product accounts. No changes are proposed for Form BE-93.

II. Method of Collection

Form BE–93 will be sent each year to potential respondents in January and responses are due by March 31. A U.S. person that has transactions in intangible rights with unaffiliated foreign persons is required to report if total covered receipts or covered payments are more than \$2 million during the covered year. A U.S. person that receives a form but is not required to report data must file an exemption claim.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0608–0017. Form Number: BE-93.

Type of Review: Regular submission. Affected Public: U.S. businesses or other for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 650 annually.

Estimated Time Per Response: 4 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 2.600 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$78,000 (based on an estimated reporting burden of 2,600 hours and estimated hourly cost of \$30).

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory. Legal Authority: Title 22, U.S.C., Sections 3101–3108.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 1, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–17049 Filed 7–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Economic Analysis

Proposed Data Sharing Activity

AGENCY: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) proposes to provide to the Bureau of the Census (Census Bureau) data collected from the Benchmark Survey of Foreign Direct Investment in the United States—1997 and the Benchmark Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad—1999 for statistical purposes exclusively. In accordance with the requirement of Section 524(d) of the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (CIPSEA), we are providing the opportunity for public comment on this data-sharing action. Through the use of these shared data, the Census Bureau will augment its existing research and development (R&D)-related data collected in the Survey of Industrial Research and Development, which is funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF). The Census Bureau will also identify data quality issues arising from reporting differences in the BEA and Census Bureau surveys and improve its survey sample frames. The NSF will be provided non-confidential aggregate data (public use) and reports that have cleared BEA and Census Bureau disclosure review. Disclosure review is a process conducted to verify that the data to be released do not reveal any confidential information.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 5, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Please direct all written comments on this proposed program to the Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BE-1), Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information on this proposed program should be directed to Ned Howenstine, Chief, Research Branch, International Investment Division, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BE–50), Washington, DC 20230, by phone on (202) 606–9845 or by fax (202) 606–5318.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

CIPSEA (Pub. L. 107–347, Title V) and the International Investment and Trade in Services Survey Act (Pub. L. 94–472, 22 United States Code (U.S.C.) 3101–3108) allow BEA and the Census Bureau to share certain business data for exclusively statistical purposes. Section 524(d) of the CIPSEA requires a **Federal Register** notice announcing the intent to share data (allowing 60 days for public comment).

Section 524(d) also requires us to provide information about the terms of the agreement for data sharing. For purposes of this notice, BEA has decided to group these terms by three categories. The categories are:

- Shared data.
- Statistical purposes for the shared data.
 - Data access and confidentiality.

Shared Data

BEA proposes to provide the Census Bureau with data collected from the Benchmark Survey of Foreign Direct Investment in the United States—1997 and the Benchmark Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad—1999. The agreement also calls for the Census Bureau to share data collected from the 1997 and 1999 Surveys of Industrial Research and Development with BEA. A separate notice (68 FR 33094, June 3, 2003) addresses this issue.

BEA will provide the Census Bureau with only those data items necessary to link records from the two benchmark surveys with records from the Census Bureau's Surveys of Industrial Research and Development. The Census Bureau will use these data for statistical purposes exclusively. Through record linkage, the Census Bureau will augment its existing R&D-related data, identify data quality issues arising from reporting differences in the Census Bureau and BEA surveys, and improve its survey sample frames.

Statistical Purposes for the Shared Data

The data collected from the Benchmark Survey of Foreign Direct Investment in the United States—1997 and the Benchmark Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad—1999 are used to estimate expenditures on research and development performed by U.S. affiliates of foreign companies, foreign affiliates of U.S. companies, and the foreign affiliates' U.S. parent companies, R&D employment, and other statistics on the financial structure and operations of these companies. Statistics from the Benchmark Survey of Foreign Direct Investment in the United States-1997 were published in Foreign Direct Investment in the United States: Final Results From the 1997 Benchmark Survey: statistics from the Benchmark Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad—1999 will be published in *U.S.*

Direct Investment Abroad: Final Results From the 1999 Benchmark Survey (forthcoming). All data are collected under sections 3101–3108, of Title 22 U.S.C.

