Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Request for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to: Jim Boney, U.S. & Foreign Commercial Service, Export Promotion Services, Room 2116, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; Phone number: (202) 482–0146, and fax number: (202) 482–0115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The International Trade Administration's International Buyer Program (IBP) encourages international buvers to attend selected domestic trade shows in high export potential industries and to facilitate contact between U.S. exhibitors and foreign visitors. The program has been successful, having substantially increased the number of foreign visitors attending these selected shows as compared to the attendance when not supported by the program. The number of shows selected to the program increased from 10 in FY 1986 to 28 in FY 2001 and will increase to 32 shows in FY 2004. Among the criteria used to select these shows are: export potential, international interest, scope of show, stature of show, exhibitor interest, overseas marketing, logistics, and cooperation of show organizers.

II. Method of Collection

Form ITA-4014P, Exhibitor Data, is used to determine which U.S. firms are interested in meeting with international business visitors and the overseas business interest of the exhibitors. The exhibitor data form is completed by U.S. exhibitors participating in an IBP domestic trade show and is used to list the firm and its products in and Export Interest Directory which is distributed to international buyer delegation members visiting the event and made available for use by Foreign Commercial Officers in recruiting delegations of international buyers to attend the show.

The Form ITA-4102P, Application, is used by potential show organizers to demonstrate (1) their experience, (2) ability to meet the special conditions of the IBP, and (3) provide information about the domestic trade show such as the number of U.S. exhibitors and the percentage of net exhibit space occupied by U.S. companies vis-a-vis non-U.S. exhibitors.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625–0151.

Form Number: ITA–4014P and ITA–4102P.

Type of Review: Regular.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 6,470.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 minutes and 180 minutes (Avg.).

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,277 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: \$63.267.

The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$63,267 (\$44,683 for respondents and \$18,584 for federal government employees).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 18, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–26661 Filed 10–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–EP–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-855]

Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the final results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain nonfrozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China. The period of review for the new shipper review of Yantai Golden Tide Fruits & Vegetable Food, Co., Ltd. is June 1, 2002 through November 30, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 22, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Audrey R. Twyman or John Brinkmann, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement I, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3534 or (202) 482–4126, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 23, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") issued the preliminary results of the new shipper review for certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for Yantai Golden Tide Fruits & Vegetable Food, Co., Ltd. ("Golden Tide"), covering June 1, 2002 through November 30, 2002. See Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review, 68 FR 44741 (July 30, 2003) ("Preliminary Results"). The final results are currently due no later than October 21, 2003.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the new shipper review preliminary result is issued. However, if the case is extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend this deadline for the final results of the new shipper review to a maximum of 150 days.

Postponement

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to issue the final results within the original time period. This case has become extraordinarily complicated in light of case events. In particular, verification in the PRC that was originally scheduled to occur earlier in the proceeding was delayed due to restrictions on travel to the PRC. The additional time is required in order to allow parties adequate time to comment on the findings of the verification and to comment on the Department's preliminary results. Also, additional time is necessary to analyze data used in the calculation of normal value. Therefore, in accordance with

section 751 (a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, we are postponing the final results of this new shipper review for 145 days, until no later than December 15, 2003.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 777(i)(1) and 751(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 16, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–26677 Filed 10–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570–855]

Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Second Administrative Review and New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the final results of the second administrative review and new shipper review of Gansu Tongda Fruit Juice and Beverage Co., Ltd. of the antidumping duty order on certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China. Gansu Tongda Fruit Juice and Beverage Co., Ltd. agreed to waive the time limits for the new shipper review in order to align the schedule with the annual administrative review overlapping the same time period, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3). The period of review for the second review and new shipper review is June 1, 2001 through May 31, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 22, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey R. Twyman or John Brinkmann, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement I, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3534 or (202) 482–4126, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 7, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review and new shipper review for Gansu Tongda Fruit Juice and Beverage Co., Ltd. ("Gansu Tongda") for certain non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering June 1, 2002 through May 31, 2002. See Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of 2001–2002 Administrative Review and New Shipper Review, and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review, 68 FR 40244 (July 7, 2003) ("Preliminary Results"). The final results are currently due no later than November 4, 2003.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of a new shipper review within 90 days after the date on which the new shipper review preliminary result is issued. However, if the case is extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend this deadline for the final results if necessary. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary result is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the allocated time, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend publication of the final results for an additional 60 days.

Postponement

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to issue the final results within the original time period. This case has become extraordinarily complicated in light of case events. In particular, verification in the PRC that was originally scheduled to occur earlier in the proceeding was delayed due to restrictions on travel to the PRC. The additional time is required in order to allow parties adequate time to comment on the findings of the verification and to comment on the Department's preliminary results. Also, additional time is necessary to analyze data used in the calculation of normal value. Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are postponing the final results of this second administrative review and new shipper review, until no later than December 15, 2003.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 777(i)(1) and 751(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 16, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–26678 Filed 10–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Michigan; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03–038. Applicant: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109–2150. Instrument: Eye Fixation System, Model faceLAB 3.0. Manufacturer: Seeing Machines, Australia. Intended Use: See notice at 68 FR 48341, August 13, 2003.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) A completely "off-head" sensor system, (2) precise synchronization with a driving simulator, (3) effective operation in both bright (sunlight) and dim (simulator) environments and (4) superior software for collection and processing of data. A university driving research laboratory advised October 2, 2003 that (1) These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

 ${\it Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs} \\ {\it Staff.}$

[FR Doc. 03–26679 Filed 10–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P