### **Notices**

Federal Register

Vol. 68, No. 95

Friday, May 16, 2003

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

# ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

# Draft Amendment to the Army Alternate Procedures

**AGENCY:** Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to amend the Army Alternate Procedures.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation ("ACHP") proposes to amend the Army Alternate Procedures ("AAP") which were approved by the ACHP on July 13, 2001. The AAP provide the Army with an alternate way to comply with the historic preservation review process mandated by the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed amendment will allow the Chairman of the ACHP to approve administrative and technical amendments to the AAP.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this proposed amendment to Mr. David Berwick, Army Program Manager, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 809, Washington, DC 20004. Fax (202) 606–8672. You may submit electronic comments to: dberwick@achp.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. David Berwick, 202–606–8505.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires Federal agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and provide the ACHP a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings. The Council has issued the regulations that set forth the process through which Federal Agencies comply with these responsibilities. Those regulations are codified under 36 CFR part 800 ("Section 106 regulations").

The ACHP can allow agencies to streamline the regular Section 106 review process through alternate procedures authorized pursuant to 36 CFR 800.14(a). Instead of going through each of the steps detailed in subpart B of the Section 106 regulations, an agency can meet its Section 106 responsibilities by following an alternate procedure approved for agency implementation by the ACHP.

On July 13, 2001, the ACHP approved the Alternate Procedures proposed by the Department of the Army ("Army") for implementation at its installations. These procedures allow installation commanders to elect to follow the AAP or continue to follow the ACHP's Section 106 regulations. As currently written, neither the AAP nor the Section106 regulations provide a process for amending previously approved alternate procedures without going back to the ACHP membership for approval.

Both the Army and the ACHP have determined that there may be times at which the AAP need to be revised to take into account changes internal to the Army. The proposed amendment would allow administrative and technical changes to be made to the AAP, and approval of these changes would be made by the Chairman of the ACHP. Changes allowed under this amendment would be changes to the AAP of a minor nature that do not have an effect on the roles of consulting parties in the process. The amendment would limit changes to those having an effect solely on the Army. Changes that would affect the role of consulting parties under the AAP would continue to require the approval of the full ACHP membership after consultation with consulting parties and the general public.

You can find a full copy of the present version of the AAP at 67 FR 10138 (March 6, 2002) and on the Internet at http://www.achp.gov/army.html.

## Amendment to the Army Alternate Procedures

For the reasons stated above, the ACHP proposes to amend the AAP as follows:

Add the following subsection to the end of section 7.1 (Council Review of Army Section 106 Compliance) of the AAP:

(d) Upon request by Headquarters, Department of the Army, the Council may adopt technical and/or administrative amendments to the Army Alternate Procedures. Such amendments will take effect upon approval by the Council's Chairman. The Council shall publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of such amendment within 30 days after their approval. Technical and administrative amendments shall not modify the role of consulting parties in the Army Alternate Procedures.

**Authority:** 36 CFR 800.14(A).

Dated: May 12, 2003.

#### Sharon Conway,

Acting Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 03-12200 Filed 5-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

May 9, 2003.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC 20503 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250-7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification.

Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–6746.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### **Economic Research Service**

*Title:* Estimating Consumer Benefits of Improving Food Safety.

OMB Control Number: 0536-NEW. Summary of Collection: The U.S. Department of Agriculture has the responsibility to ensure that meat and poultry products are safe for human consumption. The Economic Research Service (ERS) has the responsibility to conduct economic research on the economic benefits and costs of policies and program designed to reduce and prevent illnesses caused by microbial pathogens. ERS has estimated the cost of medical treatment and lost productivity and premature death from diseases caused by five microbial pathogens at \$6.9 billion annually. These costs understate the true social costs of these illnesses since they do not measure the consumer's willingness to pay to prevent food borne disease. ERS will collect information using two surveys.

