

and replacement of any seat belts, air bag control units, air bags, and knee bolsters with U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped. Petitioner states that the vehicle should be equipped with an automatic restraint system consisting of driver's and passenger's air bags and knee bolsters, air bag crash sensors, and an air bag control unit. Petitioner also states that the vehicle should be equipped with combination lap and shoulder belts that are self-tensioning and that release by means of a single red pushbutton. Petitioner further states that the vehicle is equipped with a seat belt warning lamp.

Standard No. 209 *Seat Belt Assemblies*: Inspection of all vehicles and replacement of the seat belt assemblies with U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped.

Standard No. 210 *Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages*: Inspection of all vehicles and replacement of the seat belt anchorages with U.S.-model components on vehicle that are not already so equipped.

Standard No. 214 *Side Impact Protection*: Inspection of all vehicles to ensure that they are equipped with door bars identical to those in U.S. certified models and installation of those components on vehicles that are not already so equipped.

Standard No. 225 *Child Restraint Anchorage Systems*: Installation of U.S.-model tether anchorages in all coupe model vehicles.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity*: Replacement of the charcoal canister, air pump, fuel filler neck, and rollover valve with U.S.-model components.

Standard No. 401 *Interior Trunk Release*: Installation of additional cable and an actuator to permit the trunk lid to be released from inside the trunk.

The petitioner states that all vehicles must be inspected prior to importation to ensure that they are equipped with anti-theft devices identical to those found on the U.S.-certified model, which are necessary to meet the requirements of the Theft Prevention Standard found in 49 CFR part 541. The petitioner states that the U.S.-model component will be installed on any vehicles that are not already so equipped.

In addition, the petitioner states that front and rear bumper reinforcements must be added to the vehicles to comply with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR part 581. The petitioner states that it will use components that have already been tested to the requirements of the Bumper Standard by another registered

importer, Webautoworld of Pompano Beach, Florida.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicle near the left windshield post and a reference and certification label must be affixed to the edge of the driver's side door or to the latch post nearest the driver to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565. In addition, a certification label must be affixed to the driver's side doorjamb to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 567.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.]. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: December 3, 2003.

Kenneth N. Weinstein,
Associate Administrator for Enforcement.
[FR Doc. 03-30653 Filed 12-10-03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

Petition for Exemption From the Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard; BMW

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Grant of petition for exemption.

SUMMARY: This notice grants in full the petition of BMW of North America, Inc., (BMW) for an exemption of a high-theft line, the BMW 6 vehicle line, from the parts-marking requirements of the vehicle theft prevention standard. This petition is granted because the agency has determined that the antitheft device to be placed on the line as standard equipment is likely to be as effective in

reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements.

DATES: The exemption granted by this notice is effective beginning with the 2004 model year (MY).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Rosalind Proctor, Office of Planning and Consumer Standards, NHTSA, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Ms. Proctor's telephone number is (202) 366-0846. Her fax number is (202) 493-2290.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a petition dated July 21, 2003, BMW of North America, Inc. (BMW), requested exemption from the parts-marking requirements of the theft prevention standard (49 CFR Part 541) for the BMW 6 vehicle line, beginning with MY 2004. The petition has been filed pursuant to 49 CFR Part 543, Exemption from Vehicle Theft Prevention Standard, based on the installation of an antitheft device as standard equipment for an entire vehicle line. Based on the evidence submitted by BMW, the agency believes that the antitheft device for the BMW 6 vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the theft prevention standard (49 CFR Part 541).

BMW's submittal is considered a complete petition, as required by 49 CFR Part 543.7, in that it meets the general requirements contained in 543.5 and the specific content requirements of 543.6.

In its petition, BMW provided a detailed description and diagram of the identity, design, and location of the components of the antitheft device for the new line. BMW will install its antitheft device as standard equipment on the MY 2004 BMW 6 vehicle line. The antitheft device is a passive, electronically-coded vehicle immobilizer (EWS) system. The device will prevent the vehicle from being driven away under its own engine power in the event the ignition lock and doors have been manipulated. The device is automatically activated when the engine is shut off and the vehicle key is removed from the ignition lock cylinder. In addition to the key, the antitheft device can be activated by the use of its radio frequency remote control. Locking the vehicle door and trunk by using the key cylinder or the radio frequency remote control will further secure the vehicle. BMW stated that the frequency codes for the remote control constantly change to prevent an unauthorized person from opening the

vehicle by intercepting the signals of its remote control.

The EWS system consists of a key with a transponder, a loop antenna (coil) around the steering lock cylinder, an EWS control unit and an engine control unit (DME/DDE) with encoded start release input.

BMW stated that integrated in the key is a transponder chip that consists of a transponder, a small antenna coil, and a memory which can be written to and read from. The memory contains its own unique key and customer service data. The transponder is a special transmitter/receiver that communicates with the EWS control through the transceiver module.

