

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Foreign-Trade Zones Board****[Order No. 1277]****Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 84; Houston, TX**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following order:

Whereas, the Port of Houston Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 84, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 84 to include the Katoen Natie Gulf Coast site (72 acres) on a permanent basis and to restore FTZ status to the Bulk Materials Handling plant (97 acres) on the Houston Ship Channel, within the Houston-Galveston Customs port of entry area (FTZ Docket 36–2002; filed 9/12/02);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 59250, 9/20/02) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 84 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of May 2003.

Jeffrey A. May,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 03–12882 Filed 5–21–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****[A–337–803]****Fresh Atlantic Salmon From Chile: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review in Accordance With Court Decision**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 17, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to the remand order of the U.S. Court of International Trade (the Court) in *Marine Harvest (Chile) S.A. v. United States*, Slip Op. 02–134 (October 31, 2002). Pursuant to the remand order, the Department

refunded any cash deposits paid by Marine Harvest (Chile) S.A. (Marine Harvest) between the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and the implementation of the instructions to the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (Customs) issued after the final results of the changed circumstances review. In addition, the Department determined that the post-merger Marine Harvest was the successor-in-interest to both the pre-merger Marine Harvest and the former *Pesquera Mares Australes, Ltda.* (Mares Australes).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 22, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Constance Handley or Carol Henninger, at (202) 482–0631 or (202) 482–3003, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 5, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On August 28, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the changed circumstances antidumping duty review with respect to the antidumping duty order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. *See Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 65 FR 52065 (Aug. 28, 2000) (*Changed Circumstances Preliminary*). In those preliminary results, the Department conducted a successor-in-interest analysis and concluded that the post-merger Marine Harvest was a new entity. The Department assigned the post-merger Marine Harvest a cash deposit rate of 2.23 percent, the cash deposit rate of Mares Australes.

On August 13, 2001, the Department published the final results of the changed circumstances review. *See Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 66 FR 42506 (August 13, 2001) (*Changed Circumstances Final*). In those final results, the Department continued to find that the post-merger Marine Harvest was a new entity. The Department assigned Marine Harvest a zero cash deposit rate, which was the rate calculated for the combined entity of the pre-merger Marine Harvest and the former Mares Australes in the second administrative review. *See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty*

Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile, 66 FR 42505 (August 13, 2001) (*Salmon II*).

On October 12, 2001, Marine Harvest filed a complaint with the Court challenging certain aspects of the Department's preliminary and final results of the changed circumstances review. In addition, on March 19, 2002, Marine Harvest filed a Motion for Judgment Upon the Agency Record.

On October 31, 2002, the Court issued its remand order, in which it held that the imposition of a cash deposit simultaneously with publication of the initiation and preliminary results in a changed circumstances review, without prior notice, was not in accordance with law, and ordered the Department to refund the cash deposits in a timely manner. In addition, the Court held that the Department's determination that Marine Harvest is a new entity was neither supported by substantial evidence nor in accordance with law, and ordered that, on remand, the Department reassess its successor-in-interest analysis. *See Marine Harvest (Chile) S.A. v. United States*, Slip Op. 02–134 (October 31, 2002).

Pursuant to the Court's remand order, the Department issued the final results of redetermination on January 17, 2003. In those results, the Department determined that the post-merger Marine Harvest is the successor-in-interest to both the pre-merger Marine Harvest and to the former Mares Australes and stated that it would refund any deposits paid by Marine Harvest between the *Changed Circumstances Preliminary* and the implementation of the Customs instructions issued after the *Changed Circumstances Final*.

On March 4, 2003, the Court ordered that the Department's January 17, 2003, remand results be sustained in their entirety, and thus dismissed the case. *See Marine Harvest (Chile) S.A. v. United States*, Slip Op. 03–22 (March 4, 2003). The Court's ruling constitutes a "final and conclusive" decision in this case which is "not in harmony" with the Department's original determination. Accordingly, we have prepared these amended final results.

Amended Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

As a result of the Department's redeterminations on court remand, we have determined that Marine Harvest is the successor-in-interest to the pre-merger Marine Harvest and the former Mares Australes and have refunded any cash deposits paid by Marine Harvest between the *Changed Circumstances Preliminary* and the implementation of Customs instructions issued after the

Changed Circumstances Final. These deposits were paid on entries covered by the third period of review, for which the Department recently published its final results. See *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination to Revoke the Order in Part, and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile*, 68 FR 6878 (February 11, 2003).

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: May 15, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-12875 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-816]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 22, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jon Freed, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3818.

Background

On June 5, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan for the period June 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. See *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation*, 67 FR 38640 (June 5, 2002). On June 25, 2002, Markovitz Enterprises, Inc. (Flowline Division), Shaw Alloy Piping Products

Inc., Gerlin, Inc., and Taylor Forge Stainless, Inc. ("petitioners") requested an antidumping duty administrative review for the following companies: Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. ("Ta Chen"), Liang Feng Stainless Steel Fitting Co., Ltd. ("Liang Feng"), and Tru-Flow Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Tru-Flow") for the period June 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. On June 28, 2002, Ta Chen requested an administrative review of its sales to the United States during the period of review ("POR"). On July 24, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review for the period June 1, 2001, through May 31, 2002. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation In Part*, 67 FR 48435 (July 24, 2002). On March 3, 2003, the Department extended the time limit for the preliminary results of this administrative review. See *Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 9977 (March 3, 2003). The preliminary results are currently due no later than June 2, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), states that the administering authority shall make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the month in which occurs the anniversary of the date of publication of the order, finding, or suspension agreement for which the review under Section 751(a)(1) is requested. If it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time, the administering authority may extend that 245 day period to 365 days. Completion of the preliminary results within the 245 day period is impracticable for the following reasons: (1) This review involves certain complex Constructed Export Price ("CEP") adjustments including, but not limited to CEP profit and CEP offset; (2) this review involves complex cost issues with respect to subcontractors' costs of production; and (3) this review involves a complex affiliation issue.

Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the time specified under the Act, we are extending the due date for the preliminary results by 28 days until June 30, 2003, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The final results continue to be due 120 days after

the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: May 16, 2003.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03-12876 Filed 5-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Decision of the Panel

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, U.S. Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of decision of NAFTA panel.

SUMMARY: On April 28, 2003 the NAFTA Panel issued its decision on the re-determination on remand in the matter of Pure Magnesium from Canada, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-00-1904-06.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, U.S. Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Background Information: On October 15, 2002, the Panel issued a remand decision in the matter of Pure Magnesium from Canada, with instructions to the Department of