Need and Use of the Information: ERS will collect information to determine (1) the extent to which a willingness to pay approach would boost assessments of the economic value of reductions in foodborne illnesses, and (2) to identify factors that influence consumers' valuation of these reductions, including personal and household characteristics, and information the consumer receives about foodborne illness.

Description of Respondents:
Individuals or households.
Number of Respondents: 2-9

Number of Respondents: 2,900. Frequency of Responses: Reporting; Other (once and 3 times).

# Total Burden Hours: 1,950. Animal & Plant Health Inspection

Service
Title: National Poultry Improvement Plan (NPIP).

OMB Control Number: 0579–0007. Summary of Collection: The National Poultry Improvement Plan (NPIP) is a voluntary Federal-State-industry mechanism for controlling certain poultry diseases and for improving poultry flocks and products through disease control techniques. The National Turkey Improvement Plan was

combined with the NPIP in 1970 to create the NPIP, as it now exists. Emu, rhea, ostrich, and cassowary breeding flocks are also allowed participation in the plan. The effective implementation of the NPIP necessitates the use of several information collection activities, including sentinel bird identification, as well as the creation and submission of flock testing reports, sales reports, breeding flock participation summaries, hatchery participation summaries, salmonella investigation reports, salmonella serotyping requests, and small chick order printouts. Authority for this program is contained in the U.S. Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944, as amended (7 U.S.C. 429). The cooperative work is carried out through a Memorandum of Understanding with the participating States.

Need and Use of the Information: Information is collected from various types of poultry breeders and flock owners to determine the number of eggs hatched and sold as well as to report outbreaks of diseases. This information allows APHIS officials to track, control, and prevent many types of poultry diseases.

Description of Respondents: State, Local or Tribal Government; Federal Government; Farms.

Number of Respondents: 19,086. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 37,899.

# Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service

Title: Animal Welfare. OMB Control Number: 0579-0036. Summary of Collection: The Laboratory Animal Welfare Act (AWA) (Pub. L. 89-544) enacted August 24, 1966, required the U.S. Department of Agriculture, to regulate the humane care and handling of dog, cats, guinea pigs, hamster, rabbits, and nonhuman primates. The legislation was the result of extensive demand by organized animal welfare groups and private citizens requesting a Federal law covering the transportation, care, and handling of laboratory animals. The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Regulatory Enforcement and Animal Care (AC) has the responsibility to enforce the Animal Welfare Act (7 U.S.C. 2131–2156) and the provisions of 9 CFR, Subchapter A, which implements the Animal Welfare Act. The purpose of the AWA is to insure that animal use in research facilities or exhibition purposes are provided humane care and treatment. APHIS will collect information using several forms.

Need and Use of the Information:
APHIS will collect health certificates,
program of veterinary care, application
for license and record of acquisition,
disposition and transportation of
animals. The information is used to
ensure those dealers, exhibitors,
research facilities, carriers, etc., are in
compliance with the Animal Welfare
Act and regulations and standards
promulgated under this authority of the
Act.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 7,288. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 98,501.

#### **Food Safety and Inspection Service**

*Title:* Exportation, Transportation, and Importation of Meat and Poultry Products.

OMB Control Number: 0583-0094. Summary of Collection: The Food Safety and Inspection Service. (FSIS) has been delegated the authority to exercise the functions of the Secretary as provided in the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) and the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA) (21 U.S.C. 451 et seq.). These statutes mandate that FSIS protect the public by ensuring that meat and poultry products are safe, wholesome, unadulterated, and properly labeled and packaged. FSIS requires that meat and poultry establishments exporting products to foreign countries complete an export certificate. Meat and poultry products not marked with the mark of inspection and shipped from one official establishment to another for further processing must be transported under FSIS seal to prevent such unmarked product from entering into commerce. To track product shipped under seal, FSIS requires shipping establishments to complete a form that identifies the type, amount, and weight of the product. Foreign countries exporting meat and poultry products to the U.S. must establish eligibility for importation of product into the U.S., and annually certify that their inspection systems are "equivalent to" the U.S. inspection system. Meat and poultry products intended for import into the U.S. must be accompanied by a health certificate, signed by an official of the foreign government, stating that the products have been produced by certified foreign establishments.

