

that the customer stopped buying thermal transfer paper from the subject firm prior to the relevant period. During the same time period, the customer increased their imports purchases of thermal transfer paper from another domestic source, while decreasing their purchases of Electronic Data Processing (EDP) paper from the subject firm.

Since the two products EDP and thermal transfer paper appeared to be two different types of paper, the Department did not consider the increased imports as impacting the subject plant. On remand, the Department contacted a company official and followed up with an industry expert at the United States International Trade Commission. Both indicated that the two products were directly competitive with each other. Therefore on further review of that survey response, the customer increased their purchases of imported thermal transfer paper, a product "like or directly competitive" with EDP during the relevant period. The customer simultaneously reduced their purchases of EDP, while increasing their imports of thermal transfer paper during the relevant period.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on remand, I conclude that there were increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm that contributed importantly to the worker separations and sales or production declines at the subject facility. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Spinnaker Coating Maine Incorporated, Westbrook, Maine who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 4, 2000, through two years from the issuance of this revised determination, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of March 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-8845 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,967]

#### Trego Industries, Inc., Red Oak, TX; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter postmarked November 13, 2002, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on October 11, 2002, based on the finding that imports of commercial door products did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the Red Oak plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on November 5, 2002 (67 FR 67421).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation. Upon further review and contact with the major declining customer, it was revealed that this customer increased its imports of like or directly competitive products in the relevant period.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Trego Industries, Inc., Red Oak, Texas, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Trego Industries, Inc., Red Oak, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 2, 2001 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of March 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-8849 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,225]

#### Unitek Electronics, Inc., Portland, OR; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening

On March 24, 2003, the Department on its own motion reviewed the initial determination for workers and former workers of the subject firm engaged in the production of solid-state motor speed controls.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on January 29, 2003 because imports did not contribute importantly to the worker separations. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 24, 2003 (68 FR 8619).

New information submitted to the Department by the company and additional information supplied by a primary customer of the subject firm revealed that the customer has increased purchases of imported solid-state motor speed controls while reducing purchases from the subject firm.

#### Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with solid-state motor speed controls produced by the subject firm contributed importantly to the decline in sales and to the total or partial separation of workers of the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

All workers of Unitek Electronics, Inc., Portland, Oregon, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 29, 2001 through two years from the date of this certification are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of March, 2003.

**Edward A. Tomchick,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-8853 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**