Required parts will be furnished at no cost to operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this AD would be \$65 per airplane.

#### **Determination of Rule's Effective Date**

Since this AD action does not affect any airplane that is currently on the U.S. Register, it has no adverse economic impact and imposes no additional burden on any person. Therefore, prior notice and public procedures hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### **Comments Invited**

Although this action is in the form of a final rule and was not preceded by notice and opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue.
   For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following

statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2004–NM–42–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### **Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**2004–09–04 Boeing:** Amendment 39–13593. Docket 2004–NM–42–AD.

Applicability: Model 747–400 and –400D series airplanes, identified as Group 2 airplanes in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–25–3111, Revision 2, dated April 24, 2003; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent passenger service unit (PSU) panels from moving and falling from the PSU support rails during takeoff or landing, which could result in injury to passengers and

could impede evacuation of the passengers in an emergency situation; accomplish the following:

#### **Installation of Tie Bars**

(a) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, install tie bars in Zone A on the rails of the center PSU panels, per the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–25–3111, Revision 2, dated April 24, 2003.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(b) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance (AMOCs) for this AD.

#### **Incorporation by Reference**

(c) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 747–25–3111, Revision 2, dated April 24, 2003. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### **Effective Date**

(d) This amendment becomes effective on May 13, 2004.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 16, 2004.

#### Michael J. Kaszycki,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 04–9378 Filed 4–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-CE-63-AD; Amendment 39-13592; AD 2004-09-03]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; HPH s. r. o. Models Glasflügel 304CZ, 304CZ-17, and 304C Sailplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain HPH s. r. o. (HPH) Models Glasflügel 304CZ, 304CZ–17, and 304C sailplanes. This AD requires you to inspect to determine the airbrake handle attachment rivet material. This AD also

requires you to replace any non-steel rivet with a steel rivet. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for the Czech Republic. We are issuing this AD to prevent the airbrake handle from becoming loose, which could result in failure of the airbrake control. This failure could lead to loss of control of the sailplane.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective on June 11, 2004.

As of June 11, 2004, the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulation.

ADDRESSES: You may get the service information identified in this AD from HPH spol.s r.o., Cáslavská 126, P.O. Box 112, CZ284 01 Kutná Hora, Czech Republic; telephone: 011–42–327 513441; e-mail: hph@hph.cz.

You may view the AD docket at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003–CE–63–AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Office hours are 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Davison, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4130; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Discussion

What events have caused this AD? The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA),

which is the airworthiness authority for the Czech Republic, recently notified FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain HPH Models Glasflügel 304CZ, 304CZ–17, and 304C sailplanes. The CAA reports that excessive free play in the airbrake handle was found during a pre-flight check on a Glasflügel 304CZ sailplane.

A non-steel (duralumin) rivet connecting the airbrake handle to the pushrod had become loose.

What is the potential impact if FAA took no action? If not corrected, a loose airbrake handle could result in failure of airbrake control. This failure could lead to loss of control of the sailplane.

Has FAA taken any action to this point? We issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain HPH Models Glasflügel 304CZ, 304CZ–17, and 304C sailplanes. This proposal was published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on February 4, 2004 (69 FR 5302). The NPRM proposed to require you to inspect to determine the airbrake handle attachment rivet material and replace any non-steel rivet with a steel rivet.

#### **Comments**

Was the public invited to comment? We provided the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the proposal or on the determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

What is FAA's final determination on this issue? We have carefully reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We have determined that these minor corrections:

- Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- —Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM.

## Changes to 14 CFR Part 39—Effect on the AD

How does the revision to 14 CFR part 39 affect this AD? On July 10, 2002, the FAA published a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's AD system. This regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. This material previously was included in each individual AD. Since this material is included in 14 CFR part 39, we will not include it in future AD actions.

#### **Costs of Compliance**

How many sailplanes does this AD impact? We estimate that this AD affects 12 sailplanes in the U.S. registry.

What is the cost impact of this AD on owners/operators of the affected sailplanes? We estimate the following costs to accomplish the inspection:

Labor Cost	Parts Cost	Total Cost Per Sailplane	Total Cost on U.S. Operators
1 workhour × \$65 per hour = \$65	Not applicable	\$65	$$65 \times 12 = $780.$

We estimate the following costs to accomplish any necessary replacements that will be required based on the results of this inspection. We have no way of determining the number of

sailplanes that may need this replacement:

Labor Cost	Parts Cost	Total Cost Per Sailplane Airbrake Handle
1 workhour × \$65 per hour = \$65	\$10 for each rivet. 3 rivets on each airbrake handle.	\$65 + \$30 (to replace all 3 rivets) = \$95.