Need and Use of the Information: FSIS will collect information to identify the type, amount, weight, destination, and originating country of the meat and poultry. FSIS will use the information to verify that a meat or poultry product intended for import has been prepared in a plant certified to prepare product for export to the U.S. FSIS will use the information from the forms in its annual Report to Congress.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 5,533. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 127,838.

#### **Food and Nutrition Service**

*Title:* 7 CFR Part 245 Determining Eligibility for Free and Reduced Price Meals.

OMB Control Number: 0584-0026. Summary of Collection: Under the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), each school year food authorities distribute applications for free and reduce price meals benefits to households of enrolled children. Households who want to receive free and reduced price meal benefits for their children complete the information required on the application and return the application to the school food authority. As specified in 7 CFR 245.6a, school food authorities must verify the eligibility information on a sample of the free and reduced price meal applications approved in any given school year. Several data sources including the eligibility verification performed by school districts indicate that a significant and increasing number of ineligible children are being certified for free and reduced price meals benefits. The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) amended the reporting and recordkeeping requirements relating to the verification of applications for free and reduced price meal benefits. School food authorities will be required to report verification activity and results to their respective State agencies. The State agencies will summarize and report school food authority level data to FNS.

Need and Use of the Information: FNS will collect information using various forms to evaluate the results in the context of State and nationwide data. The data collection will result in improvement of FNS understanding of the operations of the eligibility determination and verification process in the targeting of monitoring and technical assistance efforts and resources on areas of households with the likelihood of problems. Without the information NSLP integrity is compromised, Federal and State education funds are being mistreated, and FNS Audit/Financial Statements are jeopardized.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or household; Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 4,260,544. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: Biennially; Annually; Other (triennially). Total Burden Hours: 1,048,769.

#### **Food and Nutrition Service**

*Title:* Report of School Program Operations.

OMB Control Number: 0584-0280. Summary of Collection: The National School Lunch Act, as amended, authorizes the Summer Food Service Program (SFSP) for Children, which is administered by the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). The purpose of the SFSP is to provide nutrition meals to children from low-income areas during periods when schools are not in session. Information is gathered from state agencies and other organizations wishing to participate in the program to determine eligibility. If selected, additional reporting requirements apply to determine the amount of meals served and other program volume information. FNS uses a variety of forms to collect information.

Need and Use of the Information: FNS uses the information collected to determine an organization's eligibility, to monitor program performance for compliance and reimbursement purposes.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or household; Not-for-profit institutions; Federal Government; State, Local, or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 76,737. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion; Quarterly; Monthly. Total Burden Hours: 328,068.

#### National Agricultural Statistics Service

Title: Floriculture Survey. OMB Control Number: 0535-0093. Summary of Collection: The primary function of the National Agricultural Statistics (NASS) is to prepare current official State and national estimates of crop and livestock production. Since 1985 Congress has provided funds to conduct an annual Commercial Floriculture Survey that provides basic data on this important and growing industry. General authority for these data collection activities is granted under U.S. Code Title 7, Section 2204. This statute specifies that "The Secretary of Agriculture shall procure and preserve all information concerning agriculture which can be obtain by the collection of statistics \* \* \* and shall distribute them among agriculturists".

The floriculture industry accounts for about 7 percent of agricultural cash receipts at the U.S. level. A survey is conducted in 36 states, which ensures 97 percent coverage of the U.S. value of production.

Need and Use of the Information: NASS will collect information to assess alternative agriculture opportunities. Data from the survey will provide statistics for Federal and State agencies to monitor the use of agricultural chemicals. If the information is not collected, data users could not keep abreast of changes.

Description of Respondents: Farms; Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 13,700. Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Annually.