BMW states that the EWS control unit provides the interface to the loop antenna (coil), engine control unit and starter. The primary tasks of the EWS control unit will consist of querying key data from the transponder and providing the coded release of the engine management for a valid key. BMW also states that the engine control unit with coded start release input has been designed in such a manner that the ignition and the fuel supply are only released when a correct release signal has been sent by the EWS control unit. The EWS control unit inspects the key data for correctness and allows the ignition to operate and fuel supply to be released when a correct signal has been received.

The vehicle is also equipped with a central-locking system, which locks all doors, the hood, the trunk and fuel filler lid. To prevent locking the keys in the car upon exiting, the driver door can only be locked with a key or by the radio frequency remote control after it is closed. This also locks the other doors. If the doors are open at the time of locking, they are automatically locked when they are closed.

BMW mentioned the uniqueness of its locks and its ignition key. BMW stated that its vehicle's locks are almost impossible to pick, and its ignition key cannot be duplicated on the open market. BMW also stated that a special key blank, key-cutting machine and owner's individual code are needed to cut a new key and that its key blanks, machines and codes will be closely controlled and new keys will only be issued to authorized persons. Spare keys can only be obtained through the BMW dealer because they are not a copy of lost originals, but new keys with original electronic identifications. Additionally, spare keys can only be obtained when all necessary information (*i.e.*, VIN, registration data, customer data) has been provided by the customer or dealer. Every key request is

also documented so that any inquiries by insurance companies and investigative authorities can be followed up.

The battery for BMW's 6 vehicle line will be inaccessibly located and covered as an additional security measure. Disconnecting the battery will not allow unlocking of the vehicle's doors. However, in the event of a crash, an inertia switch will automatically unlock all the doors.

BMW also stated that its antitheft device does not incorporate any audible or visual alarms. However, based on the declining theft rate experience of other vehicles equipped with devices that do not have an audio or visual alarm for which NHTSA has already exempted from the parts-marking requirements, the agency has concluded that the absence of a visual or audio alarm has not prevented these antitheft devices from being effective protection against theft.

BMW compared the device proposed for its new line with devices which NHTSA has previously determined to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as would compliance with the parts-marking requirements of Part 541, and has concluded that the antitheft device proposed for this new line is no less effective than those devices in the lines for which NHTSA has already granted exemptions from the parts-marking requirements. The antitheft system that BMW intends to install on its 6 vehicle line for the MY 2004 is exactly the same system that BMW installed on its Carline 5 for MY 1997, its Carline 3 for MY 1999, its Carline MINI for MY 2002 and its Z4 for MY 2003. The agency granted BMW's petitions for exemption of its Carline 5 beginning with the 1997 model year, its Carline 3 beginning with the 1999 model year, its Carline MINI beginning with the 2002 model year and its Carline Z4 beginning with the 2003 model year in full (see 61 FR 6292, February 16, 1996, 62 FR 62800, November 25, 1997, 66 FR 33604, June 22, 2001, and 67 FR 45180, July 8, 2002, respectively).

In order to ensure reliability and durability of the device, BMW conducted performance tests based on its own specified standards. BMW provided a detailed list of the following tests it conducted: climatic tests, high temperature endurance run, thermo-shock test in water, chemical resistance, vibrational load, electrical ranges, mechanical shock tests, and electromagnetic field compatibility.

Additionally, BMW stated that its immobilizer system fulfills the requirements of the European vehicle

insurance companies which became standard as of January 1995. The requirements prescribe that the vehicle must be equipped with an electronic vehicle immobilizing device which works independently from the mechanical locking system and prevents the operation of the vehicle through the use of coded intervention in the engine management system. In addition, the device must be self-arming (passive), and must become effective upon leaving the vehicle, or not later than the point at which the vehicle is locked, and must deactivate the vehicle only by electronic means and not with the mechanical key.

Based on evidence submitted by BMW, the agency believes that the antitheft device for the 6 vehicle line is likely to be as effective in reducing and deterring motor vehicle theft as compliance with the parts-marking requirements of the theft prevention standard (49 CFR Part 541).

The agency believes that the device will provide four of the five types of performance listed in 49 CFR 543.6(a)(3): promoting activation; preventing defeat or circumvention of the device by unauthorized persons; preventing operation of the vehicle by unauthorized entrants; and ensuring the reliability and durability of the device. The device lacks the ability to attract attention to the efforts of unauthorized persons to enter or operate a vehicle by a means other than a key (541.6(a)(3)(ii)).

As required by 49 U.S.C. 33106 and 49 CFR 543.6(a)(4) and (5), the agency finds that BMW has provided adequate reasons for its belief that the antitheft device will reduce and deter theft. This conclusion is based on the information BMW provided about its antitheft device.

