

On January 31, 2002, RUS published a proposed rule (67 FR 4679) to rescind RUS Bulletin 345–22, RUS Specification for Voice Frequency Loading Coils, PE–26, because of obsolescence. Comments on this proposed rule were due by April 1, 2002. One comment was received by this due date. The commenter agreed with RUS that the bulletin should be rescinded because of obsolescence. Since the commenter agreed with RUS, RUS will rescind RUS Bulletin 345–22, RUS Specification for Voice Frequency Loading Coils, PE–26.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1755

Loan programs—communications, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas, Telephone.

For reasons set out in the preamble, RUS amends Chapter XVII of title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 1755—TELECOMMUNICATIONS STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION.

1. The authority citation for part 1755 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*, 1921 *et seq.*, 6941 *et seq.*

§ 1755.97 [Amended]

2. Section 1755.97 is amended by removing the entry “RUS Bulletin No. 345–22” from the table.

Dated: January 3, 2003.

Hilda Gay Legg,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 03–3746 Filed 2–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

12 CFR Part 211

Regulation K; Docket No. R–1143; International Banking Operations

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Interpretation.

SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has issued an interpretation concerning the underwriting by foreign banks of securities to be distributed in the United States. The interpretation clarifies that a foreign bank that wishes to engage in such activity must either be a financial holding company or have authority to engage in underwriting activity under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen M. O’Day, Associate General Counsel (202/452–3786), Ann Misback, Assistant General Counsel (202/452–3788), or Michael Waldron, Counsel (202/452–2798), Legal Division, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20551. For users of Telecommunications Devices for the Deaf (TDD) only, contact 202/263–4869.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A number of foreign banks that are subject to the Bank Holding Company Act (“BHC Act”), but do not have authority to engage in underwriting activity in the United States, have participated as co-managers in the underwriting of securities that are to be distributed in the United States. The foreign banks use U.S. offices or affiliates to engage in activities conducted in support of the underwriting transaction for which the U.S. offices or affiliates are compensated by the foreign bank. The foreign bank becomes a member of the underwriting syndicate but does not distribute any of the securities in the United States or elsewhere. The foreign banks take the position that they are not engaged in underwriting in the United States because any underwriting obligation is booked outside the United States.

A foreign bank that is subject to the BHC Act may engage in underwriting activities in the United States only if it has been authorized under section 4 of that Act. Section 225.124 of the Board’s Regulation Y states that a foreign bank will not be considered to be engaged in the activity of underwriting in the United States if the shares to be underwritten are distributed outside the United States. In the transactions in question, all of the securities were distributed in the United States.

Regulation K defines “engaged in business” and “engaged in activities” to mean conducting an activity through an office or subsidiary in the United States. In 1985, however, the Board amended another provision of Regulation K to clarify that, with respect to securities activities, the location of the prohibited activity was not dependent on being conducted through an office or subsidiary in the United States. Section 211.23(f)(5)(ii) of Regulation K states that a foreign banking organization shall not:

Directly underwrite, sell, or distribute, nor own or control more than 10 percent of the voting shares of a company that underwrites, sells, or distributes securities in the United States, except to the extent permitted bank holding companies;

In adopting the provision, the Board stated in part that it was intended to

clarify that no part of the prohibited underwriting process may take place in the United States. Thus, the prohibition did not depend on the activity being conducted through a U.S. office or subsidiary. The definition of “engaged in business” in Regulation K is not intended to operate as authority to allow banking organizations to avoid regulatory restrictions in the United States by conducting activities from abroad, as the 1985 revision of § 211.23(f)(5)(ii) made clear.

Technological and regulatory changes since the Regulation K definition of “engaged in business” was adopted in 1979 have eliminated some of the barriers to the delivery of cross-border services into the United States. Many of the services that can now be provided on a cross-border basis, including securities and insurance, were not permissible activities for banking organizations to conduct in the United States prior to the enactment of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (“GLB Act”) and generally can be conducted by banking organizations in the United States today only in conformance with the requirements of that Act. To allow activities to be conducted in the United States from abroad would undermine the careful framework adopted in the GLB Act, which is available to both domestic and foreign banking organizations.

As a result, the Board believes it would be appropriate to issue this interpretation in order to clarify the scope of existing restrictions on underwriting by foreign banks. Specifically, the Board wishes to clarify that the underwriting by a banking organization subject to the BHC Act of securities to be distributed in the United States is an activity that is considered to be conducted in the United States. Such activity may only be conducted by a banking organization that is a financial holding company under the GLB Act or has so-called section 20 authority under section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 211

Exports, Federal Reserve System, Foreign banking, Holding companies, Investments, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Board amends 12 CFR Part 211 as follows:

PART 211—INTERNATIONAL BANKING OPERATIONS (REGULATION K)

1. The authority citation for part 211 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 221 *et seq.*, 1818, 1835a, 1841 *et seq.*, 3101 *et seq.*, and 3901 *et seq.*

2. Part 211 is amended by adding a new § 211.605 to read as follows:

§ 211.605 Permissible underwriting activities of foreign banks.

