

would impose on its own, as long as it falls within the range of acceptability or is 'within the reaches of public interest.'" *United States v. Am. Telephone & Telegraph Co.*, 552 F. Supp. 131, 151 (D.D.C. 1982) (citations omitted) (quoting *Gillette*, 406 F. Supp. at 716), *aff'd sub nom. Maryland v. United States*, 460 U.S. 1001 (1983); see also *United States v. Alcan Aluminum Ltd.*, 605 F. Supp. 619, 622 (W.D. Ky. 1985) (approving the consent decree even though the court would have imposed a greater remedy).

Moreover, the Court's role under the Tunney Act is limited to reviewing the remedy in relationship to the violations that the United States has alleged in its Complaint, and does not authorize the Court to "construct [its] own hypothetical case and then evaluate the decree against that case." *Microsoft*, 56 F.3d at 1459. Because the "court's authority to review the decree depends entirely on the government's exercising its prosecutorial discretion by bringing a case in the first place," it follows that "the court is only authorized to review the decree itself," and not to "effectively redraft the complaint" to inquire into other matters that the United States might have but did not pursue. *Id.* at 1459-60.

### III. Determinative Documents

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the Tunney Act that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Amended Final Judgment.

Dated: May 26, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,  
Anthony E. Harris, Illinois Bar No. 1133713,  
U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust  
Division, Litigation II Section, 1401 H Street,  
NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530,  
Telephone: (202) 307-6583.

### Attorney for the United States

### Certificate of Service

I, Anthony E. Harris, hereby certify that on May 26, 2004, I caused the foregoing notice of Filing of Amended Final Judgment and Amended Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, Amended Final Judgment, Amended Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Revised Competitive Impact Statement to be served on defendants by sending a facsimile and by mailing a copy first-class, postage prepaid, to duly authorized legal representatives of those parties, as follows:

### Counsel for Defendants Alcan Inc., Alcan Aluminum Corp., Pechiney, S.A., and Pechiney Rolled Products, LLC

D. Stuart Meiklejohn, Esquire, Michael B. Miller, Esquire, Sullivan & Cromwell, 125 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004-2498.  
Peter B. Gronvall, Esquire, Sullivan & Cromwell, 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20006.  
Anthony E. Harris, Esquire, Illinois Bar #1133713, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530,  
Telephone: (202) 307-6583.

[FR Doc. 04-13343 Filed 6-14-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—ReJen Lowi Joint Venture

Notice is hereby given that, on May 18, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the ReJen Lowi Joint Venture has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are The ReJen Company, Washoe Valley, NV and Alvin Lowi & Associates, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA. The nature and objectives of the venture are to build and test a high efficiency regenerated cycle reciprocating diesel engine that will result in increased energy efficiency and lower emissions. The activities of this project will be partially funded by an award from the Advanced Technology Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce.

### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04-13342 Filed 6-14-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993 Southwest Research Institute: Clean Diesel IV

Notice is hereby given that, on May 18, 2004, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI"): Clean Diesel IV has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Lubrizol Corporation, San Antonio, TX and Johnson Matthey, Malvern, PA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI"): Clean Diesel IV intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 6, 2004, Southwest Research Institute ("SwRI"): Clean Diesel IV filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 10, 2004 (69 FR 25923).

### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 04-13341 Filed 6-14-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-day notice of information collection under review: Capital Punishment Report of Inmates Under Sentence of Death.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget