Rules and Regulations

Federal Register Vol. 68, No. 183 Monday, September 22, 2003

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 993

[Docket No. FV03-993-1 FIR]

Dried Prunes Produced in California; Changes in Reporting Requirements

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture (USDA) is adopting, as a final rule, without change, an interim final rule changing the reporting requirements currently prescribed under the California dried prune marketing order (order). The order regulates the handling of dried prunes produced in California and is administered locally by the Prune Marketing Committee (Committee). This rule continues to allow California prune handlers to report their shipments quarterly, rather than monthly, and to no longer report export shipment destination countries. Also, handlers will continue to report type of pack as "bulk and consumer pack" to reveal less marketing information. This action will continue the reduced information collection burden upon handlers, while still enabling the Committee to collect information necessary for program administration.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 22, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Van Diest, Marketing Specialist, California Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 2202 Monterey Street, suite 102B, Fresno, California 93721; telephone: (559) 487–5901, Fax: (559) 487–5906; or George Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; telephone: (202) 720–2491, or Fax: (202) 720–8938.

Small businesses may request information on complying with this regulation by contacting Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; telephone (202) 720– 2491, Fax: (202) 720–8938, or E-mail: Jay.Guerber@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule is issued under Marketing Agreement and Order No. 993 (7 CFR part 993), both as amended, regulating the handling of dried prunes produced in California, hereinafter referred to as the "order." The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the "Act."

USDA is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with USDA a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, USDA would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review USDA's ruling on the petition, provided an action is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

This rule continues in effect modifications to language in the order's administrative rules and regulations to allow California prune handlers to

report their shipments quarterly, rather than monthly. Also, handlers will continue to report their export market shipments to the Committee by region, rather than by country. The amount of information disseminated by the Committee is also reduced. The Committee is no longer reporting the export shipments to the industry by country, and the regions that handlers ship into is only reported once a year, when the marketing policy is prepared. Also, the reporting of type of pack is changed from "carton, visipak, and other" to "bulk and consumer pack". These changes reduce the information collection burden upon handlers and the Committee's administrative costs because of the switch to quarterly distribution. This action was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a meeting on April 3, 2003.

Marketing Order Authority

Section 993.72 of the order provides authority for the Committee to require handlers to file such reports of acquisitions, sales, uses, and shipments of prunes, as may be requested by the Committee. Also, pursuant to § 93.36(c), one of the Committee's duties is to assemble data on the producing, handling, shipping, and marketing conditions relative to prunes in connection with the performance of its official duties. To prevent the release of proprietary business information, the information from all of the handlers is totaled and then distributed.

Administrative Rules and Regulations

Prior to implementation of the interim final rule, § 993.172 required handlers to report each month on their holdings, receipts, uses, and shipments of prunes produced in California.

Paragraph (d) of § 993.172 requires handlers to report shipments of dried prunes produced in California. This information is reported on PMC Form 12.1, "Report of Shipments," and an addendum to that form referred to as PMC Form 12.1A, "Cumulative Prune Export Shipments."

Prior to the implementation of the interim final rule, each handler was required to file with the Committee for each month, not later than the 5th working day of the next succeeding month, Forms PMC 12.1 and 12.1A, reporting shipments (including cumulative exports by country) of prunes during the crop year through the last day of the immediately preceding month. PMC Form 12.1 was required to contain at least the following information:

(1) The date, the name, and address of the handler, and the period covered by the report;

(2) The pounds of prunes shipped or otherwise disposed of, other than shipments to or for the account of other handlers as follows: (i) Domestic outlets segregated by uses (including Federal Government agencies); (ii) export markets segregated by countries; (iii) both domestic and export totals segregated by type of pack (carton, visipak, and other); and (iv) pitted prunes (pitted weight) segregated as to total to domestic outlets and total to export markets;

(3) The total pounds shipped to or for the account of other handlers, including interhandler transfers; and

(4) The total pounds of prunes not covered by, or excluded from, the definition of the term "prunes" (§ 993.5) shipped.

PMC Form 12.1A included a listing of the quantities of whole and pitted prunes exported together with the countries to which the exports were made.

