and recommendations to the Director, NPS, and to the Administrator, FAA, on the implementation of Public Law 106–181, on quiet aircraft technology, on other measures that might accommodate interests to visitors to national parks, and, at the request of the Director and Administrator, on safety, environmental, and other issues related to commercial air tour operations over national parks or tribal lands.

On March 12, 2001, the FAA and NPS announced the establishment of the NPOAG (48 FR 14429). Current members of the NPOAG are Heidi Williams (general aviation), David Kennedy, Richard Larew, and Alan Stephens (commercial air tour operations), Chip Dennerlein, Charles Maynard, Steve Bosak, and Susan Gunn (environmental interests), and Germaine White and Richard Deertrack (Indian tribes).

The first meeting of the advisory group was held August 28–29, 2001, in Las Vegas, Nevada; the second meeting was held October 4–5, 2002, in Tusayan, Arizona.

Agenda for the October 2003 Meeting

As a tentative agenda, the NPOAG will review the status of the AMTP process to date, the data acquisition and analysis process (Hawaii Volcanoes National Park and Zion studies), receive an update on quiet technology, and discuss the status of interim operating authority for air tour operators. A final agenda will be available the day of the meeting.

Attendance at the Meeting

Although this is not a public meeting, interested persons may attend. Because seating is limited, if you plan to attend, please contact one of the persons listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT so that meeting space may accommodate your attendance.

Record of the Meeting

If you cannot attend the meeting, a summary record of the meeting will be made available by the Office of Rulemaking (ARM), 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591. Contact is Linda Williams (202) 267–9683, or *linda.l.williams@faa.gov*.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 16, 2003.

David E. Cann.

Acting Director, Flight Standards Service. [FR Doc. 03–24139 Filed 9–18–03; 12:01 pm] BILLING CODE 4910–31–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 03–04–C–00–YNG To Impose and Use Excess Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Youngstown-Warren Regional Airport, Youngstown, Ohio

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the excess revenue from a PFC at Younstown-Warren Regional Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 22, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Detroit Airports District Office, 11677 South Wayne Road, Suite 107, Romulus, Michigan 48174.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Steve Bower of the Western Reserve Port Authority at the following address: 1453 Youngstown-Kingsville Road, NE., Vienna, OH 44473–9797.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Western Reserve Port Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jason K. Watt, Program Manager, Detroit Airports District Office, 11677 South Wayne Road, Suite 107, Romulus, Michigan, (734) 229–2906. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the excess revenue from a PFC at Youngstown-Warren Regional Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On September 3, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and uses the excess revenue from a PFC submitted by Western Reserve Port Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than December 3, 2003.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Total excess PFC revenue: \$36,163 Brief description of proposed projects: Runway safety area modifications and terminal sanitary sewer, passenger facility charge administration.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Western Reserve Port Authority.

Issued in Des Plains, Illinois, on September 11, 2003.

Barbara J. Jordan,

Acting Manager, Planning and Programming Branch, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 03–24144 Filed 9–18–03; 12:01 pm] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Denial of Motor Vehicle Defect Petition, DP03-003

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Denial of petition for a defect investigation.

SUMMARY: This notice describes the reasons for denying a petition (DP03–003) submitted to NHTSA under 49 U.S.C. 30162, requesting that the agency conduct a "Petition Analysis * * * specific to problems of Vehicle Speed Control linkages which results [sic] in sudden, unexpected excessive acceleration even though there is no pressure applied to the accelerator pedal."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Young, Office of Defects Investigation (ODI), NHTSA; 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–4806.

supplementary information: In a petition dated April 25, 2003, Mr. Peter Boddaert requested NHTSA to conduct a Petition Analysis "covering Lexus cars, model years 1997 to 2000, model series 300 & 400." Mr. Boddaert, made this request after experiencing at least three events involving alleged unintended engine speed increase in his model year (MY) 1999 Lexus LS 400. The third of these resulted in a crash when his vehicle rear-ended another stopped at a traffic light. According to the petitioner, his Lexus was inspected by multiple dealers, and no mechanical