

to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Inteplast Group, LTD., Integrated Bagging Systems, Lolita, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 7, 2001 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of March 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-8850 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,928]

Motorola, Inc. Personal Communications Sector, Harvard, IL; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 13, 2001, applicable to workers of Motorola, Inc., Personal Communications Sector, Harvard, Illinois. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2001 (66 FR 22006).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of cellular phones.

New company information shows that worker separations will continue to occur at the Harvard, Illinois location of the subject firm after the current certification expires April 13, 2003. The workers will remain at the Harvard, Illinois location until August 15, 2003 to decommission equipment and to physically close the property.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to extend the expiration date to August 15, 2003.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Motorola, Inc., Personal Communication Sector, Harvard, Illinois who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-38,928 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Motorola, Inc., Personnel Communications Sector, Harvard, Illinois who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 14, 2000, through August 15, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 17th day of March 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-8844 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,036 and TA-W-50,036A]

Nortel Networks, Department #2446, Research Triangle Park, NC and Including an Employee of Nortel Networks, Department #2446, Located in New York; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 17, 2003, applicable to workers of Nortel Networks, Department #2446, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2003 (68 FR 6212).

At the request of the petitioner, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations occurred involving an employee of Department #2446, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina facility of Nortel Networks located in New York. This employee provided verification testing and turnup for the production of fiber optic backbone telecommunications network at Department #2446, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include an employee of the Research Triangle Park, North Carolina facility of Nortel Networks, Department #2446 located in New York.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Nortel Networks, Department #2446 who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-50,036 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Nortel Networks, Department #2446, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (TA-W-50,036), including an employee of Nortel Networks, Department #2446, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, located in New York (TA-W-50,036A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 5, 2001, through January 17, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 21st day of March 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-8851 Filed 4-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,380]

Spinnaker Coating Maine Incorporated, Westbrook, ME; Notice of Revised Determination on Remand

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) remanded to the Labor Department for further investigation of the negative determination in *Former Employees of Spinnaker Coating, Maine Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor* (Court No. 02-00203).

The Department's initial denial of the petition for employees of Spinnaker Coating Maine, Inc., Incorporated, Westbrook, Maine was issued on August 23, 2001 and published in the **Federal Register** on September 11, 2001 (66 FR 47242). The denial was based on the fact that criterion (3) of the Group Eligibility Requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

On administrative reconsideration, the Department issued a "Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration," on December 26, 2001 for the employees of Spinnaker Coating Maine, Inc., Incorporated, Westbrook, Maine. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 31, 2002 (66 FR 4756 and 4757). The Department further concluded that imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm.

On remand, the Department examined the results of a survey response conducted during the initial investigation, with additional clarification from the customer during reconsideration. The survey showed