. In addition, the Service will pursue a long-term lease with the State Lands Commission to manage the beach and tidelands below mean high water. Full implementation of this alternative will require increased staffing and funding.

Dated: April 30, 2003.

D. Kenneth McDermond.

Acting Manager, California/Nevada Operations Office, Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 03–11252 Filed 5–6–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310–55–P**

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [MT-070-03-1990-EX]

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental EIS for Golden Sunlight Mine, Montana

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a supplement to the 1998 Golden Sunlight Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) intend to prepare a Supplement to the 1998 Golden Sunlight EIS. This process will incorporate a revised reclamation plan based on a Montana state court decision requiring partial pit backfill.

DATES: The BLM and DEQ are seeking comments from individuals, organizations, tribal governments, and Federal, State, and local agencies that are interested or may be affected by the proposed action. The scoping comment period will start with the publication of this notice. Comments will be accepted for 30 days following publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Golden Sunlight Partial Pit Backfill Supplemental EIS, Butte Field Office, 106 North Parkmont, Butte, Montana 59701, or Montana DEQ, P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620–0901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Williams, (406) 533–7655, BLM Butte Field Office, or Greg Hallsten, (406) 444–3276, Montana Department of Environmental Quality.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Golden Sunlight Mines, Inc. (GSM) mines and processes gold-bearing ore using facilities located on public and private lands located near Whitehall, Montana. GSM has conducted mining and mineral processing activities since 1975. GSM was permitted through an Environmental Assessment (EA) to proceed with a mine expansion in 1990. Legal action following approval of the mine expansion led to a 1998 EIS and Record of Decision by BLM and the Montana DEO. Following legal action, a series of Montana District Court rulings ultimately resulted in a ruling requiring GSM to submit a partial pit backfill plan meeting the requirements of Montana law and the judgment of the Court. GSM submitted a revised partial pit backfill plan to the DEQ and BLM on December 2, 2002. The plan submittal is a revised reclamation plan for the open pit. No modifications to the ongoing mining operations are proposed under this submittal; all mining activities are continuing under the approved operating plan. The Supplemental EIS (SEIS) is intended to evaluate the proposed partial pit backfill proposal.

While public participation is welcome at any time, comments received within 30 days of the publication of this notice will be especially useful in the preparation of the SEIS. To assist the BLM in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the proposed SEIS should be as specific as possible. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments, including names and addresses of respondents, may be published as part of the SEIS. Individual respondents may request confidentiality; if you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations and businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of

organizations or businesses, will be available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: February 28, 2003.

Richard M. Hotaling,

Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 03–11249 Filed 5–5–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-\$\$-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [NM-910-03-1020-PG]

Notice of Public Meeting, New Mexico

Resource Advisory Council Meeting
AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) New Mexico Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held on June 5–6, 2003, at the Ruidoso Convention Center, Rooms 2 and 3, 111 Sierra Blanca Drive, Ruidoso, NM, beginning at 8 a.m. The meeting will adjourn between 4 and 5 p.m. both days. An optional Field Trip is planned for Wednesday, June 4. The three established RAC subcommittees will meet in the late afternoon or evening on Thursday, June 5. Location will be announced at the meeting. The public comment period will begin at 10 a.m. on Friday, June 6, and end at 12 noon.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in New Mexico. At this meeting, the topics we plan to discuss include:

Access Issues
Bark Beetle Infestation
Fire and Fuels Management Plan
Amendment/Environmental
Assessment
Stewardship Contracting

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited.