may participate as parties in the third remand proceedings.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended.

Issued: June 22, 2004. By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04–14556 Filed 6–25–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-493]

Certain Zero-Mercury-Added Alkaline Batteries, Parts Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to One Respondent on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement and Consent Order; Issuance of Consent Order

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 134) terminating the above-captioned investigation as to respondent Dorcy International, Inc. ("Dorcy") on the basis of settlement agreement and a consent order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne Herrington, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3090. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 2, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Energizer Holdings, Inc. and Eveready Battery Company, Inc., both of St. Louis, Missouri. 68 FR. 32771 (June 2, 2003). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain zero-mercuryadded alkaline batteries, parts thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,464,709 ("the '709 patent"). The complaint and notice of investigation named 26 respondents, including respondent Dorcy, and were later amended to include an additional firm as a respondent. The investigation was terminated as to claims 8-12 of the '709 patent. Prior to the issuance of the subject ID, several other respondents had been terminated from the investigation for various reasons.

On May 20, 2004, complainants and respondent Dorcy filed a joint motion pursuant to Commission rules 210.21(b) and (c) to terminate the investigation as to Dorcy on the basis of a settlement agreement and a consent order. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion. On June 2, 2004, the ALJ issued the subject ID terminating the investigation as to Dorcy on the basis of settlement agreement and a consent order. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: June 22, 2004. By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-14557 Filed 6-25-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; ETA-5130 Benefit Appeals Report

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce

paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 27, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Jack Bright, Office of Workforce Security, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S–4516, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693–3214 (this is not a toll-free number) or by e-mail: bright.jack@dol.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack Bright, Office of Workforce Security, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-4516, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693-3214 (this is not a toll-free number) or by e-mail: bright.jack@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: I.

Background: The ETA-5130, Benefit Appeals Report, contains information on the number of unemployment insurance appeals and the resultant decisions classified by program, appeals level, cases filed and disposed of (workflow), and decisions by level, appellant, and issue. The data on this report are used by the Department of Labor to monitor the benefit appeals process in the State Workforce Agencies (SWAs) and to develop any needed plans for remedial action. The data are also needed for workload forecasts and to determine administrative funding. If this information were not available, developing problems might not be discovered early enough to allow for timely solutions and avoidance of time consuming and costly corrective action.

II. Desired Focus of Comments: Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension collection of the ETA–5130 Benefit Appeals Report. Comments are requested to:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including