Total Burden Hours: 6,587.

#### **Rural Utilities Service**

*Title:* Request for Approval to Sell Capital Assets.

OMB Control Number: 0572-0020. Summary of Collection: The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) is a credit agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). It makes mortgage loans and loan guarantees to finance electric, telecommunications, and water and waste facilities in rural areas. In addition to providing loans and loan guarantees, one of RUS' main objectives is to safeguard loan security until the loan is repaid. RUS manages loan programs in accordance with the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, 7 U.S.C. 901 et. seg., as amended, (RE ACT) and as prescribed by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–129, Policies for Federal Credit Programs and Non-Tax Receivables, which states that agencies must, based on a review of a loan application, determine that an applicant complies with statutory, regulatory, and administrative eligibility requirements for loan assistance.

Need and Use of the Information: RUS borrower will use form 369, Request for Approval to sell capital assets, to seek agency permission to sell some of its assets. The form is used to collect detailed information regarding the proposed sale of a portion of the borrower's systems. RUS will collect information to determine whether or not the agency should approve a sale and to keep track of what property exists to secure the loan. If the information in Form 369 is not collected when capital assets are sold, the capital assets securing the Government's loans could be liquidated and the Government's security either eliminated entirely or diluted to an undesirable level.

Description of Respondents: Not-forprofit institutions; Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 5.
Frequency of Responses:
Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion.
Total Burden Hours: 15.

#### **Rural Utilities Service**

Title: Lien Accommodations and Subordinations 7 CFR Part 1717, Subpart R and S.

OMB Control Number: 0572–0100. Summary of Collection: The Rural Electrification Act (RE Act) of 1936, 7 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., as amended, authorizes and empowers the Administrator of the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) to make loans in the several States and territories of the United States for rural electrification and the furnishing electric energy to persons in rural areas who are not receiving central station service. The RE Act also authorizes and empowers the Administrator of RUS to provide financial assistance to borrowers for purposes provided in the RE Act by accommodating or subordinating loans made by the National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation, the Federal Financing Bank, and other lending agencies.

Need and Use of the Information:
RUS will used the information to
determine an applicant's eligibility for a
lien accommodation or lien
subordination under the RE Act;
monitor the compliance of borrowers
with debt covenants and regulatory
requirements in order to protect loan
security; and subsequently to granting
the lien accommodation of lien
subordination, administer each so as to
minimize its cost to the Government. If
the information were not collected, RUS
would not be able to accomplish their
statutory goals.

Description of Respondents: Not-forprofit institutions; Business or other forprofit

Number of Respondents: 6. Frequency of Responses: Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 19.

#### **Rural Utilities Service**

*Title:* 7 CFR 1717 Subpart D, Mergers and Consolidations of Electric Borrowers.

OMB Control Number: 0572–0114. Summary of Collection: The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) is a credit agency of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. It makes mortgage loans and loan guarantees to finance electric, telecommunications, water and waste and water facilities in rural areas. Loan programs are managed in accordance

with the Rural Electrification Act (RE Act) of 1936, 7 U.S.C. 901 et seq., as amended and as prescribed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–129, Policies for Federal Credit Programs and Non-tax Receivable, states that agencies must base on a review of a loan application determine that an applicant complies with statutory, regulatory, and administrative eligibility requirements for loan assistance.

Need and Use of the Information:
RUS will collect information to
streamline procedures and allow
borrowers the flexibility to meet new
business challenges and opportunities.
The information is necessary for RUS to
conduct business with successor entity
while protecting the security of
Government loans and avoiding defaults
and to grant merger approval when
required.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 18. Frequency of Responses: Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 184.