Recommended Action

Based upon competition concerns, the Committee unanimously recommended changing the frequency and amount of information that is required to be reported by handlers. This rule continues to allow the Committee to obtain the information it needs for program purposes, and continues to permit California prune handlers to file their Shipment Reports on a quarterly, rather than monthly basis.

Handlers are no longer reporting their export shipment destination countries to the Committee. Instead handlers are reporting the regions into which they ship. The amount of information disseminated by the Committee continues to be reduced. The Committee is no longer reporting the countries to which the industry exports, but only the total export shipments (except that total export shipments into regions is reported annually for marketing policy purposes). The reporting of type of pack is changed from "carton, visipak, and other" to "bulk and consumer pack" to reveal less marketing information.

By distributing the Shipment Report quarterly, instead of monthly, and revising the report's format to provide less detailed information, the Committee reduces the amount of marketing information it is releasing. However, this information still satisfies the Committee's need for information to prepare the marketing policy, verify compliance, monitor the accuracy of handler reports, justify government purchases or supply control recommendations, and to help the industry develop their marketing programs and evaluate USDA Market Access Program applications. At least once a year, export shipments by region are reported for the entire crop year. During the remainder of the year, the Committee may only report total export shipments.

These recommendations by the Committee reduce the reporting burden on California prune handlers as well as help address some of the marketing concerns of the industry and Committee. These changes also reduce some of the Committee's administrative costs in disseminating this information quarterly, rather than monthly. Accordingly, appropriate changes are continued in paragraph (d) of § 993.172.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis and the Paperwork Reduction Act

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this final regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

Industry Profile

There are approximately 1,205 producers of dried prunes in the production area and approximately 21 handlers subject to regulation under the marketing order. Small agricultural producers are defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.201) as those having annual receipts of less than \$750,000 and small agricultural service firms are defined as those having annual receipts of less than \$5,000,000.

Currently 8 of the 21 handlers (38 percent) shipped over \$5,000,000 worth of dried prunes and could be considered large handlers by the Small Business Administration. Thirteen of the 21 handlers (62 percent) shipped less than \$5,000,000 worth of dried prunes and could be considered small handlers. An

estimated 32 producers, or less than 3 percent of the 1,205 total producers, will be considered large growers with annual incomes over \$750,000. The majority of handlers and producers of California dried prunes may be classified as small entities.

Summary of Rule Change

This rule continues to change the reporting requirements specified in § 993.172(d) of the administrative rules and regulations regarding the reporting of dried prune shipments by handlers. This rule continues to allow the California prune handlers to file their Shipment Reports quarterly, rather than monthly. Also handlers are no longer reporting their export market shipments to the Committee by country, but by region. The amount of information disseminated by the Committee continues to be reduced. The Committee is no longer reporting export shipments by country, but only in total (except that total export shipments into regions are reported annually to enable the Committee to prepare its marketing policy). The reporting of type of pack is changed from "carton, visipak, and other" to "bulk and consumer pack".

Impact of Regulation

Regarding the impact of this rule on affected entities, this action continues the reduced reporting and recordkeeping burden on California prune handlers and continues the reduction in the Committee's administrative costs. The Committee estimates that 21 California prune handlers are required to file the Supply and Disposition reports each month. It is estimated that it takes each handler about 20 minutes to complete each revised PMC Form 12.1, and about 20 minutes to complete each revised PMC Form 12.1A. In comparison it is estimated that previously each handler needed about 30 minutes to complete each PMC Form 12.1, and about 35 minutes to complete each PMC Form 12.1A. Thus, completion of the revised reports takes 10 minutes less, and 15 minutes less, respectively, than was required for each of the reports previously. The total annual industry reporting burden for the current PMC Form 12.1 was 120 hours, and for the PMC Form 12.1A was 139 hours, for a combined total of 259 hours. The total burden hours for the revised PMC Forms 12.1 and 12.1A is 28 hours each, for a combined total of 55 hours. These changes thereby reduce the annual industry information collection burden by 204 hours. Committee costs are also reduced because the report is compiled

and distributed quarterly, rather than monthly.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the information collection burden reduction contained in this rule has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget. This action reduces existing approved burden requirements which have been assigned OMB No. 0581–0178. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies. In addition, as noted in the initial regulatory flexibility analysis, USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this rule.

