DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 03–04–C–00–PIB To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport, Hattiesburg, MS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 27, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: FAA/Airports District Office, 100 West Cross Street, Suite B, Jackson, MS 39208–2307.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Tomas E. Heanue, Executive Director of the Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority at the following address: 1002 Terminal Drive, Moselle, MS 39459.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority under § 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick D. Vaught, Program Manager, Jackson Airports District Office, 100 West Cross Street, Suite B, Jackson, MS 39208–2307, (601) 664–9885. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On September 17, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 13, 2004.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Proposed charge effective date: April 1, 2004.

Proposed charge expiration date: April 1, 2006.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50.
Total estimated PFC revenue: \$79,487.
Brief description of proposed
project(s): Acquire air passenger
boarding stairs, Expanding parking lot,
Expand commercial apron, and
Rehabilitate airport beacon and apron
lights.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

In additoin, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority.

Issued in Jackson, Mississippi on September 17, 2003.

Rans D. Black,

Manager, Jackson Airports District Office. [FR Doc. 03–24432 Filed 9–25–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement, Seattle, WA

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), USDOT. **ACTION:** Revised notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this revised notice of intent to inform the public, Tribes, and agencies of changes made to the initial Notice of Intent for a proposed highway project along SR 99 in Seattle, King County, Washington. The initial Notice of Intent announcing that an Environmental Impact Statement would be prepared for the project appeared in the Federal Register on June 22, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barry Brecto (FHWA), 711 South Capitol Way, Suite 501, Olympia, Washington 98501 (telephone 360–753–9482); Kimberly Farley, WSDOT Urban Corridors Office, 401 Second Avenue South, Suite 560, Seattle, Washington 98104 (telephone 206–464–1227); and Sandra Gurkewitz, City of Seattle, 600 Fourth Avenue, Suite 401, Seattle, WA 98104 (telephone 206–684–8574).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The

FHWA, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), and the City of Seattle will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) documenting the environmental impacts of alternatives to improve the Alaskan Way Seawall and existing SR 99 corridor that is partially served by the Alaskan Way Viaduct. The Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall are located in downtown Seattle, King County, Washington. The Alaskan Way Viaduct is one of two primary north-south limited access routes through downtown Seattle and is a vital link in the region's roadway system.

The purpose of the proposed action is to provide a transportation facility and seawall with improved earthquake resistance that maintains or improves mobility and accessibility for people and goods along the existing Alaskan Way Viaduct Corridor. The southern terminus of the project would be near S. Spokane Street. The north terminus would be Ward Street north of the existing Battery Street Tunnel.

Built in the 1950's, the Alaskan Way Viaduct is past the halfway point in its 75-year design life, and it does not meet current seismic design standards. Additionally, the soils around the foundations of the Alaskan Way Viaduct consist of former tidal flats covered with wet, loose fill material subject to liquifaction. The Alaskan Way Seawall, which is also vulnerable to earthquakes, holds these soils in place along the majority of the Alaskan Way Viaduct Corridor. Built in the 1930's, the Alaskan Way Seawall is in a state of disrepair and also does not meet current seismic design standards.

In addition, the Viaduct does not meet current roadway design standards for lane widths, shoulders, and ramp sight distances and tapers. These roadway deficiencies contribute to the high number and severity of traffic accidents on the Alaskan Way Viaduct. Four areas along this section of SR 99 are designated High Accident Locations.

Preliminary alternatives under early consideration include: Taking no action, replacing the Viaduct and Seawall inkind, replacing the Viaduct and Seawall with a new elevated structure and a new seawall, replacing the Viaduct and Seawall with a tunnel, replacing the viaduct with a surface street, adding transit components, or combinations of these solutions.