#### **Rural Housing Service**

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \it Title: 7~CFR~1944-N, "Housing Preservation GrantProgram". \end{tabular}$ 

OMB Control Number: 0575-0115. Summary of Collection: The Rural Housing Service (RHS) is authorized to make grants to eligible applicants to provide repair and rehabilitation assistance so that very low and lowincome rural residents can obtain adequate housing. Such assistance is made by grantees to very low and lowincome persons, and to co-ops. Grant funds are used by grantees to make loans, grants, or other comparable assistance to eligible homeowners, rental unit owners, and co-ops for repair and rehabilitation of dwellings to bring them up to code or minimum property standards. These grants were established by Public Law 98-181, the Housing Urban Rural Recovery Act of 1983, which amended the Housing Act of 1949 (Pub. L. 93-383).

Need and Use of the Information:
RHS will collect information to
determine eligibility for a grant to justify
its selection of the applicant for
funding; to report program
accomplishments and to justify and
support expenditure of grant funds. RHS
uses the information to determine if the
grantee is complying with its grant
agreement and to make decisions
regarding continuing with modifying, or
terminating grant assistance. If the
information is not collected and
presented to RHS, the Agency could not

monitor the program or justify disbursement of grant funds.

Description of Respondents: Not-forprofit institutions; Business or other forprofit; Individuals or households; State, Local or Tribal Government

Number of Respondents: 2,050. Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting: On occasion; Quarterly.

Total Burden Hours: 10,814.

#### **Agricultural Marketing Service**

Title: Customer Service Survey for USDA—Donated FoodProducts. OMB Control Number: 0581-0193. Summary of Collection: The Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to provide consumers with voluntary Federal meat grading and certification services that facilitate the marketing of meat and meat products. These services are provided under the authority of 7 CFR part 54—Meats, Prepared Meats, and Meat Products (Grading, Certification, and Standards). An annual customer service survey is used to gather information from its customers to determine the quality of service provided. Once an applicant request services, there is no way to determine the quality of service that is provided.

Need and Use of the Information: Agricultural Marketing Service will collect information to evaluate services and assist in planning and managing the program. The information from the survey is strictly voluntary and will be used to continually improve the services.

Description of Respondents: Business or other-for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 450. Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Annually.

Total Burden Hours: 32.

#### **Food and Nutrition Service**

*Title:* Food Stamp Program—Store Applications.

ŌMB Control Number: 0584–0008. Summary of Collection: Section 9(a) of the Food Stamp Act of 1977 as amended, (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) requires retail food stores to submit applications to the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) for approval prior to participating in the Food Stamp Program. FNS field offices review retailer applications to ensure that the store is eligible and then authorize or deny a store to accept and redeem Food Stamp Program benefits. The need to collect information is established under the Act to determine the eligibility of retail food stores, wholesale food concerns, and food service organizations applying for authorization to accept and

redeem food stamp benefits, to monitor these firms for continued eligibility, to sanction stores for non-compliance with the Act, and for program management. FNS will collect information using forms FNS–252, Food Stamp Program Application for Store, and FNS 252–2, Meal Service Application.

Need and Use of the Information: FNS will collect information to determine a firm's eligibility for participation in the Food Stamp Program, program administration, compliance monitoring, investigations, and for sanctioning stores found to be violating the program. FNS is also responsible for requiring updates to application information and reviewing that information to determine whether or not the retail food store, wholesale food concern, or food service organization continues to meet eligibility requirements. Owners Employer Identification Numbers (EIN) and Social Security Numbers (SSN) may be disclosed to and used by Federal agencies or instrumentalities that otherwise gave access to EINs and SSNs. FNS and other Federal Government agencies examine such information during compliance reviews, audit review, special studies or evaluation

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; Farms; Federal Government.

Number of Respondents: 34,888. Frequency of Responses: Third party disclosure; Reporting: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 7,309.

