Dated: July 15, 2003. Sharon DiPinto, Acting Assistant Field Manager, Division of Lands, Las Vegas, NV. [FR Doc. 03–20462 Filed 8–11–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–HC–P

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-479]

In the Matter of: Certain Coamoxiclav Products, Potassium Clavulanate Products, and Other Products Derived From Clavulanic Acid; Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") terminating the above-referenced investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3104. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TTD terminal on (202) 205–1810. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 5, 2002, based on a complaint filed by GlaxoSmithKline, PLC of the United Kingdom and SmithKlineBeecham d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (collectively, GSK) alleging a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale after importation of certain coamoxiclav products, potassium clavulanate products, and other products derived from clavulanic acid products and potassium clavulanate by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets and unfair competition. 67 FR 57850. The complainant named Biochemie GmbH, of Austria, Biochemie SpA, of Italy, Novartis AG of Switzerland, and Geneva Pharmaceuticals of New Jersey as respondents.

On July 11, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID granting a joint motion by GSK and all respondents to the investigation to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. The motion was supported by the Commission investigative attorney. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 190, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42(h).

Issued: August 5, 2003. By order of the Commission. Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 03–20492 Filed 8–11–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States and New Jersey, Plaintiffs; v. Waste Management, Inc., and Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Defendants; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America et al.* v. *Waste Management, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 1:03CV01409(GK).

On June 27, 2003, the United States and the State of New Jersey filed a Complaint alleging that Waste Management's acquisition of certain voting securities and waste-hauling and disposal assets of Allied would lessen competition substantially in the provision of small container commercial waste collection services in the areas of Pitkin County, Colorado; Garfield County, Colorado; Augusta, Georgia; Myrtle Beach, South Carolina; Morris County, New Jersey; and Bergen and

Passaic Counties, New Jersey, and in the provision of municipal solid waste disposal services in the Bergen and Passaic Counties, New Jersey and Tulsa and Muskogee, Oklahoma disposal areas, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, requires, among other things, that defendant Waste Management (1) divest small commercial waste collection assets in the areas of Pitkin County, Colorado; Garfield County, Colorado; Augusta Georgia; Myrtle Beach, South Carolina; Morris County, New Jersey; and Bergen and Passaic Counties, New Jersey; (2) alter the contracts it uses with its existing and new small container commercial waste customers in the areas of Augusta, Georgia and Myrtle Beach, South Carolina; (3) divest transfer station facilities serving Bergen and Passaic Counties, New Jersey; and (4) sell throughput disposal rights at a facility serving Bergen and Passaic Counties, New Jersey. Copies of the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, and the Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Suite 215 North, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004 (telephone: (202) 514-2692), and at the Clerk's Office of the U.S. Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to J. Robert Kramer II, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 307–0924).

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

[Case No: 03 1409]

Hold Separate Stipulation and Order

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties, subject to approval and entry by the Court, that:

I. Definitions

As used in this Hold Separate Stipulation and Order:

Â. "Acquirer" means the entity or entities to whom Waste Management divests the Relevant Disposal Assets, Relevant Hauling Assets, or the Alternative Disposal Asset.