Data Access and Confidentiality

Title 22, U.S.C. 3104 protects the confidentiality of these data. The data may be seen only by persons sworn to uphold the confidentiality of the information. Access to the shared data will be restricted to specifically authorized personnel and will be provided for statistical purposes only. Any results of this research are subject to BEA disclosure protection. All Census Bureau employees with access to these data will become BEA Special Sworn Employees—meaning that they, under penalty of law, must uphold the data's confidentiality. Selected NSF employees will provide BEA with expertise on the aspects of R&D performance in the United States and by U.S. companies abroad; these NSF consultants assisting with the work at the BEA also will become BEA Special Sworn Employees. No confidential data will be provided to the NSF. To further safeguard the confidentiality of the data, BEA will conduct an Information Technology security review of the Census Bureau prior to the commencement of the project. Any results of this research are subject to BEA disclosure protection.

Dated: June 26, 2003.

J. Steven Landefeld,

Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis. [FR Doc. 03–17097 Filed 7–3–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570–831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: In May 2003 the Department of Commerce received eight requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. One of these requests was withdrawn. We have determined that the seven

remaining requests meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for the initiation of a new shipper review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Minoo Hatten or Mark Ross at (202) 482–1690 and (202) 482–4794, respectively, AD/GVD Enforcement III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC) was published on November 16, 1994. In May 2003 we received eight requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order.

On May 29, 2003, we received a request for a new shipper review from Linvi Sanshan Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd. (Linyi Sanshan). In its request for review, Linyi Sanshan submitted documentation establishing the date of sale and entry for the transaction on which it based the request for a new shipper review. The dates of sale and entry on the submitted documentation did not fall within the period of review (POR), however, so on June 10, 2003, we issued a letter to Linyi Sanshan in which we stated that we did not intend to initiate a new shipper review it requested. On June 12, 2003, Lini Sanshan provided documentation establishing that the actual date on which the subject merchandise entered the United States for consumption is during the POR.

On May 29, 2003, we also received requests for new shipper reviews from Sunny Imp & Exp Limited (Sunny), Linshu Dading Private Agricultural Products Co., Ltd. (Linshu), and Tancheng County Dexing Foods Co., Ltd. (Tancheng). Further, on May 30, 2003, we received requests for new shipper reviews from Jinxiang Dong Yun Freezing Storage Co., Ltd. (Dong Yun), Shanghai Ever Rich Trade Company (Ever Rich), Taian Ziyang Food Co., Ltd. (Ziyang), and H&T Trading Company (H&T). H&T withdrew its request for a new shipper review on June 10, 2003.

Linyi Sanshan, Sunny, Dong Yun, Tancheng, and Ziyang certified that they both grew and exported the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Ever Rich and Linshu Dading certified that they exported the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review, but that they did

not grow the subject merchandise. Specifically, Ever Rich certified that Pizhou Guangda Import and Export Corp., Ltd. (Pizhou Guangda), grew the subject merchandise it exported, and Linshu Dading certified that Jinxiang County Jinda Agricultural Industrial Trading Co., Ltd. (Jinxiang Jinda), grew the subject merchandise it exported.

Between June 12 and June 27, 2003, Linyi Shanshan, Sunny, Linshu Dading, Tancheng, Dong Yun, Ever Rich, and Ziyang resubmitted their requests for new shipper reviews to correct certain deficiencies (*e.g.*, over-bracketing of public information) that we identified in their submissions.

Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), Linyi Shanshan, Sunny, Linshu Dading, Tancheng Dexing, Dong Yun, Ever Rich, and Ziyang certified that they did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI). In addition, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B), Pizhou Guangda and Jinxiang Jinda, the growers of the garlic exported by Ever Rich and Linshu Dading, respectively, provided certifications that they did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), each of the seven exporters, Linyi Sanshan, Sunny, Linshu Dading, Tancheng, Dong Yun, Ever Rich, and Ziyang, certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, they have never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), each of the abovementioned companies also certified that their export activities were not controlled by the central government.

In addition to the certifications described above, the companies submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which they first shipped the subject merchandise for export to the United States and the date on which the subject merchandise was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of their first shipment and the volume of subsequent shipments; (3) the date of their first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating new shipper reviews for shipments of fresh garlic from the PRC: