

The supplemental letters provided clarifying information that was within the scope of the initial notice and did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 6, 2004.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC, Docket No. 50-410, Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Oswego County, New York

Date of application for amendment: August 22, 2003, as supplemented by letters dated January 12 and March 11, 2004.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised Section 3.7.1, "Service Water (SW) System and Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)," by adding a new Condition G to allow continued operation with short-term elevated UHS temperatures.

Date of issuance: May 7, 2004.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance to be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 113.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-69: Amendment revises the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: September 30, 2003 (68 FR 56344).

The January 12 and March 11, 2004, letters provided clarifying information within the scope of the original application, and did not change the staff's initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination. The staff's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 7, 2004.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Nuclear Management Company, LLC, Docket No. 50-305, Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant, Kewaunee County, Wisconsin

Date of application for amendment: January 30, 2004.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment relocates the requirements for hydrogen monitors from the Technical Specifications to the Technical Requirements Manual.

Date of issuance: May 13, 2004.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 120 days.

Amendment No.: 174.

Facility Operating License No. DPR-43: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: March 2, 2004 (69 FR 9862).

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 13, 2004.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Omaha Public Power District, Docket No. 50-285, Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1, Washington County, Nebraska

Date of amendment request: July 25, 2003, as supplemented on December 5, 2003

Brief description of amendment: The amendment modifies Technical Specification (TS) 2.1.4, "Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Leakage Limits," by (1) adding a requirement for no RCS pressure boundary leakage, (2) combining the existing RCS leakage limits into a format similar to the Improved Standard TS (ISTS), and (3) replacing the existing basis associated with this TS with a basis similar in format and content to the ISTS.

Date of issuance: May 7, 2004.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance, to be implemented within 90 days from issuance.

Amendment No.: 226.

Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-40: The amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 19, 2003 (68 FR 49818).

The December 5, 2003, supplemental letter provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 7, 2004.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323, Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, San Luis Obispo County, California

Date of application for amendments: December 30, 2003, and its supplement dated March 11, 2004.

Brief description of amendments: The amendments eliminate the requirements in the technical specifications associated with hydrogen recombiners and hydrogen monitors.

Date of issuance: May 4, 2004.

Effective date: May 4, 2004, and shall be implemented within 60 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: Unit 1—168; Unit 2—169.

Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-80 and DPR-82: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: March 2, 2004 (69 FR 9864).

The March 11, 2004, supplemental letter provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the **Federal Register**.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated May 4, 2004.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th May 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric J. Leeds,

Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04-11507 Filed 5-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 52 Construction Inspection Program Framework Document; Availability of NUREG

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is announcing the completion and availability of NUREG-1789, "10 CFR Part 52 Construction Inspection Program Framework Document," dated April 2004.

ADDRESSES: Copies of NUREG-1789 may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20402-9328; http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs; 202-512-1800 or The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161-0002; <http://www.ntis.gov>; 1-800-533-6847 or, locally, 703-805-6000.

A copy of the document is also available for inspection and/or copying for a fee in the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. As of November 1, 1999, you may also electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>.

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at NRC's Web site address <http://www.nrc.gov> are updated regularly and may differ from the last printed version.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Ms. Mary Ann M. Ashley, Inspection Program Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Ms. Ashley may be reached at (301) 415-1073 or by e-mail at mab@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 30, 2003, the NRC staff issued the "Draft 10 CFR Part 52 Construction Inspection Program Framework Document" for public comment. The framework document set forth the proposed basis for the construction inspection program for reactors built under 10 CFR Part 52. A public workshop was held on August 27, 2003 to discuss the scope and the types of inspections which are planned during the new reactor construction project.

The NRC has considered the comments received from stakeholders and has incorporated them, as appropriate, into a final revision of the construction inspection program framework document and is issuing the framework as NUREG-1789. A detailed resolution of comments submitted about the draft framework document has been incorporated into NUREG-1789. The NUREG details the audits and inspections that will be conducted by the NRC during the Early Site Permit (ESP) and Combined License (COL) phases. The document also discusses how the NRC staff will verify satisfactory completion of the inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC) and review operational programs. NRC staff will use the inspection program descriptions contained in the framework NUREG to guide the development of internal inspection documents including Inspection Manual Chapters and Inspection Procedures.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of May 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Stuart A. Richards,

Chief, Inspection Program Branch, Division of Inspection Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 04-11757 Filed 5-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PRESIDIO TRUST

Public Health Service Hospital, The Presidio of San Francisco (Presidio), CA; Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Conduct Public Scoping

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: The Presidio Trust (Trust) announces, in accordance with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), that it is commencing preparation of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) regarding the rehabilitation and reuse of historic buildings in the Public Health Service Hospital (PHSH) district of the Presidio, and that the Trust is inviting the participation of the public and interested agencies in the scoping process. The SEIS tiers from the Final EIS for the Presidio Trust Management Plan, the Trust's comprehensive land use plan and policy framework for Area B of the Presidio, adopted in August 2002.

SUMMARY: The Trust prepared and made available to the public an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the PHSH in February 2004 (69 FR 96591). Based on the impact analysis in the EA and a review of public comments received on the document, the Trust has determined that the proposed Federal action has the potential to cause significant effects on the human environment, and that a SEIS would best achieve NEPA's goals. The EA will be used to help facilitate preparation of the SEIS, which will include new substantive environmental analyses and information in response to public comment.

The SEIS will evaluate the following alternatives:

- No-Action Alternative—Continues recent and existing activities in the PHSH district with no building rehabilitation, new construction or demolition.
- PTMP Alternative (Alternative 1)—Rehabilitates existing buildings for educational and residential uses with no new construction or demolition.
- Infill Alternative (Alternative 2)—Rehabilitates the historic buildings as well as the non-historic wings of the hospital for residential use with limited demolition and new construction.
- No Infill Alternative (Alternative 3)—Rehabilitates the historic buildings for residential use and removes the hospital's non-historic wings as well as other non-historic buildings and additions.

- Battery Caulfield Alternative (Alternative 4)—Rehabilitates the historic buildings for residential use, removes the hospital's non-historic wings as well as other non-historic buildings and additions, and provides for new construction on Battery Caulfield.

A complete description of Alternatives 1 through 4 is provided in the EA, which may be viewed at or downloaded from the Trust's Web site at <http://www.presidio.gov> following the link from the home page. A printed copy may be requested at no charge at 415/561-5414 or phsh@presidiotrust.gov, or by writing to the Presidio Trust, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. The EA may also be reviewed in the Trust's library on the Presidio at 34 Graham Street, San Francisco, CA.

The Trust encourages all interested individuals, organizations and agencies to provide comments on the scope of the SEIS. As part of the scoping process, oral comments will be accepted from the public on the issues and choice of alternatives to be considered in the SEIS at a Trust public meeting on June 29, 2004, beginning at 6 p.m., at the Officers' Club, 50 Moraga Avenue, on the Main Post in the Presidio. Written comments may be submitted to John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator at 415/561-2790 (fax), phsh@presidiotrust.gov, or the Trust Post Office address specified above, and must be received no later than July 7, 2004. Comments previously received regarding the EA need not be repeated; these comments will inform the Trust's preparation of the Supplemental EIS. Please be aware that all written comments and information submitted will be made available to the public, including, without limitation, any postal address, e-mail address, phone number or other information contained in each submission.

The Trust will provide information updates and notices concerning the project through postings on its Web site or through its bi-monthly publication, the Presidio Post. The Trust will announce the release of the SEIS by notice in the **Federal Register** and Presidio Post, as well as via direct mailing and other means.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052, 415/561-5300.