

other factors listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(3)(i)–(iii).

Field staff should continue to assert jurisdiction over traditional navigable waters (and adjacent wetlands) and, generally speaking, their tributary systems (and adjacent wetlands). Field staff should make jurisdictional and permitting decisions on a case-by-case basis considering this guidance, applicable regulations, and any additional relevant court decisions. Where questions remain, the regulated community should seek assistance from the agencies on questions of jurisdiction.

Robert E. Fabricant,

General Counsel, Environmental Protection Agency.

Steven J. Morello,

General Counsel, Department of the Army.

[FR Doc. 03–960 Filed 1–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IN140–1b; FRL–7433–6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to conditionally approve rules submitted by the State of Indiana as revisions to its State Implementation Plan (SIP) for prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) provisions for attainment areas for the Indiana Department of Environmental Management.

In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State’s request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. The rationale for approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA receives no written adverse comments, EPA will take no further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives written adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect. In that event, EPA will address all relevant public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. In either event, EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this action must be received by February 14, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Pamela Blakley, Chief, Permits and Grants Section (IL/IN/OH), Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

A copy of the State’s request is available for inspection at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Capasso, Environmental Scientist, Permits and Grants Section (IL/IN/OH), Air Programs Branch, (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, telephone (312) 886–1426.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” are used we mean the EPA.

I. What action is EPA taking today?

II. Where can I find more information about this proposal and corresponding direct final rule?

I. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

The EPA is proposing to conditionally approve rules submitted by the State of Indiana as revisions to its State Implementation Plan (SIP) for prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) provisions for attainment areas for the Indiana Department of Environmental Management.

II. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules and regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4201 *et seq.*

Dated: December 18, 2002.

Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 03–617 Filed 1–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD137–3090b; FRL–7420–9]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Revision to the Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Screen Printing and Digital Imaging

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland establishing reasonable available control technology (RACT) to limit volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from an overprint varnish that is used in the cosmetic industry. This action also proposes to add new definitions and amend certain existing definitions for terms used in the regulations. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State’s SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA’s evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by February 14, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Walter Wilkie, Acting Branch Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 705, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Wentworth, (215) 814–2034, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at wentworth.ellen@epa.gov. Please note that while questions may be posed via telephone and e-mail, formal comments must be submitted in writing, as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action pertaining to the establishment of a VOC limit for an overprint varnish used in the cosmetic industry throughout the state of Maryland with the same title, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Dated: December 4, 2002.

Thomas C. Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 03-730 Filed 1-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 2 and 90

[WT Docket No. 01-90; ET Docket No. 98-95; RM-9096; FCC 02-302]

Regarding Dedicated Short-Range Communication Services in the 5.850-5.925 GHz Band (5.9 GHz Band)

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, a notice of proposed rulemaking, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proposes service rules for the Dedicated Short-Range Communications Systems in the 5.850-5.925 GHz band (5.9 GHz band) to govern the licensing and use of this band. The NPRM seeks public comment on numerous issues concerning the service rules.

DATES: Comments are due on or before March 17, 2003, and reply comments are due on or before April 15, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW., TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for filing instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy M. Zaczek at (202) 418-7590, Gerardo Mejia at (202) 418-2895 or via e-mail at nzaczek@fcc.gov or gmejia@fcc.gov, or via TTY (202) 418-7233.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Federal Communications Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, FCC 02-302,

adopted on November 7, 2002, and released on November 15, 2002. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the FCC's copy contractor, Qualex International, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. The full text may also be downloaded at: www.fcc.gov. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418-7426 or TTY (202) 418-7365 or at bmillin@fcc.gov.

1. In this *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order (NPRM and Order)*, the FCC propose service rules to govern the licensing and use of the 5.850-5.925 GHz band (5.9 GHz band) for Dedicated Short-Range Communications (DSRC) services in the Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) radio service. Specifically in this *NPRM and Order*:

- The FCC proposes to permit entities providing public safety DSRC operations to use the 5.9 GHz band;
- For public safety entities, the FCC proposes to apply the application, licensing and processing rules under part 90 of the FCC's rules;

2. The FCC generally seeks comment on the following issues:

- Whether to license Roadside Units (RSUs) by site or geographic area;
- Whether to permit non-public safety radio DSRC operations in the 5.9 GHz band:
 - In the event that the FCC allows non-public safety radio applications in the 5.9 GHz band and in the event that the licensing scheme the FCC selects for those ITS applications results in mutually exclusive licenses, the FCC proposes to apply competitive bidding procedures under the FCC's part 1 competitive bidding rules;
 - The definition of public safety in the context of ITS;
 - The definition of Dedicated Short-Range Communication Service (DSRCS);
 - The interoperability necessary for DSRC operations and how this interoperability should be achieved;
 - Whether to license On Board Units (OBUs) associated with fixed systems under the associated RSU license;
 - Whether the OBUs not associated with a fixed system should be licensed by rule or unlicensed under part 15;
 - The appropriate licensing scheme or schemes for this band;
 - Various channelization plans;
 - Various technical matters; and
 - Use of this band in Mexican and Canadian border areas.

3. Dismissal of Petitions for Reconsideration. Further, the FCC also seeks comment on issues raised by two Petitions for Reconsideration or Clarification of the Allocation Report and Order. PanAmSat sought reconsideration of the FCC's decision that prior coordination between DSRC operations applications and Fixed Satellite Service (FSS) uplinks is unnecessary. Mark IV Industries sought reconsideration or clarification of the power levels and emission mask requirements established in the Allocation Report and Order. The FCC dismisses these two petitions for reconsideration as moot because the FCC is seeking comment on the issues raised through this NPRM, and, with the benefit of a fuller record, will address those issues in this proceeding, *i.e.*, WT Docket 01-90.

I. Procedural Matters

A. Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

4. The FCC has prepared an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) of the possible significant economic impact on small entities of the policies and rules proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; it is contained further. The FCC requests written public comment on the analysis. Comments must be filed in accordance with the same filing deadlines as comments filed in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking, and must have a separate and distinct heading designating them as responses to the IRFA. The FCC's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, will send a copy of this notice of proposed rulemaking, including the IRFA, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

B. Paperwork Reduction Analysis

5. This NPRM contains a proposed information collection. As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, the FCC invites the general public and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to take this opportunity to comment on the information collections contained in this NPRM, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Public and agency comments are due at the same time as other comments on this NPRM; OMB comments are due March 17, 2003. Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the FCC, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the FCC's burden estimates; (c) ways to