(a) Introduction. A number of foreign banks that are subject to the Bank Holding Company Act (“BHC Act”) have participated as co-managers in the underwriting of securities to be distributed in the United States despite the fact that the foreign banks in question do not have authority to engage in underwriting activity in the United States under either the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (“GLB Act”) or section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)). This interpretation clarifies the scope of existing restrictions on underwriting by such foreign banks with respect to securities that are distributed in the United States.

(b) Underwriting transactions engaged in by foreign banks. (1) In the transactions in question, a foreign bank typically becomes a member of the underwriting syndicate for securities that are registered and intended to be distributed in the United States. The lead underwriter, usually a registered U.S. broker-dealer not affiliated with the foreign bank, agrees to be responsible for distributing the securities being underwritten. The underwriting obligation is assumed by a foreign office or affiliate of the foreign bank.

(2) The foreign banks have used their U.S. offices or affiliates to act as liaison with the U.S. issuer and the lead underwriter in the United States, to prepare documentation and to provide other services in connection with the underwriting. In some cases, the U.S. offices or affiliates that assisted the foreign bank with the underwriting receive a substantial portion of the revenue generated by the foreign bank’s participation in the underwriting. In other cases, the U.S. offices receive “credit” from the head office of the foreign bank for their assistance in generating profits arising from the underwriting.

(3) By assuming the underwriting risk and booking the underwriting fees in their foreign offices or affiliates, the foreign banks are able to take advantage of an exemption under U.S. securities laws; a foreign underwriter is not required to register in the United States

if the underwriter either does not distribute any of the securities in the United States or distributes them only through a registered broker-dealer.

(c) Permissible scope of underwriting activities. (1) A foreign bank that is subject to the BHC Act may engage in underwriting activities in the United States only if it has been authorized under section 4 of the Act. The foreign banks in question have argued that they are not engaged in underwriting activity in the United States because the underwriting activity takes place only outside the United States where the transaction is booked. The foreign banks refer to Regulation K, which defines “engaged in business” or “engaged in activities” to mean conducting an activity through an office or subsidiary in the United States. Because the underwriting is not booked in a U.S. office or subsidiary, the banks assert that the activity cannot be considered conducted in the United States.

(2) The Board believes that the position taken by the foreign banks is not supported by the Board’s regulations or policies. Section 225.124 of the Board’s Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.124(d)) states that a foreign bank will not be considered to be engaged in the activity of underwriting in the United States if the shares to be underwritten are distributed outside the United States. In the transactions in question, all of the securities to be underwritten by the foreign banks are distributed in the United States.

(3) Regulation K (12 CFR part 211) was amended in 1985 to provide clarification that a foreign bank may not own or control voting shares of a foreign company that directly underwrites, sells or distributes securities in the United States (emphasis added). 12 CFR 211.23(f)(5)(ii). In proposing this latter provision, the Board clarified that no part of the prohibited underwriting process may take place in the United States and that the prohibition on the activity does not depend on the activity being conducted through an office or subsidiary in the United States. Moreover, in the transactions in question, there was significant participation by U.S. offices and affiliates of the foreign banks in the underwriting process. In some transactions, the foreign office at which the transactions were booked did not have any documentation on the particular transactions; all documentation was maintained in the United States office. In all cases, the U.S. offices or affiliates provided virtually all technical support for participation in the underwriting

process and benefitted from profits generated by the activity.

(4) The fact that some technological and regulatory constraints on the delivery of cross-border services into the United States have been eliminated since the Regulation K definition of “engaged in business” was adopted in 1979 creates greater scope for banking organizations to deal with customers outside the U.S. bank regulatory framework. The definition in Regulation K, however, does not authorize foreign banking organizations to evade regulatory restrictions on securities activities in the United States by directly underwriting securities to be distributed in the United States or by using U.S. offices and affiliates to facilitate the prohibited activity. In the GLB Act, Congress established a framework within which both domestic and foreign banking organizations may underwrite and deal in securities in the United States. The GLB Act requires that banking organizations meet certain financial and managerial requirements in order to be able to engage in these activities in the United States. The Board believes the practices described above undermine this legislative framework and constitute an evasion of the requirements of the GLB Act and the Board’s Regulation K. Foreign banking organizations that wish to conduct securities underwriting activity in the United States have long had the option of obtaining section 20 authority and now have the option of obtaining financial holding company status.

(d) Conclusion. The Board finds that the underwriting of securities to be distributed in the United States is an activity conducted in the United States, regardless of the location at which the underwriting risk is assumed and the underwriting fees are booked. Consequently, any banking organization that wishes to engage in such activity must either be a financial holding company under the GLB Act or have authority to engage in underwriting activity under section 4(c)(8) of the BHC Act (so-called “section 20 authority”). Revenue generated by underwriting bank-ineligible securities in such transactions should be attributed to the section 20 company for those foreign banks that operate under section 20 authority.

Dated: By order of the Board of Governors, February 7, 2003.

Jennifer J. Johnson,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 03–3643 Filed 2–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-02-P