## **III. Current Action**

The Consumer Expenditure Quarterly Interview Survey has recently undergone a thorough review since its conversion to a Computer Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI) collection instrument in April, 2003. The proposed changes from this review fall into two major categories: streamlining the current questions in several sections and updating several questions and sections to reflect the current marketplace.

In the streamlining category, the BLS deleted or collapsed obsolete questions. The BLS collapsed all types of cooking stoves into one category, collapsed rarely used fuel types to one code in the utility section, collapsed all types of televisions into one code, and deleted questions related to options on vehicles

that are now common. The BLS also eliminated redundant questions in the two insurance sections simplifying the collection of policies.

To keep the survey current, question wording changed and some new codes were added. Examples of additions to existing questions include adding the word, "minivan," to the vehicle screening questions; adding wording to questions and sections regarding online payments, automatic deductions, transit subsidies, and flexible spending accounts; and adding weight reduction centers to a membership question. Examples of adding additional codes include new categories for handheld personal music players, PDAs or personal digital assistants, video equipment and installation for vehicles, electronic toll passes like EZ Pass,

Global Positioning System (GPS) services, and dating services. Similarly, a new section was added to capture different types of internet expenses such as membership to entertainment sites and the purchase of downloaded music or video files. These changes were made to keep the survey current with products available in the marketplace.

A full list of the proposed changes to the Quarterly Interview Survey is available upon request. The Diary Survey will have no changes for 2005.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* The Consumer Expenditure Surveys: The Quarterly Interview and the Diary.

OMB Number: 1220-0050.

Form	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response	Estimated total burden (hours)
CE Quarterly Interview CAPI instrument	10,157 3,283 7,530 7,530 954	4 1 3 2 1	40,628 3,283 22,590 15,060 954	70 15 25 105 15	47,400 821 9,413 26,355 239
Totals	17,687		82,515		84,228

Please note: Reinterview respondents are a subset of the original number of respondents for each survey. Therefore, they are not counted again in the totals. Also, for the Diary, the "Record of Your Daily Expenses" respondents are the same as the "Household Questionnaire" respondents.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Total Burden Cost (Capital/Startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (Operating/ Maintenance): \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of October, 2004.

#### Cathy Kazanowski,

Chief, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[FR Doc. 04–24732 Filed 11–4–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–24–P

# NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

## National Council on the Humanities Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

November 1, 2004.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended) notice is hereby given the National Council on the Humanities will meet in Washington, DC on November 18–19, 2004.

The purpose of the meeting is to advise the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Humanities with respect to policies, programs, and procedures for carrying out his functions, and to review applications for financial support from and gifts offered to the Endowment and to make recommendations thereon to the Chairman.

The meeting will be held in the Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. A portion of the morning and afternoon sessions on November 18-19, 2004, will not be open to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), (c)(6) and (c)(9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code because the Council will consider information that may disclose: trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; and information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made

this determination under the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority dated July 19, 1993.

The agenda for the session on November 18, 2004 will be as follows:

### **Committee Meetings**

(Open to the Public) Policy Discussion:

9–10:30 a.m.

Challenge Grants—Room 420 Education Programs—Room 507 Preservation and Access—Room 415 Research Programs—Room 315

(Closed to the Public) Discussion of specific grant applications and programs before the Council:

10:30 a.m. until Adjourned Challenge Grants—Room 420 Education Programs—Room 507 Preservation and Access—Room 415 Research Programs—Room 315 2–3:30 p.m.

Heroes of History Lecture—Room 527 The morning session on November 19, 2004 will convene at 9 a.m., in the 1st Floor Council Room M–09, and will be open to the public, as set out below. The agenda for the morning session will be as follows:

A. Minutes of the Previous Meeting.

- B. Reports.
- 1. Introductory Remarks.
- 2. Staff Report.
- 3. Congressional Report.
- 4. Budget Report.
- 5. Reports on Policy and General Matters.
- a. Challenge Grants.
- b. Education Programs.
- c. Preservation and Access.
- d. Research Programs.
- e. Heroes of History Lecture.

The remainder of the proposed meeting will be given to the consideration of specific applications and closed to the public for the reasons stated above.

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Daniel Schneider, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, or by calling (202) 606–8322, TDD (202) 606–8282. Advance notice of any special needs or accommodations is appreciated.

#### Michael McDonald,

Acting Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–24699 Filed 11–4–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7536–01–P** 

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# President's Committee on the National Medal of Science; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* President's Committee on the National Medal of Science (1182).

Date and Time: Tuesday, November 23, 2004, 8:30 a.m.–2 p.m.

Place: Room 1235, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Mrs. Susan E. Fannoney, Program Manager, Room 1220, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: 703/292– 8096.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations to the President in the selection of the 2004 National Medal of Science recipients.

Agenda: To review and evaluate nominations as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The nominations being reviewed include information of a personal nature where disclosure would constitute unwarranted invasions of personal privacy. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: November 2, 2004.

## Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-24734 Filed 11-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-390]

Tennessee Valley Authority; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 90, issued to Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee), Docket No. 50– 390, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rhea County, Tennessee.

The proposed amendment would provide a one-time change to Function 4a, "Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Hot Leg Temperature Indication," of Technical Specification (TS) Table 3.3.4–1. The proposed amendment would allow Watts Bar Unit 1 to continue operating until the next refueling outage (scheduled for the spring of 2005) with one out of four RCS hot leg temperature indications inoperable in the Auxiliary Control Room.

The reason for the exigency is the unanticipated failure of Temperature Indicator (TI) 1-TI-68-65C that provides indication in the Auxiliary Control Room (ACR) for the hot leg temperature of RCS Loop 4. Upon discovery of this condition, TVA entered Action A of TS 3.3.4. The 30day allowed outage time for Action A of TS 3.3.4 will expire on November 20, 2004, at approximately 2:27 p.m. e.s.t. Based on the actions taken, the problem most likely exists in the instrumentation (transmitter or thermocouple) located within the Reactor Building's Polar Crane Wall. While the plant is operating, the radiological conditions in this area prohibit access by plant personnel. Therefore, the repairs cannot be safely implemented until the unit is shut down. If the proposed amendment is not granted, TS 3.3.4 would require that plant to be shut down by November 20, 2004, as repairs to the Loop 4 TI cannot be made while operating. The shutdown of the plant would result in an unnecessary operational transient since the indication parameters that remain available in the ACR are

adequate to safely shut down the plant should an emergency arise.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

No. The proposed TS change to allow operation with only 3 of 4 loop remote shutdown indications for Reactor Coolant System hot leg temperature until the Spring 2005 refueling outage is only applicable to the following conditions:

- 1. Fire or smoke in the Main Control Room (MCR),
- 2 An evacuation of the MCR due to some other (non-fire) unspecified reason, and
- 3. The design basis flood.

The inoperability of the one T(hot) indicator does not change the probability of occurrence for these events since it is not an accident initiator. The T(hot) indicators on the four loops are non-safety related equipment. During safe shutdown for a MCR evacuation event, design basis flood or fire related event, no fuel damage is postulated to occur, nor is the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary or containment barriers postulated to be lost. Sufficient redundancy exists with the operational instrumentation to ensure that decay heat removal functions are not adversely impacted by this change. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

No. The proposed TS change does not alter the function of the Remote Shutdown System which is to achieve and maintain safe reactor shutdown from outside the MCR. The TS instrumentation and controls required will be such that sufficient capability is retained for