

SUMMARY: The Rural Utilities Service (RUS), an agency delivering the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development Utilities Programs, intends to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) in connection with possible impacts related to the construction and operation of a new gas-fired combustion turbine generation facility. The project is proposed by Basin Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (Basin), of Bismarck, North Dakota. RUS may provide financing assistance for the project.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nurul Islam, Environmental Protection Specialist, RUS, Engineering and Environmental Staff, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-1571, telephone: (202) 720-1414 or e-mail: nurul.islam@usda.gov; or Jim Berg, Basin at (701) 223-0441 or e-mail: jberg@bepc.com.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Basin is proposing to construct an 80 Megawatt simple-cycle gas turbine and is evaluating potential sites located in Brown and Deuel Counties, South Dakota. One site is located approximately 5 miles south of the town of Groton, in Brown County. A second potential site is located approximately 27 miles southeast of Watertown, in Deuel County. Depending on the site selected, associated facilities could include a gas pipeline, water pipeline and electric transmission facilities.

Comments regarding the proposed project may be submitted in writing no later than July 19, 2004, to RUS at the address provided above.

An environmental assessment (EA) will be prepared for the proposed project. Based on a review of the EA and other relevant information, RUS will determine if the preparation of an environmental impact statement is necessary. Should RUS determine that the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not necessary, it will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposed project will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with all relevant Federal, State, and local environmental laws and regulations and completion of the environmental review procedures as prescribed by 7 CFR Part 1794, Environmental Policies and Procedures.

Dated: June 8, 2004.

Glendon Deal,

Director, Engineering and Environmental Staff, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 04-13692 Filed 6-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights that a conference call of the Connecticut Advisory Committee will convene at 10:30 a.m. and adjourn at 11:30 a.m., Thursday June 17, 2004. The purpose of the conference call is plan future projects.

This conference call is available to the public through the following call-in number: 1-800-923-4312, access number: 24429536. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls not initiated using the supplied call-in number or over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls using the call-in number over land-line connections. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-977-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number access code number.

To ensure that the Commission secures an appropriate number of lines for the public, persons are asked to register by contacting Barbara de La Vieu of the Eastern Regional Office, 202-376-7533 (TTY 202-376-8116) by 4 p.m. on Wednesday, June 16, 2004.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated: June 7, 2004, Washington, DC.

Ivy L. Davis,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 04-13720 Filed 6-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 21-2004]

Foreign-Trade Zone 70—Detroit, Michigan Application for Expansion; Correction

The **Federal Register** notice (69 FR 30872, 6/01/2004) describing the application by the Greater Detroit Foreign-Trade Zone, grantee of FTZ 70, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Detroit, Michigan, area, is corrected as follows:

Paragraph 5 should read "The closing period for their receipt is August 2,

2004. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to August 17, 2004)."

Dated: June 10, 2004.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-13712 Filed 6-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-804, A-427-009, A-428-803, A-580-805, A-588-812, and A-570-802]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From Brazil, France, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom: Notice of Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances reviews and intent to revoke antidumping duty orders.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting changed circumstances reviews of the antidumping orders of industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, France, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom. The preliminary results of these reviews indicate that Green Tree Chemical Technologies (Green Tree), the sole U.S. producer of industrial nitrocellulose in the United States, has ceased production. Consequently, we have preliminarily determined to revoke the orders of industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom on July 1, 2003, which is the earliest date for which there are entries which have been subject to these administrative reviews. We have preliminarily determined to revoke the orders of industrial nitrocellulose from France effective August 1, 2003, which is the earliest date for which there are entries subject to that administrative review.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 17, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 31, 2003, Nitro Quimica Brasileira (Nitro Quimica), requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil through a changed circumstances review. According to Nitro Quimica, revocation is warranted because of "lack of interest" on behalf of the U.S. industry. Specifically, Nitro Quimica asserts that no domestic producer of industrial nitrocellulose currently exists. Nitro Quimica contends that Hercules Incorporated, the only petitioner in the original investigation and the only U.S. producer at the time in which this order was issued, sold its nitrocellulose business to Green Tree on June 16, 2001. Nitro Quimica further contends that Green Tree closed its U.S. production facility on or about November 26, 2003. (See Nitro Quimica December 31, 2003 letter at Attachment 3.)

On February 12, 2004, Wolff Cellulosics GmbH (Wolff) asserted that the Department should revoke the order of industrial nitrocellulose from Germany because there is no U.S. producer of industrial nitrocellulose. Wolff argued that the Department should make revocation of the order of industrial nitrocellulose from Germany effective July 1, 2003, which is earliest date for which there are entries that have not yet been the subject of a completed administrative review. Wolff contended that Green Tree, the sole producer of the domestic like product, has ceased production and no longer maintains the capacity to produce industrial nitrocellulose. (See Wolff's February 12, 2004 letter at Exhibits A and B.) On February 25, 2004, the Department initiated a changed circumstances review with respect to the order of industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil (69 FR 8626, February 25, 2004).

On March 9, 2004, the Valspar Corporation (Valspar) requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty orders on industrial nitrocellulose from France, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom. Valspar asserts that cessation of production of the domestic like product constitutes "lack of interest" by the domestic industry in the continuation of the antidumping duty orders. (See Valspar's March 9, 2004 letter, at pages 1-2.)

On March 23, 2004, Bergerac N.C. and its affiliated U.S. importer SNPF North America, L.L.C. (collectively BNC) requested that the Department revoke the order on industrial nitrocellulose from France. BNC asserts that the cessation of production of the domestic like product constitutes "lack of interest" by the domestic industry in the order of industrial nitrocellulose from France.

