(2) Repair any LP turbine stage 2 rotor discs and LP turbine stage 3 rotor discs found with corrosion pits within repairable limits. Information on repairable limits may be found in RRD Engine Manual Task 72–52–23–200–000 and Task 72–52–24–200–000 respectively.

## **Repetitive Visual Inspections**

(h) Perform repetitive visual inspections of the LP turbine stage 2 rotor disc and LP turbine stage 3 rotor disc for corrosion within every 11,700 cycles-since-last inspection. Information on performing visual inspections can be found in RRD Engine Manual Task 72–52–23–200–000 and Task 72–52–24–200–000 respectively.

(i) Disposition discs that fail inspection as specified in paragraph (g) of this AD.

## **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(j) The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD in accordance with 14 CFR 39.19.

## **Material Incorporated by Reference**

(k) None.

#### **Related Information**

(l) LBA airworthiness directive 2002–287, dated October 17, 2002, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on April 7, 2003.

#### Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03–9017 Filed 4–14–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-343-AD; Amendment 39-13108; AD 2003-07-12]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 737–100, -200, -200C, -300, -400, and -500 series airplanes, that requires inspection of landing gear parts and/or their records to see that parts have serial numbers and that each part's number of flight cycles has been tracked; assignment of serial numbers and flight cycle use numbers if necessary; and removal of individual landing gear components from service when they

reach their life limit. This amendment also requires adding landing gear parts to the lists of safe-life components, and assigning life limits to landing gear parts already in service. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of landing gear parts, which could lead to landing gear collapse. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

**DATES:** Effective May 20, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 20, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Lucier, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 917-6438; fax (425) 917-6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737-100, -200, -200C, -300, -400, and -500 series airplanes was published as a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register on September 25, 2002 (67 FR 60196). That action proposed to require inspection of landing gear parts and/or their records to see that parts have serial numbers and that each part's number of flight cycles has been tracked; assignment of serial numbers and flight cycle use numbers if necessary; and removal of individual landing gear components from service when they reach their life limit. That action also proposed to add landing gear parts to the lists of safe-life components, and assign life limits to landing gear parts already in service.

#### Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received. One commenter did not propose any changes, but asked for clarification of the meaning of certain sections in the supplemental NPRM. The FAA will provide this clarification directly to the commenter.

# Request To Allow Disposition of Life-Limited Parts

One commenter states that the serialization/tracking requirements specified in the supplemental NPRM are more restrictive than the requirements of section 43.10 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.10), which govern the use of all life-limited parts. The commenter reiterates paragraph (c) of that regulation, and notes that six acceptable methods are listed for deterring the installation of a part after it has reached its life limit. The commenter adds that it currently uses two of those six methods (record keeping system and mutilation) to control all life-limited parts. The commenter also adds that it scraps/ mutilates many of the less expensive life-limited components at the end of every overhaul cycle, and/or when the component is removed from service in the line maintenance environment. Then only new parts are used during line replacements and gear assemblies, with documentation in place to identify all parts that are handled by this method. The commenter asks that the FAA consider revising paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), and (e) of the supplemental NPRM to be consistent with the existing guidance in the specified regulation, as it provides adequate assurance of airworthiness.

We do not agree with the commenter that the serialization/tracking requirements specified in the supplemental NPRM are more restrictive than those of the specified regulation. The requirements in paragraph (d) of this final rule do not specify the process the operators must use in order to remove the part from service. This final rule establishes the life limits for aircraft parts not previously tracked, whereas the regulation mandates the disposition of parts after they have reached their life limit.

# **Explanation of Change to Final Rule**

An internal review of the supplemental NPRM and the referenced service information indicates a difference between the two documents. Paragraph (b)(1) of the supplemental NPRM titled, "Assignment of Serial Numbers and Flight Cycles," requires assigning a serial number to each part per a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA. However, in Part 1.B. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–32–1322, Revision

1, dated September 27, 2001, an acceptable method is defined for assigning serial numbers. Therefore, we have changed paragraph (b)(1) in this final rule to include the procedures in the service bulletin as an additional source for assigning serial numbers.

## Explanation of Editorial Change

We have changed the service bulletin citation throughout this final rule to exclude the Evaluation Form. The form is intended to be completed by operators and submitted to the manufacturer to provide input on the quality of the service bulletin; however, this AD does not include such a requirement.

## Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 3,132 Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 1,099 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$65,940, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

# Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**2003–07–12 Boeing:** Amendment 39–13108. Docket 2000–NM–343–AD.

