

ministerial error allegation. Petitioners allege that for sales made by Mexinox's U.S. affiliated reseller, Ken-Mac Metals, Inc. (Ken-Mac), the Department inadvertently set to zero further manufacturing expenses incurred by Mexinox USA. Thus, petitioners request that the Department correct this error by removing two lines of code from the final margin calculation program. Mexinox did not comment on this ministerial error allegation.

Department's Position

We agree with petitioners. In our margin calculation program, we calculated U.S. price based on sales made by Mexinox USA and Ken-Mac. Mexinox reported sales made by these entities in two separate databases. To append the two databases without error, if a particular variable appeared in one database but not the other, we assigned a value of zero to that variable in the latter database. In doing so, we erroneously set the variables FURMAN1U and FURMAN2U to zero when introducing the database containing Ken-Mac's sales. Because these two variables are not unique to the Mexinox USA sales listing but rather appear in the Ken-Mac sales listing as well, they should not have been set to zero. Thus, we have amended this error by removing the language found at lines 2372 and 2373 of the final margin calculation program.

Amended Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we have amended the final results of the 2000–2001 antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico, as noted above. However, the weighted-average percentage margin for Mexinox remains unchanged at 6.15 percent.

This administrative review and notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: March 14, 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–6734 Filed 3–19–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 031703C]

Notice of Availability of the National Coral Reef Action Strategy for Public Comment

AGENCY: National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 requires the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) to submit to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and to the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives, and publish in the **Federal Register**, a national coral reef action strategy (Strategy), consistent with the purposes of the Act.

Pursuant to the Act, NOAA has prepared a National Coral Reef Action Strategy, in cooperation with the U.S. Coral Reef Task Force (Task Force), which provides a statement of Goals and Objectives, implementation plans, and a description of federal funding directly related to advancing coral reef conservation each fiscal year. The Strategy is intended to help guide and improve U.S. government and non-government efforts to conserve coral reefs. This notice announces the availability of the National Coral Reef Action Strategy for use in implementing the Coral Reef Conservation Grant Program and public review.

DATES: Comments on the National Coral Reef Action Strategy must be received no later than May 19, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and public inspection of these comments may be sent to NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program, 1305 East West Highway, NOS/ORR 10201, Silver Spring, MD 20910; faxed to (301)-713-4389; or emailed to roger.b.griffis@noaa.gov. Copies of the Strategy are available from this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger Griffis; (301)-713-2989 extension 115; roger.b.griffis@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The National Coral Reef Action Strategy was produced through extensive consultation with the federal, state, territory and commonwealth members of the Task Force and its

Working Groups. The Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 states that in developing this Strategy, the Secretary may consult with the Task Force. The Strategy builds on the existing National Action Plan to Conserve Coral Reefs, which was adopted by the Task Force in 2000 as the national blueprint for U.S. action to address the coral reef crisis. The Coral Reef Conservation Act of 2000 also establishes a Coral Reef Conservation Program to provide grants of financial assistance for projects that are consistent with the Strategy.

The Strategy is designed to track accomplishments and identify priorities to implement the goals and objectives of the Coral Reef Conservation Act and the National Action Plan to Conserve Coral Reefs. The Strategy provides partial summaries of accomplishments and needs to address 13 major goals. The intent is to work closely with the Task Force, other partners, and the public to update the Strategy annually or as needed to help guide future actions.

The Task Force was established by Executive Order 13089 in 1998 to help lead and coordinate U.S. government efforts (both domestically and internationally) to conserve and sustain coral reef ecosystems. The Task Force is co-chaired by the Secretary of Commerce and the Secretary of the Interior, and includes the heads of 11 federal agencies and the Governors of 7 states, territories and commonwealths with coral reef management responsibilities.

After the close of the comment period, NOAA will consider the comments received during review and possible revision of the Strategy in the future. The Strategy is available from the web site www.coralreef.noaa.gov or from see **ADDRESSES**.

Authority: Pub. L 106–562.

Dated: February 26, 2003.

Jamison S. Hawkins,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Ocean Services and Coastal Zone Management.

[FR Doc. 03–6713 Filed 3–19–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–JE–S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Coastal Engineering Research Board (CERB) Meeting

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory

Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Coastal Engineering Research Board (CERB).

Dates of Meeting: April 9, 2003.

Place: Sheraton Gateway Hotel Atlanta Airport, College Park, Georgia.