On March 29, 2004, the Department initiated changed circumstances reviews of the antidumping orders of industrial nitrocellulose from France, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom (69 FR 17643, April 5, 2004). On April 23, 2004, Wolff filed additional comments supporting its request for revocation of the order of industrial nitrocellulose from Germany.

On May 3, 2004, counsel for petitioner informed the Department that (1) Green Tree had located no buyer for its nitrocellulose production facility, (2) Green Tree did not anticipate finding such a buyer within the foreseeable future, and (3) Green Tree did not anticipate that either Green Tree or a successor-in-interest to Green Tree would resume production of industrial nitrocellulose within a determinable time frame. Accordingly, Green Tree acknowledged that it is no longer in a position to oppose revocation of the antidumping orders of industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, France, Germany, Korea, Japan, the PRC, and United Kingdom. (See May 3, 2004 memorandum from Michael J. Heaney to the File.)

Based upon the information provided in Nitro Quimica's December 31, 2003 letter, Wolff's February 12, 2004 letter, Valspar's March 9, 2004 letter, and by counsel for Green Tree to the Department on May 3, 2004, the Department has preliminarily determined that changed circumstances exist which warrant revocation of the orders on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, France, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom. If these preliminary results are confirmed in the final results of review, the Department intends to revoke the orders of industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom effective July 1, 2003. The Department further intends to revoke the order of industrial nitrocellulose from France effective August 1, 2003.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is industrial nitrocellulose, currently

classifiable under HTS subheading 3912.20.00. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Industrial nitrocellulose is a dry, white, amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent. Industrial nitrocellulose is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. The scope of this order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

We have examined the information provided by Nitro Quimica, Wolff, Valspar, and counsel for Green Tree, and preliminarily determine that the sole U.S. producer of industrial nitrocellulose lacks interest in the relief provided by the orders, and thus, sufficient changed circumstances exist to warrant revocation of the orders. Pursuant to section 782(h)(2) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping or countervailing duty order if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all production of the domestic like product have expressed a lack of interest in the order. Pursuant to Section 751(b)(1) of the Act and Section 351.222(g) of the regulations, the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review, and may revoke an order (in whole or in part), if it determines that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or if other changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant revocation. The Department's practice in cases where the only U.S. producers have ceased production is to make the date of the revocation effective with respect to any entries that have not yet been subject to an administrative review. See, e.g., *Coumarin from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of the Antidumping Order* (69 FR 24122, May 3, 2004), *Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products from Japan: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Administrative Review, and Determination to Revoke in Part* (68 FR 9975, March 3, 2003), *Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether*

Assembled or Unassembled, from Germany: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, Revocation of the Antidumping Order, and Rescission of Administrative Reviews (67 FR 19551, April 22, 2002), and *Calcium Aluminate Flux from France: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Revocation of the Order, and Rescission of Antidumping Duty Review*, 63 FR 16966 (April 7, 1998). Based upon the foregoing, we preliminarily intend to revoke the antidumping orders on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom effective July 1, 2003. The Department further intends to preliminarily revoke the order of industrial nitrocellulose from France effective August 1, 2003. (For the orders on industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom, July 1, 2003 is the earliest date with respect to which there are no entries subject to a completed administrative review. August 1, 2003 is the earliest date with respect to which there are no entries subject to a completed administrative review for industrial nitrocellulose from France.)

Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written case briefs no later than 30 days after publication of these preliminary results. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 5 days after the deadline for filing case briefs. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, which will include the results of its analysis to raised in issues raised in any such written comments, no later than four months following the date of publication of this notice. Also, if our final results do not differ from our preliminary results with respect to revocation, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222, we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries of industrial nitrocellulose from Brazil, Germany, Korea, Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the United Kingdom effective July 1, 2003, and all entries of industrial nitrocellulose from France effective August 1, 2003. This notice and intent to revoke are in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19

U.S.C. 1675(b)(1)), and 19 CFR 351.216, 351.221, and 351.222.

Dated: June 4, 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-13713 Filed 6-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness).

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) announces the following proposed reinstatement of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by August 16, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) (Military Personnel Policy)/Accession Policy, ATTN: Major Ruth Hamilton, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the above address or call (703) 695-5527.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Control: Request for Reference, DD Form 370, OMB Control Number: 0704-0167.

Needs and Uses: This information collection requirement is necessary to obtain personal reference data, in order

to request a waiver, on a military applicant who has committed a civil or criminal offense and would otherwise be disqualified for entry to the Armed Forces of the United States. The DD Form 370 is used to obtain references information evaluating the character, work habits, and attitudes of an applicant from a person of authority or standing within the community.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, non-profit or other for profit businesses, non-profit institutions, local, tribal and state agencies. Normally, this form would be completed by responsible community leaders such as school officials, ministers and law enforcement officials.

Annual Burden Hours: 7,181.

Number of Respondents: 43,000.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden per Response: .167 hour (10 minutes) per respondent.

Frequency: On occasion.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

This information is collected to provide the Armed Services with specific background information on an applicant. History of criminal activity, arrests, or confinement is disqualifying for military service. An applicant, with such a disqualifier, is required to submit references from community leaders who will attest to his or her character, attitudes or work habits. The DD Form 370 is the method of information collection which requests an evaluation and reference from a specific individual, within the community, who has the knowledge of the applicant's habits, behaviors, personality and character. The information will be used to determine suitability of the applicant for military service and the issuance of a waiver for acceptance.

Dated: June 10, 2004.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 04-13613 Filed 6-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness).

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the