Comments: Comments are invited on (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: March 7, 2003.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 03–5959 Filed 3–12–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension:

Regulation S–P; SEC File No. 270–480; OMB Control No. 3235–0537.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

• Regulation S–P—Privacy of Consumer Financial Information

On June 22, 2000, effective November 13, 2000, the Commission adopted Regulation S–P under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") to implement title V of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("G–L–B Act" or "Act"). Among other things, title V of the G–L–B Act requires that at the time of establishing a customer relationship with a consumer and not less than annually during the continuation of such relationship, a financial institution shall provide a clear and conspicuous

disclosure to such consumer of such financial institution's policies and practices with respect to disclosing nonpublic personal information to affiliates and nonaffiliated third parties ("privacy notice"). Title V of the Act also provides that, unless an exception applies, a financial institution may not disclose nonpublic personal information of a consumer to a nonaffiliated third party unless the financial institution clearly and conspicuously discloses to the consumer that such information may be disclosed to such third party; the consumer is given the opportunity, before the time that such information is initially disclosed, to direct that such information not be disclosed to such third party; and the consumer is given an explanation of how the consumer can exercise that nondisclosure option ("opt out notice").

The privacy notices required by the Act are mandatory. The opt out notices are not mandatory for financial institutions that do not share nonpublic personal information with nonaffiliated third parties except as permitted under an exception to the statute's opt out provisions. Regulation S-P implements the statute's requirements with respect to broker-dealers, investment companies, and registered investment advisers ("covered entities"). The Act and Regulation S-P also contain consumer reporting requirements. In order for consumers to opt out, they must respond to opt out notices. At any time during their continued relationship, consumers have the right to change or update their opt out status. Most covered entities do not share nonpublic personal information with nonaffiliated third parties and therefore are not required to provide opt out notices to consumers under Regulation S-P. Therefore, few consumers are required to respond to opt out notices under the rule.

Compliance with Regulation S-P is necessary for covered entities to achieve compliance with the consumer financial privacy notice requirements of title V of the G_L–B Act. The required consumer notices are not submitted to the Commission. Because the notices do not involve a collection of information by the Commission, Regulation S-P does not involve the collection of confidential information. Regulation S-P does not have a record retention requirement per se, although the notices to consumers it requires are subject to the recordkeeping requirements of rules 17a-3 and 17a-4.

Currently, there are approximately 18,500 covered entities (approximately 5,600 broker-dealers that conduct business with the general public, 5,100

investment companies, and 7,800 registered investment advisers) that must prepare or revise the annual and initial privacy notices they provide to their customers. To prepare or revise their privacy notices, each of the approximately 10,700 covered entities that is a broker-dealer or investment company requires an estimated 40 hours at a cost of \$5,248 (32 hours of professional time at \$160 per hour plus 8 hours of clerical or administrative time at \$16 per hour) and each of the approximately 7,800 covered entities that is a registered investment adviser requires an estimated 5 hours at a cost of \$656 (4 hours of professional time at \$160 per hour plus 1 hour of clerical or administrative time at \$16 per hour). Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 740,000 hours (40 hours for 10,700 broker-dealers and investment companies, and 5 hours for 7,800 registered investment advisers (40 × $10,700 = 428,000, 5 \times 7,800 = 39,000,$ and 428,000 + 39,000 = 467,000), and $$57,401,600 ($5,248 \times 10,700 =$ $$56,153,600, $160 \times 7,800 = $1,248,000,$ and \$56,153,600 + \$1,248,000 =\$57,401,600).

It is not anticipated that covered entities will need to incur any capital or start-up cost to comply with Regulation S–P. However, covered entities generally will include initial and annual privacy notices to customers with disclosure documents or account statements that they currently receive. These statements typically are assembled and sent by organizations that specialize in mailing and distribution. The additional material might result in an increase in total annual distribution costs of approximately \$2.6 million for all covered entities. This estimate is based on an average additional cost per mailing of \$0.02 for 130.7 million investor accounts. The number of investor accounts assumes there are 53 million brokerage accounts, 77.3 million individual investment company shareholders, and 400,000 customers of investment advisers.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or

other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate Executive Director/CIO, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: March 6, 2003.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-5992 Filed 3-12-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–47450; File No. SR–Amex–2003–02]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the American Stock Exchange LLC Relating to an Extension of the Interim Intermarket Linkage Program

March 5, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on January 27, 2003, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in items I and II below, which items have been prepared by the Amex. The Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change on March 4, 2003.3 The Exchange filed the proposed rule change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act,4 and rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,5 which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to extend until January 31, 2003, the pilot program providing for the implementation of "interim linkages" with the other option exchanges.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to request an extension of the "interim" intermarket options linkage.6 Currently, the Exchange is operating the interim linkage on a pilot basis pursuant to Amex rule 940. The interim linkage utilizes the Exchange's existing systems to facilitate the sending and receiving of order flow between Amex specialists and their counterparts on the other option exchanges as an interim step towards development of a permanent linkage in the options market.7 The Exchange now proposes that the interim linkage remain in effect on a pilot basis until January 31, 2003.

For the reasons stated above, the Amex requests an extension of the pilot program until January 31, 2003.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act,⁸ in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5),⁹ in particular, because it should prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Amex does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change: (1) Does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (2) does not impose any significant burden on competition; (3) does not become operative for 30 days from the date of filing, or such shorter date as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest; and (4) the Exchange provided the Commission with notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five days prior to the filing date, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act 10 and rule 19b-4(f)(6) 11 thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under rule 19b–4(f)(6) 12 does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Amex has requested, in order to permit the uninterrupted operation of the interim linkage, that the Commission accelerate the implementation of the proposed rule change so that it may take effect prior to the 30 days specified in

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See letter to Jennifer Lewis, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, from Jeffrey P. Burns, Assistant General Counsel, Amex, dated March 4, 2003 ("Amendment No. 1"). In Amendment No. 1, Amex proposes that the extension of its interim linkage expire on January 31, 2003.

^{4 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). The Amex requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay. The Amex provided the Commission with notice of its intention to file this proposal on January 22, 2002

⁶ On January 31, 2002, the Commission extended the Exchange's Interim Linkage until December 31, 2002. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45373 (January 31, 2002), 67 FR 5860 (February 7, 2002) (File No. SR–Amex–2002–03). The Commission previously approved the Interim Linkage, on a pilot basis, for all options exchanges. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 43904 (January 30, 2001), 66 FR 9112 (February 6, 2001) (File Nos. SR–ISE–00–15 and SR–CBOE–00–58); 43986 (February 20, 2001), 66 FR 12578 (February 27, 2001) (File No. SR–PCX–2001–10); 44271 (May 7, 2001), 66 FR 26887 (May 15, 2001) (File No. SR–Amex–2001–20); and 44311 (May 16, 2001), 66 FR 28768 (May 24, 2001) (File No. SR–Phlx–2001–52).

⁷ The Commission approved the Plan for the Purpose of Creating and Operating an Intermarket Options Linkage in July 2000. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 43086 (July 28, 2000), 65 FR 48023 (August 4, 2000).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

^{10 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

^{11 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

^{12 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).