## *Civil Justice Reform—Executive Order* 12988

We have determined that these regulations meet the applicable standards provided in Sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988.

### Takings Implication Assessment

In accordance with Executive Order 12630, this rule, authorized by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, does not have significant takings implications and does not affect any constitutionally protected property rights. This rule will not result in the physical occupancy of property, the physical invasion of property, or the regulatory taking of any property. In fact, this rule will allow hunters to exercise privileges that would be otherwise unavailable and, therefore, reduces restrictions on the use of private and public property.

### Federalism Effects

Due to the migratory nature of certain species of birds, the Federal Government has been given responsibility over these species by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. This rule does not have a substantial direct effect on fiscal capacity, change the roles or responsibilities of Federal or State governments, or intrude on State policy or administration. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 13132, this proposed regulation does not have significant federalism effects and does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

### Government-to-Government Relationship With Tribes

In accordance with the President's memorandum of April 29, 1994, "Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments" (59 FR 22951) Executive Order 13175, and 512 DM 2, we have determined that this rule has no effects on Federally recognized Indian tribes.

### Energy Effects

In accordance with Executive Order 13211, this rule, authorized by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, does not significantly affect energy supply, distribution, and use. This rule is not a significant energy action and no Statement of Energy Effects is required.

### Effective Date

Under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551–553), our normal practice is to publish rules with a 30day delay in effective date. In this case, however, we use the "good cause" exemption under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to make this rule effective upon publication. This rule relieves a restriction, and it is not in the public interest to delay its effective date.

### List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 20

Exports, Hunting, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation, Wildlife.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, we amend part 20, subchapter B, chapter 1 of Title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

### PART 20-[AMENDED]

\*

\*

1. The authority citation for part 20 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 703–712; 16 U.S.C. 742 a–j, Pub. L. 106–108.

2. In § 20.21, revise paragraph (j) to read as follows:

### §20.21 What hunting methods are illegal?

\*

(j) While possessing shot (either in shotshells or as loose shot for muzzleloading) other than steel shot, or bismuth-tin (97 parts bismuth: 3 parts tin with <1 percent residual lead) shot, or tungsten-iron (40 parts tungsten: 60 parts iron with <1 percent residual lead) shot, or tungsten-polymer (95.5 parts tungsten: 4.5 parts Nylon 6 or 11 with <1 percent residual lead) shot, or tungsten-matrix (95.9 parts tungsten: 4.1 parts polymer with <1 percent residual lead) shot, or tungsten-nickel-iron (50% tungsten: 35% nickel: 15% iron with <1 percent residual lead) shot, or tungsteniron-nickel-tin (65% tungsten: 10.4% iron: 2.8% nickel: 21.8% tin with < 1 percent residual lead) shot, or such shot approved as nontoxic by the Director pursuant to procedures set forth in § 20.134, provided that this restriction applies only to the taking of Anatidae (ducks, geese, (including brant) and swans), coots (Fulica americana) and any species that make up aggregate bag limits during concurrent seasons with the former in areas described in § 20.108 as nontoxic shot zones.

Dated: December 11, 2002.

### Craig Mason,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 03–518 Filed 1–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

## 50 CFR Part 300

[I.D. 112702C]

### Notification of U.S. Fish Quotas and an Effort Allocation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Regulatory Area; Correction

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notification of U.S. fish quotas and an effort allocation; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the notification of U.S. fish quotas and an effort allocation published in the Federal Register on December 4, 2002. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick E. Moran, 301–713–2276. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Need for Correction**

An incorrect date was was published under the **DATES** heading of the notification of U.S. quota allocations and an effort allocation, FR Doc 02– 30751, in the issue of December 4, 2002 (67 FR 72110). That document is corrected to read as follows:

On page 72110, column 2, line 8 "January 3, 2004" is corrected to read "January 24, 2003".

Dated: January 6, 2003.

#### John H. Dunnigan,

Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 03–522 Filed 1–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### 50 CFR Part 679

[Docket No. 020814193-2282-02; I.D. 070102C]

## RIN 0648-AQ05

### Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Extend the Interim Groundfish Observer Program Through December 31, 2007, and Amend Regulations for the North Pacific Groundfish Observer Program; Correction

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.