would impose on its own, as long as it falls within the range of acceptability or is 'within the reaches of public interest.'" United States v. Am.

Telephone & Telegraph Co., 552 F.
Supp. 131, 151 (D.D.C. 1982) (citations omitted) (quoting Gillette, 406 F. Supp. at 716), aff'd sub nom. Maryland v.

United States, 460 U.S. 1001 (1983); see also United States v. Alcan Aluminum Ltd., 605 F. Supp. 619, 622 (W.D. Ky. 1985) (approving the consent decree even though the court would have imposed a greater remedy).

Moreover, the Court's role under the Tunney Act is limited to reviewing the remedy in relationship to the violations that the United States has alleged in its Complaint, and does not authorize the Court to "construct [its] own hypothetical case and then evaluate the decree against that case." Microsoft, 56 F.3d at 1459. Because the "court's authority to review the decree depends entirely on the government's exercising its prosecutorial discretion by bringing a case in the first place," it follows that "the court is only authorized to review the decree itself," and not to "effectively redraft the complaint" to inquire into other matters that the United States might have but did not pursue. Id. at 1459-60.

#### III. Determinative Documents

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the Tunney Act that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Amended Final Judgment.

Dated: May 26, 2004.

Respectfully submitted, Anthony E. Harris, Illinois Bar No. 1133713, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Litigation II Section, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530, Telephone: (202) 307–6583.

## **Attorney for the United States**

## **Certificate of Service**

I, Anthony E. Harris, hereby certify that on May 26, 2004, I caused the foregoing notice of Filing of Amended Final Judgment and Amended Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, Amended Final Judgment, Amended Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Revised Competitive Impact Statement to be served on defendants by sending a facsimile and by mailing a copy first-class, postage prepaid, to duly authorized legal representatives of those parties, as follows:

#### Counsel for Defendants Alcan Inc., Alcan Aluminum Corp., Pechiney, S.A., and Pechiney Rolled Products, LLC

D. Stuart Meiklejohn, Esquire, Michael B.
Miller, Esquire, Sullivan & Cromwell, 125
Broad Street, New York, NY 10004–2498.
Peter B. Gronvall, Esquire, Sullivan &
Cromwell, 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue,
NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20006.
Anthony E. Harris, Esquire, Illinois Bar
#1133713, U.S. Department of Justice,
Antitrust Division, 1401 H Street, NW.,
Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530,
Telephone: (202) 307–6583.

[FR Doc. 04–13343 Filed 6–14–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–11–M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—ReJen Lowi Joint Venture

Notice is hereby given that, on May 18, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), the ReJen Lowi Joint Venture has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are The ReJen Company, Washoe Valley, NV and Alvin Lowi & Associates, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA. The nature and objectives of the venture are to build and test a high efficiency regenerated cycle reciprocating diesel engine that will result in increased energy efficiency and lower emissions. The activities of this project will be partially funded by an award from the Advanced Technology Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce.

#### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division

[FR Doc. 04–13342 Filed 6–14–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993 Southwest Research Institute: Clean Diesel IV

Notice is hereby given that, on May 18, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI"): Clean Diesel IV has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Lubrizol Corporation, San Antonio, TX and Johnson Matthey, Malvern, PA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI"): Clean Diesel IV intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 6, 2004, Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI"): Clean Diesel IV filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 10, 2004 (69 FR 25923).

## Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04–13341 Filed 6–14–04; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Office of Justice Programs

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-day notice of information collection under review: Capital Punishment Report of Inmates Under Sentence of Death.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collected is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. The proposed information collected was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 69, Number 52, on page 12712, on March 17, 2004, allowing a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until July 15, 2004. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden or associated response time, should be directed to the Officer of Management and Budget, Officer of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Överview of this information collection:

- (1) Type of information collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) The title of the Form/Collection: Capital Punishment Report of Inmates Under Sentence of Death.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Numbers: NPS–8

Report of Inmates Under Sentence of Death; NPS-8A Update Report of Inmates Under Sentence of Death; NPS-8B Status of Death Penalty—No Statute in Force; and NPS-8C Status of Death Penalty—Statute in Force. Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

- (4) Affected public who will be asked to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State Departments of Corrections and Attorneys General. Others: Federal Bureau of Prisons. Approximately 104 respondents (two from each State, the District of Columbia, and the Federal Bureau of Prisons) responsible for keeping records on inmates under sentence of death in their jurisdiction and in their custody will be asked to provide information for the following categories: condemned inmates' demographic characteristics, legal status at the time of capital offense, capital offense for which imprisoned, number of death sentences imposed, criminal history information, reason for removal and current status if no longer under sentence of death, method of execution, and cause of death by other than execution. The Bureau of Justice Statistics uses this information in published reports and for the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, State officials, international organizations, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justice statistics.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: The estimated total number of respondents for this collection is 95. 43 respondents will complete the forms NPS–8/8A and 52 respondents will complete forms NPS–8B/8C. The estimated total number of responses filed is 3,800: 171 responses at 30 minutes each form NPS–8, 3,577 responses at 30 minutes each form NPS–8A, and 52 responses at 15 minutes each for the NPS–8B or NPS–8C.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 1,888 annual total burden hours associated with the collection.

If additional information is required, contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 601 D Street, NW., Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 8, 2004.

#### Brenda E. Dyer,

Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 04–13422 Filed 6–14–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–18–P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### Office of the Secretary

# Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 7, 2004.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Department of Labor (DOL). To obtain documentation, contact Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment Standards Administration (ESA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employment Standards Administration.