Prediction of normal tissue radiosensitivity from polymorphisms in candidate genes

C. Nicolaj Andreassen MD, PhD

Department of Experimental Clinical Oncology Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark nicolaj@oncology.dk



Variation in normal tissue response



Burnet et al. 1998

Prediction of normal tissue response



Human genome

- **3 billion bases**
- 25.000 genes
- **11 million SNPs**



And a possibly much higher number of different rare sequence alterations

Three basic assumptions

- Clinical radiosensitivity should be regarded as a 'complex trait' dependent on the combined influence of genetic alterations in several genes
- Single nucleotide polymorphisms constitute a proportion of such genetic determinants
- Some genetic determinants selectively affect certain types of normal tissue reactions whereas others exhibit a general impact on radiosensitivity

A putative model



00

A putative model





Overview of clinical studies



Sample size 5 – 446 Median 78 pts.

21 studies on SNPs

18 studies on other types of variation

Overview of clinical studies



TGFB1

ATM BCL2 BRCA1 BRCA2 XRCC1 XRCC2 XRCC3 XRCC5 APEX OGG1 XPF XPD hHR21 RAD50 RAD51 RAD52 NBS MRE11 DNA lig IV ERCC2 ERCC4 ESR1 NBN MSH6 NR3C1

GSTP1 GSTM1 GSTT1 GSTA1 SOD2 CAT MPO eNOS

CYP1A1 CYP2C9 CYP2C19 CYP3A5 CYP2D6 CYP11B2 CYP17A1 DHFR CX3CR1 Hyl-1 MS HTHFR

Toma Suga et al. 2007: 999 SNPs in 137 genes. N=399



TGF beta 1 SNPs



Introduction Working hypothesis Clinical data Future 📀

ð





 $\odot \bigcirc$

TGF-B1 codon 10 genotype and fibrosis risk



TGF-B1 codon 10 genotype and fibrosis risk



MATERIAL

1986-1994 935 Early stage breast cancer patients



Radiotherapy fractionation trial ("Pre START trial')

Royal Marsden Hospital

Gloucestershire Oncology Centre



26 matched case-control pairs



26 matched case-control pairs



Head and neck patients from the DAHANCA trials

Presented at ECCO 14

- N=99 **DAHANCA 6&7**
- Treated in 1992-1999
- Dose 66–68 Gy, 2 Gy per fraction, 5 or 6 fx per week
- Median length of follow up 59 months

Abstract #900

• N=304

- Treated in 2000-2006
- Dose 66–68 Gy, 2 Gy per fraction, 6 fx per week
- Median length of follow up 41 months

Scored for subcutaneous fibrosis at a four point ordinal scale (grades 0-3) as part of rutine follow up

Cumulative fibrosis risk according to TGFB1 position -509 genotype



Future

00

Cumulative fibrosis risk according to TGFB1 position -509 genotype



Future

00

Meta analysis: TGFB1 position -509 T allele and late toxicity risk

TT vs. TC/CC	<u>o</u>	dds ratio a	nd 95% (<u>)</u>			
Damaraju (2006)	I			>	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit
Quarmby (2003)					3.78	0.64	22.36
Andreassen (2003)				\rightarrow	4.47	0.47	42.21
Andreassen (2004)		_			7.50	0.83	67.49
Andreassen (2006)					0.82	0.32	2.09
De Ruyck (2005)		_		\longrightarrow	3.21	0.69	15.00
Andreassen (ECCO 1	4) —				0.79	0.14	4.55
Andreassen (ECCO 1	4)				1.12	0.45	2.79
Giotopoulos (2007)		-		\longrightarrow	3.34	0.90	12.39
Peters (2007)		-		\longrightarrow	3.39	0.97	11.82
AII			\diamond		1.80	1.15	2.80
	0.1 0.2	0.5 1	2	5 10			
Reduced risk Enhanced risk							
ntroduction Work	kina hvi	oothes	sis	Clinical o	data	Futi	Jre (