The lead agencies have preliminarily identified the following key areas for discussion in the EIS:

- Local and regional transportation system
- Pioneer Square and Pike Place historic districts
- Neighborhoods, businesses, and residences
- Port of Seattle and Washington State Ferry operations
- Construction impacts along the Elliott Bay shoreline

Letters soliciting comments on the scope of the EIS, the purpose, need, and potential alternatives have been sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, and Tribes. Two meetings were held to identify the scope of issues to be addressed, the major impacts, and the potential alternative. Both meetings were conducted on June 28, 2001, at the Mountaineers Club, Olympus Room 300 Third Avenue West, Seattle,

Washington. The first meeting, from 1 to 4 p.m., focused on input from agencies and Tribes. The second meeting from 5 to 8 p.m. was primarily for the public. In addition, a public hearing will be held following circulation of the draft EIS.

Comments and questions concerning this action and the EIS should be directed to FHWA, WSDOT, or the City of Seattle at the addresses provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation of Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: September 19, 2003.

Mary E. Gray,

Environmental Program Specialist, Olympia, Washington.

[FR Doc. 03–24345 Filed 9–25–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2002-14095]

National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed order;

request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FMCSA is publishing for public comment its draft Order on agency procedures for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). Now that the FMCSA is a separate agency within the Department of Transportation (Department or DOT), it has developed its own draft procedures for complying with NEPA, other pertinent environmental regulations, Executive Orders, statutes, and laws to ensure that it actively incorporates environmental considerations into informed decisionmaking.

DATES: Submit comments on or before November 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by DOT DMS Docket Number FMCSA-2002-14095 by any of the following methods:

- Web Site: http://dms.dot.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.
 - Fax: 1-202-493-2251.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590– 0001.
- Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 am and 5 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.
- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number or Regulatory Identification Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://dms.dot.gov, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading for further information.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://dms.dot.gov at any time or to Room PL—401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 am and 5 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or you may visit http://dms.dot.gov.

Comments received after the comment closing date will be included in the docket and we will consider late comments to the extent practicable. The FMCSA may, however, issue a final FMCSA environmental Order at any time after the close of the comment period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. LaKisha R. Pearson or Mr. David R. Miller, Office of Policy, Plans, and Regulations (MC–PR), FMCSA, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400

Seventh St, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Telephone: (202) 366–6408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The FMCSA was established within the Department on January 1, 2000, pursuant to the Motor Carrier Safety Improvement Act of 1999 (Public Law No. 106-159, 113 Stat. 1748 (December 9, 1999)). The FMCSA's primary mission is to prevent commercial motor vehicle-related fatalities and injuries. FMCSA activities contribute to ensuring safety in motor carrier operations through strong enforcement of safety regulations; targeting high-risk carriers and commercial motor vehicle drivers; improving safety information systems and commercial motor vehicle technologies; strengthening commercial motor vehicle equipment and operating standards; and increasing safety awareness. To accomplish these activities, the FMCSA works with Federal, State, and local enforcement agencies, the motor carrier industry, labor organizations, safety interest groups, and others.

The majority of the functions FMCSA inherited from the FHWA are safety-related functions that were transferred from the former Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) to the Department when it was established in 1966 (49 U.S.C. 102 and 102 note). Additional functions inherited from the FHWA relating to registering motor carriers operating in interstate and foreign commerce were carried out by the ICC before 1996 and by the FHWA from 1996–1999.

When the FHWA assumed authority over motor carrier licensing in 1996, it did not adopt the ICC's environmental regulations because the FHWA had its own. The FHWA's environmental impact regulations at 23 CFR part 771, which are primarily geared to highway and urban mass transportation construction projects, contain a categorical exclusion (CE) for the promulgation of rules, regulations, and directives (23 CFR 771.117(c)(17)).

Implementation of FMCSA's NEPA Order

It is necessary for FMCSA to issue its own implementing procedures for carrying out its responsibilities under NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq., as amended. FMCSA is soliciting public comments on the draft procedures before making this environmental procedures Order final. Except for most of the appendices, the full text of the draft Order is being published for public