#### Regulatory Findings

Will this AD impact various entities? We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

Will this AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities

under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under ADDRESSES. Include "AD Docket No. 2003–CE–63–AD" in your request.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### **Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new AD to read as follows:

**2004–09–03 HPH s. r. o.:** Amendment 39–13592; Docket No. 2003–CE–63–AD.

#### When Does This AD Become Effective?

(a) This AD becomes effective on June 11, 2004

### What Other ADs Are Affected by This Action?

(b) None.

#### What Sailplanes Are Affected by This AD?

(c) This AD affects Models Glasflügel 304CZ, 304CZ-17, and 304C sailplanes,

serial numbers 1 through 60–17, that are certificated in any category.

### What Is the Unsafe Condition Presented in This AD?

(d) This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for the Czech Republic. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent the airbrake handle from becoming loose, which could result in failure of the airbrake control. This failure could lead to loss of control of the sailplane.

#### What Must I do To Address This Problem?

(e) To address this problem, you must do the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
(1) Inspect to determine the airbrake handle attachment rivet material.	Within the next 25 hours time-in-service (TIS) after June 11, 2004 (the effective date of this AD).	Follow HPH spol.s r.o. Mandatory Bulletin No.: G304CZ-05 a) G304CZ17-05 a), dated March 26, 2003.
(2) Replace any non-steel attachment rivet with a steel rivet.	Before further flight after the inspection required in paragraph (e)(1) of this AD.	Follow HPH spol.s r.o. Mandatory Bulletin No.: G304CZ-05 a) G304CZ17-05 a), dated March 26, 2003.

### May I Request an Alternative Method of Compliance?

(f) You may request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD by following the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Unless FAA authorizes otherwise, send your request to your principal inspector. The principal inspector may add comments and will send your request to the Manager, Standards Office, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA. For information on any already approved alternative methods of compliance, contact Greg Davison, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4130; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

### **Does This AD Incorporate Any Material by Reference?**

(g) You must do the actions required by this AD following the instructions in HPH spol.s r.o. Mandatory Bulletin No.: G304CZ-05 a) G304CZ17-05 a), dated March 26, 2003. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service bulletin in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may get a copy from HPH spol.s r.o., Cáslavská 126, P.O. Box 112, CZ284 01 Kutná Hora, Czech Republic; telephone: 011-42-327 513441; e-mail: hph@hph.cz. You may review copies at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

### Is There Other Information That Relates to This Subject?

(h) Czech Republic AD Number CAA-AD-040/2003, dated May 6, 2003, also addresses the subject of this AD.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on April 19, 2004.

#### Dorenda D. Baker,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04–9377 Filed 4–27–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD09-03-287]

RIN 1625-AA11

# Regulated Navigation Area; USCG Station Port Huron, Port Huron, MI, Lake Huron

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Final rule.

summary: The Coast Guard is establishing a regulated navigation area (RNA) around the entrance to the moorings for Station Port Huron. These regulations are necessary to manage vessel traffic and ensure the operability of Coast Guard vessels departing Station Port Huron. These regulations are intended to restrict vessels from fishing, mooring and anchoring in a portion of Lake Huron in the vicinity of The United States Coast Guard (USCG) Station Port Huron.

**DATES:** This rule is effective May 28, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket CGD09–03–287 and are available for inspection or copying at Commander, Marine Safety Compliance Operations Branch (mco), Ninth Coast Guard District, 1240 E. Ninth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44199–2060, between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Commander Jim McLaughlin, Chief, Marine Safety Compliance Operations Branch, Ninth Coast Guard District Marine Safety Division, at (216) 902–6045.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Regulatory Information**

On January 15, 2004, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Regulated Navigation Area; USCG Station Port Huron, Port Huron, Michigan, Lake Huron in the **Federal Register** (69 FR 2318). We received 9 letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested, and none was held.

#### **Background and Purpose**

A large number of recreational fishermen typically fish right off the entrance to the Station Port Huron Moorings. As such, it is typical for fishing line to cross the path of any station vessels exiting the harbor, especially in time-critical emergency situations. On multiple occasions in