Time: 10 a.m. to 4 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Inquiries and notice of intent to attend the meeting may be addressed to Colonel John W. Morris III, Executive Secretary, Coastal Engineering Research Board, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-6199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Agenda: An Executive Session of the CERB will be held April 9, 2003. Topics to be discussed will include Section 227 Shoreline Erosion and Control Demonstration Projects and Contracting Process, Regional Sediment Management (RSM) Demonstration and RSM Research and Development, Field Data Collection Programs and Performance of Shore Protection projects, the National Shoreline Management Study, and Coastal Louisiana.

This meeting is open to the public, but since seating capacity of the meeting room is limited, advance notice of intent to attend, although not required, is requested in order to assure adequate arrangements for those wishing to attend.

John W. Morris III,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-6700 Filed 3-19-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-61-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No. 84.215L]

Office of Vocational and Adult Education—Smaller Learning Communities Program

ACTION: Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2002.

Purpose of Program: On January 8, 2002, President George W. Bush signed into law the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, which reauthorized the Smaller Learning Communities Program. The purpose of the Smaller Learning Communities Program is to support academic achievement through awarding competitive grants to LEAs applying on behalf of large public high schools for the planning and

implementation or expansion of small, safe, and successful learning environments in large public high schools. These grants are authorized by Title V, part D, subpart 4 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA) (20 U.S.C. 7249), as amended by Public Law 107-110, the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

Eligible Applicants: Local educational agencies (LEAs), including schools funded by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA schools), applying on behalf of large high schools are eligible. For purposes of this program, a large high school is defined as a school that includes grades 11 and 12 and enrolls at least 1,000 students in grades 9 and above.

Applications Available: March 20, 2003.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: May 19, 2003.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: July 18, 2003.

Estimated Available Funds: \$135,000,000.

Types and Ranges of Awards: The Secretary will award both planning and implementation grants under this competition. In an effort to encourage systemic, district-level reform efforts, the Secretary is permitting an individual LEA to submit a maximum of one planning grant application and one implementation grant application under this competition, specifying in each application which high schools the LEA intends to fund. An LEA may not apply for both a planning and implementation grant on behalf of the same high school. A high school may only be included in either the LEA's planning grant application or its implementation grant application. Applicants pursuing planning grant funds must not yet have developed a viable plan for creating smaller learning communities in the schools that would be served through the grant. To apply for implementation grant funds, applicants must be prepared either to implement a new smaller learning community program within each targeted high school, or to expand an existing smaller learning community program.

For a one-year planning grant, LEAs may receive, on behalf of a single school, \$25,000 to \$50,000 per project. LEAs applying on behalf of a group of eligible schools may receive up to \$250,000 per planning grant. As this program is designed for redesign and improvement efforts at the individual school level, districts must stay within the minimum and maximum school allocations when determining their award request. In addition, in order to ensure sufficient planning funds at the

local level, LEAs may not request funds for more than 10 schools under a single application.

The chart below provides eligible ranges for awards under a planning grant:

Number of schools in LEA application	Award ranges
One school	\$25,000-50,000
Two schools	\$50,000-100,000
Three schools	\$75,000-150,000
Four schools	\$100,000-200,000
Five schools	\$125,000-250,000
Six schools	\$150,000-250,000
Seven schools	\$175,000-250,000
Eight schools	\$200,000-250,000
Nine schools	\$225,000-250,000
Ten schools	\$250,000

In previous SLC competitions, applicants have routinely requested more money than the above award ranges dictate. As a result, plans submitted to the Department have included any number of activities that could only be made possible if an applicant received a funding amount much higher than intended in the award range. Based on this experience, the Department will fund only those applications that correctly request funds within the award ranges specified in this notice for both planning and implementation grants. Applicants requesting funding amounts higher than the award ranges dictated by the number of schools to be served will be declared ineligible and will not receive funding. Further, schools that received support through planning grants in the FY 2000 or FY 2001 competition are not eligible to receive support through additional planning grants under this competition.

For a three-year implementation grant, LEAs may receive, on behalf of a single school, \$250,000 to \$500,000. LEAs applying on behalf of a group of eligible schools may request up to \$2,500,000 per implementation grant. As with planning grants, districts must stay within the minimum and maximum school allocations when determining their group award request, or the Department will consider the application ineligible. In order to ensure sufficient implementation funds at the local level, LEAs may not request funds for more than 10 schools under a single application.

The chart below provides eligible ranges for awards under the implementation grant:

Number of schools in LEA application	Award ranges
One school	\$250,000-500,000
Two schools	500,000-1,000,000