Applicability: Model 737–100, –200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes; certificated in any category; line numbers 1 through 3132 inclusive.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (g) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of landing gear parts, which could lead to landing gear collapse, accomplish the following:

#### Inspection of Parts and/or Records

(a) Within 10 years from the effective date of this AD, examine records and/or landing gear parts per Boeing Service Bulletin 737—32—1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001, to determine whether parts have serial numbers and whether the number of flight cycles for each part has been tracked. If landing gear parts have serial numbers, as listed in the service bulletin, and the number of flight cycles has been tracked, no further action is necessary for paragraphs (a), (b), or (c) of this AD.

# Assignment of Serial Numbers and Flight Cycles

- (b) If any part examined, as mandated in paragraph (a) of this AD, does not have a serial number, within 10 years from the effective date of this AD, do the actions required by paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this AD.
- (1) Assign a serial number to each part per Part 1.B. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–32–1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001; or per a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA.
- (2) Mark the serial number on each part per Boeing Service Bulletin 737–32–1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001.
- (c) If flight cycles for any part examined, as mandated in paragraph (a) of this AD, have not been tracked, within 10 years from the effective date of this AD, assign a number of lifetime flight cycles to that part per Part 2.B. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–32–1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001.

# Removal From Service at Life Limit

(d) When any landing gear part has reached its life-limit number of flight cycles, as described in Part 2.B. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737—32—1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001, before further flight, remove that part from service and replace it with a landing gear part having a serial number and a lifetime flight cycle number per the service bulletin.

#### **Parts Installation**

- (e) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane a life-limited landing gear part unless it has been assigned a serial number and a lifetime flight cycle number per the requirements of this AD.
- (f) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane a life-limited landing gear part that has reached its life limit of flight cycles, per Boeing Service Bulletin 737–32–1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(g) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

## **Special Flight Permits**

(h) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

# **Incorporation by Reference**

(i) Unless otherwise provided in this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–32–1322, Revision 1, excluding Evaluation Form, dated September 27, 2001. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### **Effective Date**

(j) This amendment becomes effective on May 20, 2003.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 4, 2003.

# Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03–8739 Filed 4–14–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-329-AD; Amendment 39-13109; AD 2003-07-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 757–200, –200CB, and –200PF Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 757 series airplanes, that currently requires repetitive inspections for excessive wear

of the internal and external splines of the torque tube couplings of the trailing edge flaps, and replacement of the couplings, if necessary. That AD also provides an optional modification that, if installed, constitutes terminating action for the inspection requirements. This amendment expands the applicability of the existing AD and requires new inspections of the torque tube assemblies and certain gearbox assemblies and universal joints in the drive system for the inboard trailing edge flaps, and follow-on actions if necessary. For certain airplanes, this amendment also adds a new optional modification, which, if installed, terminates certain inspections. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent separations in the drive system for the inboard trailing edge flaps, which could cause a flap skew condition that could result in damage to the flaps or fuselage, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Effective May 20, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications, as listed in the regulations, is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 20, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain other publications, as listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of December 23, 1992 (57 FR 54298, November 18, 1992).

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas Tsuji, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM– 130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 917–6487; fax (425) 917–6590.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A

proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 92–25–01, amendment 39–8416 (57 FR 54298, November 18, 1992), which is applicable to certain Boeing Model 757 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on May 29, 2002 (67 FR 37357). The action proposed to continue to require repetitive

inspections for excessive wear of the internal and external splines of the torque tube couplings of the trailing edge flaps, and replacement of the couplings, if necessary. The action proposed to expand the applicability of the existing AD and require new inspections of the torque tube assemblies and certain gearbox assemblies and universal joints (Ujoints) in the drive system for the inboard trailing edge flaps, and followon actions if necessary. For certain airplanes, the action also proposed to require a previously optional modification and/or a new modification, which would terminate certain inspections.

# **Explanation of New Relevant Service Information**

Since the issuance of the proposed AD, the FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 757-27A0125, Revision 2, dated July 25, 2002. The proposed AD refers to Revision 1 of that service bulletin, dated December 2, 1999, as the appropriate source of service information for the proposed inspections and corrective actions. Boeing issued Revision 2 of the service bulletin primarily to reduce the effectivity due to the installation of a flap-skew detection system on airplanes with line numbers 981 and subsequent. Boeing also made certain editorial changes in Revision 2 of the service bulletin. Since there are no changes in Revision 2 of the service bulletin that affect the actions required by this AD, we have revised paragraphs (b), (c), (d), and (e) of this AD to refer to Revision 2 of the service bulletin instead of Revision 1. The new paragraph (h) of this AD gives credit for inspections accomplished before the effective date of this AD per Revision 1 of the service bulletin.

# **Explanation of Changes to Proposed AD**

Because the language in Note 5 of the proposed AD is regulatory in nature, the provisions of that note have been included in paragraph (h) of this AD. Subsequent paragraphs and notes have been reidentified accordingly.

Also, for clarification, we have revised service bulletin references in the body of this AD to specify that the appropriate source for instructions is the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

## Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.