Alternatives Considered

The Committee considered alternatives to this action at meetings on March 11, April 2, and April 3, 2003. The Executive Subcommittee and Committee discussed the possibility of eliminating all reporting, but determined that this was not viable because it needs certain information to prepare its marketing policy and for other decision-making. Some industry leaders also felt that the statistics are important for grower, handler, and bargaining association decisions that need to be made each year. Finally, the Executive Subcommittee and Committee discussed disseminating the information only to members and alternates of the Committee, its subcommittees, and to California prune handlers. Ultimately, the Executive Subcommittee and Committee decided to proceed with the changes in shipment reporting requirements to reduce the frequency of the reports, and to reduce the amount of information reported to and disseminated by the Committee.

The Executive Subcommittee's March 11 and April 2, 2003, meetings and the Committee's April 3, 2003, meeting where this issue was deliberated were public and widely publicized throughout the prune industry. All interested persons were invited to attend the meetings and participate in the industry's deliberations. All entities, both large and small, were able to express their views on this issue at the meetings.

An interim final rule concerning this action was published in the **Federal Register** on June 24, 2003. Copies of the rule were provided by the Committee's staff to all who attended a June 26, 2003, Committee meeting. In addition, the rule was made available through the Internet by the Office of the Federal Register and USDA. That rule provided for a 60-day comment period, which ended August 25, 2003. No comments were received.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/ fv/moab.html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the Committee's recommendation, and other information, it is found that finalizing the interim final rule, without change, as published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 37391, June 24, 2003) will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 993

Marketing agreements, Plums, Prunes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

PART 993—DRIED PRUNES IN CALIFORNIA

■ Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 993 which was published in the **Federal Register** at 68 FR 37391 on June 24, 2003, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: September 16, 2003.

Kenneth C. Clayton,

Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03–24099 Filed 9–18–03; 12:01 pm] BILLING CODE 3410–02–U

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

12 CFR Part 11

[Docket No. 03-23]

RIN 1557-AC75

Electronic Filing and Disclosure of Beneficial Ownership Reports

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Interim rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) is issuing this interim rule, with a request for comments, to amend our rules, policies, and procedures to require the electronic filing of beneficial ownership reports by officers, directors, and major shareholders of national banks that have equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (registered national banks).

This interim rule also requires that all reports required to be filed with the OCC under section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) must be filed electronically and posted on a registered national bank's Web site, if it has one, as soon as practicable. This rule clarifies procedures for officers, directors, and principal shareholders of registered national banks to comply with these mandated electronic filing requirements.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This rule is effective on September 22, 2003.

Compliance Date: To provide for an orderly transition to using a new interagency electronic filing system, FDIC*connect,* for section 16(a) filings, the OCC will not enforce the mandatory filing requirement or the Web-site posting requirement until beginning with reports required to be filed on or after January 1, 2004. Until that date, the OCC expects that persons filing 16(a) reports will, as instructed by the OCC, begin making electronic filings, as soon as practicable, and any such electronic filings will be posted on a registered national bank's Web site, if it has one.

Comment Date: Comments must be received by November 21, 2003. ADDRESSES: You should direct comments to the Public Information Room, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Mailstop 1–5, Attention: Docket No. 03-23, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219. Due to delays in paper mail delivery in the Washington, DC, area, commenters are encouraged to submit comments by fax or e-mail. Comments may be sent by fax to (202) 874-4448, or by e-mail to regs.comments@occ.treas.gov. You can inspect and photocopy the comments at the OCC's Public Information Room, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20219. You can make an appointment to inspect the comments by calling (202) 874-5043.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Asa Chamberlayne, Counsel, Securities and Corporate Practices Division, 202–874–5210, or Martha Vestal Clarke, Counsel, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, 202–874–5090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Exchange Act seeks to protect investors by requiring accurate, reliable, and timely corporate securities disclosures. Generally, companies with equity securities that are subject to the