#### Sondra Blakey,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–12067 Filed 5–15–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-01-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Forest Service**

Black Hills National Forest, Mystic Ranger District, South Dakota, Prairie Project Area Proposal and Analysis

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Revised notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: This notice revises an earlier Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement on a proposal to implement multiple resource management actions within the Prairie Project Area as directed by the Black Hills National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan and National level initiatives and policy

such as the National Fire Plan and the Healthy Forest Initiative. The Prairie Project Area covers about 29,000 acres of National Forest System land and about 6,300 acres of interspersed private land within the lower rapid Creek watershed directly west of Rapid City, South Dakota. Proposed actions include: Promoting natural fuel breaks (via vegetation treatment) to reduce potential for large-scale intense wildfire; Reducing fuels that currently exist and fuel created by vegetation treatment within the wildland-urban interface; *Improving wildlife habitat* to protect critical big game winter range and habitat for a variety of plant and animal species; Supporting the preceding actions using commercial and noncommercial Vegetation treatments on an estimated 11,900 acres to reduce the density of pine trees; Providing a mix of motorized and non-motorized use opportunities.

**DATES:** The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be available for public review by May 2003 and the final environmental impact statement is expected to be completed by September 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments on the DEIS to Robert J. Thompson, District Ranger, Black Hills National Forest, Mystic Ranger District, 803 Soo San Drive, Rapid City, South Dakota 57702. Telephone Number (605) 343–1567. Email:

mailroomlr2lblackhills@fs.fed.us. With "Prairie" as subject.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phill Grumstrup, Project Coordinator, Black Hills National Forest, Mystic Ranger District, at above address, phone (605) 343–1567.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This revised Notice of Intent updates the original NOI which appeared Friday, July 12, 2002, in the Federal Register (67 FR pg. 46165). The actions proposed are in response to management direction provided by the Black Hills National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan) and National-level initiatives and policy cited in the summary above. The site specific actions are proposed to reduce the potential for catastrophic wildfire in this ponderosa pine-dominated urbaninterface setting. The project area lies along the east side of the Black Hills National Forest and directly west of Rapid City, South Dakota. Issues include: Fire and fuels hazard in the wildland-urban interface; support and opposition to vegetation treatment such as timber harvest; impacts of vegetation treatment and multiple forest uses on wildlife habitat; conflicting motorized

and non-motorized use and travel management issues; maintaining and improving developed and dispersed recreation opportunities.

#### **Purpose and Need for Action**

The purpose of and need for the actions proposed in the Prairie Project is to: Reduce the potential for large-scale intense wildfire, reduce fuel loads and assure access for fire protection; protect big game winter range and provide habitat for a variety of plant and animal species; and provide for a variety of recreation opportunities including motorized and non-motorized uses while moving toward or meeting related Forest Plan Goals and Objectives, consistent with Forest Plan Standards and Guidelines.

#### **Proposed Action**

This revised NOI identifies the changes made to the proposed action since the original NOI was published. Adjustments to the proposed acres are the result of strong public feedback asking the agency to be aggressive with fuels reduction and requesting that vegetative treatments be expanded to areas not initially covered in the proposal. Expanding the treatments is necessary to efficiently reduce the potential for catastrophic wildfire to communities at risk in this wildlandurban interface area. Specific adjustments to the proposed action are described below. Proposed actions include the following:

• There is no change in the range of activities and treatments proposed. The treatment acres relative to fuel breaks and thinning of ponderosa pine, and prescribed burning have increased.

• Reduce the potential for large-scale, intense wildfire by expanding the area treated to reduce the density of pine from the initially proposed 8,000 acres to about 11,900 acres. This may be done by using commercial timber harvest to thin out commercial size trees and using other methods to thin small, noncommercial size trees, removing conifers from hardwood stands such as aspen, bur oak and birch and by expanding and/or creating meadows. Thinning trees will reduce the potential for spreading crown fires by providing fuels breaks, lessening the risk from insects and disease, and by improving stand growth and vigor. Wood fiber will be provided to the local economy as a by-product of these actions.

• Reduce the amount of fuel that currently exists and fuel created by vegetation treatment activities.

Treatment could include lopping, chipping, crushing, piling and burning; construction of up to 30 miles of