Meta analysis: TGFB1 position -509 T allele and late toxicity risk



<u>C</u> O

ATM



DETECTION OF DNA DSB

INDUCTION OF CELL CYCLE ARREST

DNA REPAIR

APOPTOSIS

ATM



DETECTION OF DNA DSB

INDUCTION OF CELL CYCLE ARREST

DNA REPAIR

APOPTOSIS

<u>:</u>

AT heterozygosity and clinical radiosensitivity

Author (year)	N =	
Appleby JM et al. (1997)	23	
Clarke RA et al. (1998)	9	No significant
Ramsey J et al. (1998)	15	accumulation of AT
Shayeghi M et al. (1998)	80	heterozygocity among
Hall EJ et al. (1998)	17	'overreactors'
Oppitz U et al. (1999)	20	
Weissberg JB et al. (1998)	13	
Bremer M et al. (2003)	10	

Ì

<u>C</u>)

AT heterozygosity and clinical radiosensitivity

Author (year)	N=
Appleby JM et al. (1997)	23
Clarke RA et al. (1998)	9
Ramsey J et al. (1998)	15
Shayeghi M et al. (1998)	80
Hall EJ et al. (1998)	17
Oppitz U et al. (1999)	20
Weissberg JB et al. (1998)	13
Bremer M et al. (2003)	10

No evidence of excessive radiation reactions in AT heterozygotes

Future

ð

 \odot

DHPLC

Association between the possession of 2 ATM single base alterations and enhanced risk of fibrosis after radiotherapy for breast cancer (N=46)

p=0.001

Iannuzzi CM et al. IJROBP 52: 606-613 (2002)

Association between the possession single base ATM alterations and enhanced risk of late toxicity after bracytherapy for prostate cancer (N=37)

p = 0.005

Cesaretti et al. JA. IJROBP 61: 196-202 (2005)

DHPLC

Association between the possession of ATM single base alterations and enhanced risk of late skin or subcutaneous toxicity after radiotherapy for breast cancer (N=131)

OR 2.4 (95% CI 1.1-5.2)

Ho AY et al. IJROBP 69: 677-684 (2007)

Association between the possession single base ATM alterations and enhanced risk of late toxicity after bracytherapy for prostate cancer (N=108)

p = 0.04 - 0.05

Cesaretti JA et al. IJROBP 68: 1406-1410 (2007)

METHOD





RESULTS

22 patients had a total of 28 genetic alterations

9 patients had 2 alterations each

All alterations were single base substitutions

10 caused amino acid change

ATM genotype and risk of subcutaneous fibrosis



 \odot

ATM genotype and risk of subcutaneous fibrosis

 \odot

Overview: ATM codon 1853 Asn allele and toxicity risk

Author (year)	N =	Significant association
Hall E et al. (1998)	17	"TREND"
Angele S et al. (2003)	254	YES
Andreassen CN et al. (2003)	41	YES
Andreassen CN et al. (2004)	52	"TREND"
Andreassen CN et al. (2006)	120	TREND (ER 1.04 (0.99-1.10))
Damaraju S et al. (2006)	124	TREND (OR 2.12 (O.81-5.59))
Ho AY et al. (2007)	131	YES
Tomo Suga MS et al. (2007)	399	ATM 1853 not polymorphic

Ì

ିତ୍ତ

A putative model

Introduction Working hypothesis Clinical data Future

ð

 \odot

How to proceed?

Consolidation of previous findings

Broad-based candidate gene approach

Whole genome association studies??

Gene expression profiling

RNA interference

Animal models

Studies addressing oncogenesis and tumour biology

How to proceed?

How to proceed?

Conclusion

- A number of relatively small and methodologically heterogeneous studies have investigated possible associations between SNPs in candidate genes and clinical normal tissue radiosensitivity
- Indications exist that that SNPs in TGFB1 and ATM may affect normal tissue complication risk
- We are still far from having a comprehensive understanding of the genetics that may underlie radiosensitivity
- Recent advances in molecular biology provide new opportunities to gain insight in the mechanisms underlying radiation-induced normal tissue damage

The persuit for the holy grail

I just looked in the genes, father

Where did you find it, my son?

Thank you for your attention

nicolaj@oncology.dk