For the foregoing reasons, the agency hereby grants in full BMW of North America's petition for an exemption for the MY 2004 6 vehicle line from the parts-marking requirements of 49 CFR Part 541.

If BMW decides not to use the exemption for this line, it must formally notify the agency, and, thereafter, the line must be fully marked as required by 49 CFR 541.5 and 541.6 (marking of major component parts and replacement parts).

NHTSA notes that if BMW wishes in the future to modify the device on which this exemption is based, the company may have to submit a petition to modify the exemption. § 543.7(d) states that a Part 543 exemption applies only to vehicles that belong to a line exempted under this part and equipped with the anti-theft device on which the line's exemption is based. Further, § 543.9(c)(2) provides for the submission

of petitions "to modify an exemption to permit the use of an antitheft device similar to but differing from the one specified in that exemption." The agency wishes to minimize the administrative burden that § 543.9(c)(2) could place on exempted vehicle manufacturers and itself.

The agency did not intend Part 543 to require the submission of a modification petition for every change to the components or design of an antitheft device. The significance of many such changes could be *de minimis*. Therefore, NHTSA suggests that if the manufacturer contemplates making any changes the effects of which might be characterized as *de minimis*, it should consult the agency before preparing and submitting a petition to modify.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 33106; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

Issued on: December 5, 2003.

Stephen R. Kratzke,

Associate Administrator for Rulemaking.

[FR Doc. 03-30689 Filed 12-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket Number: RSPA-98-4957]

Pipeline Safety: Renewal of information Collection: Comment Request

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for public comments and OMB approval.

SUMMARY: This notice requests public participation in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval process for the renewal of an existing RSPA information collection. This information collection concerns a pipeline safety regulation that requires hazardous liquid pipeline operators who operate more than 500 miles of pipeline to follow certain protocols in areas designated as high consequence areas (HCAs). RSPA is requesting OMB approval for renewal of this information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320. On September 9, 2003, RSPA published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 53216) a request for public comments on this information collection. None were received. The purpose of this notice is to allow the public an additional 30 days from the date of this notice to send in their comments.

Abstract: RSPA pipeline safety regulation 49 CFR 195.452 designates certain environmentally sensitive areas that are particularly vulnerable to the consequences of hazardous liquid pipeline accidents as high consequence areas (HCAs). The rule was promulgated on December 1, 2000 (65 FR 75378), to provide for thorough assessment and repair of pipeline segments that, in the event of a leak or failure, could affect populated areas, areas unusually sensitive to environmental damage, and commercially navigable waterways. RSPA now requires hazardous liquid pipeline operators with more than 500 miles of pipeline to develop and follow an integrity management program that provides for continually assessing the integrity of all pipeline segments that could affect these high consequence areas.

Copies of this information collection can be reviewed at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Dockets Facility, Plaza 401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590, Monday through Friday from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., excluding public holidays, when the facility is closed. This information collection can also be viewed electronically on the Internet at *dms.dot.gov*.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to send comments directly to the Office Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Desk Officer for the Department of Transportation, 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marvin Fell, (202) 366-6205, to ask questions about this notice; or write by e-mail to *marvin.fell@rspa.dot.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Abstract:* Certain areas are particularly environmentally sensitive from hazardous liquid pipeline failures. These areas are called high consequence areas (HCA's).

Respondents: Gas and hazardous liquid pipeline operators.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 66.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours on Respondents: 54,780.

OMB Control Number: 2137-0604.

Comments are invited on: (a) The need for the proposed collection of information for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have

practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques.

Issued in Washington, DC on December 4, 2003.

Stacey L. Gerard,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety.

[FR Doc. 03-30656 Filed 12-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Ex Parte No. 558 (Sub-No. 7)]

Railroad Cost of Capital—2003

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice of decision instituting a proceeding to determine the railroads' 2003 cost of capital.

SUMMARY: The Board is instituting a proceeding to determine the railroad industry's cost of capital for 2003. The decision solicits comments on: (1) The railroads' 2003 current cost of debt capital; (2) the railroads' 2003 current cost of preferred stock equity capital; (3) the railroads' 2003 cost of common stock equity capital; and (4) the 2003 capital structure mix of the railroad industry on a market value basis.

DATES: Notices of intent to participate are due no later than January 12, 2004. Statements of the railroads are due by March 29, 2004. Statements of other interested persons are due by April 26, 2004. Rebuttal statements by the railroads are due by May 17, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send an original and 10 copies of statements and a copy of the statement on a 3.5 inch disk in WordPerfect 9.0, and an original and 1 copy of the notice of intent to participate to: Surface Transportation Board, Case Control Branch, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leonard J. Blistein, (202) 565-1529. (Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) for the hearing impaired: 1 (800) 877-8339.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board's decision is posted on the Board's Web site, *http://*