

1,497,472, and U.S. Supplemental Register No. 1,903,908, and infringement of the complainant's trade dress. Subsequently, seven more firms were added as respondents based on two separate motions filed by complainant Auto Meter. The investigation was terminated as to nine respondents on the basis of consent orders. Six respondents were found to be in default.

On July 2, 2004, Auto Meter and respondent Longacre Industries, Inc. ("Longacre") filed a joint motion to terminate based on a settlement agreement between Auto Meter and Longacre and a consent order stipulation with a proposed consent order.

On September 1, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 37) terminating the investigation as to respondent Longacre on the basis of a settlement agreement and consent order. The Commission investigative attorneys filed a response in support of the joint motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 27, 2004.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-22602 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-494]

In the Matter of Certain Automotive Measuring Devices, Products Containing Same, and Bezels For Such Devices; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review An Initial Determination Terminating The Investigation As To Respondent Longacre Industries, Inc. On The Basis of A Settlement Agreement And Consent Order; Issuance Of The Consent Order; Republication

Editorial Note: FR Doc. 04-22033 did not publish in the issue of Friday, October 1, 2004. It is being published in its entirety in the issue of October 7, 2004.

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to

review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the above-captioned investigation as to respondent Longacre Industries, Inc. on the basis of a consent order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the public version of the ID and all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 20, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Auto Meter Products, Inc. ("Auto Meter") of Sycamore, Illinois. 68 FR 37023. The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain automotive measuring devices, products containing same, and bezels for such devices, by reason of infringement of U.S. Registered Trademark Nos. 1,732,643 and 1,497,472, and U.S. Supplemental Register No. 1,903,908, and infringement of the complainant's trade dress. Subsequently, seven more firms were added as respondents based on two separate motions filed by complainant Auto Meter. The investigation was terminated as to nine respondents on the basis of consent orders. Six respondents were found to be in default.

On July 2, 2004, Auto Meter and respondent Longacre Industries, Inc. ("Longacre") filed a joint motion to terminate based on a settlement agreement between Auto Meter and Longacre and a consent order stipulation with a proposed consent order.

On September 1, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 37) terminating the investigation as to respondent Longacre on the basis of a settlement agreement

and consent order. The Commission investigative attorneys filed a response in support of the joint motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: September 27, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-22033 Filed 9-30-04; 8:45 am]

Editorial Note: FR Doc. 04-22033 did not publish in the issue of Friday, October 1, 2004. It is being published in its entirety in the issue of October 7, 2004.

[FR Doc. R4-22033 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-493]

In the Matter of Certain Zero-Mercury-Added Alkaline Batteries, Parts Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision to Terminate Investigation with a Finding of No Violation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wayne Herrington, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3090. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on

this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 2, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Energizer Holdings, Inc. and Eveready Battery Company, Inc., both of St. Louis, Missouri. 68 FR 32771 (June 2, 2003). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain zero-mercury-added alkaline batteries, parts thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,464,709 ("the '709 patent"). The complaint and notice of investigation named 26 respondents and were later amended to include an additional firm as a respondent. The investigation has been terminated as to claims 8-12 of the '709 patent. Several respondents have been terminated from the investigation for various reasons.

On June 2, 2004, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337. He also recommended the issuance of remedial orders. A number of the remaining respondents petitioned for review of the ID. Complainants and the Commission investigative attorney filed oppositions to those petitions. On July 9, 2004, the Commission issued a notice that it had determined to review the ALJ's final ID in its entirety. In that notice, the Commission requested written submissions on the issues on review (noting issues and questions it particularly sought briefing on), as well as on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainants, respondents, and the Commission investigative attorney filed written submissions.

Having considered the record in this investigation, including the written submissions on the issues on review and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding, the Commission has determined to terminate this investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337. Specifically, the Commission has determined that the asserted claims are invalid for indefiniteness. The Commission has determined to take no position on the other issues raised in this investigation. Finally, the Commission has determined to deny as moot the May 21, 2004, motion of respondent Ningbo Baowang Battery Co. Ltd. to terminate the investigation as to it, as well as its motion to reopen the evidentiary record.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act

of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and sections 210.41-.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.41-.51).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 1, 2004.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 04-22601 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 23, 2004, a proposed Settlement Agreement (the "Agreement") in In re: Farmland Industries, Inc., *et al.*, Case No. 02-50557, was lodged with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Missouri.

In this settlement the United States resolves the Environmental Protection Agency's claim for cost recovery for costs to be incurred remediating environmental contamination at the Obee Road Superfund Site in Hutchinson, Kansas. Farmland Industries, Inc. has been identified as a responsible party under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") in connection with this Site, and civil penalties under CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, and the Clean Air Act against Farmland Industries, Inc. The Settlement Agreement provides that the United States will have an allowed general unsecured claim of \$940,000, in settlement of the above-described claim. The United States previously has recovered from Farmland its past costs incurred at the Obee Road Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to In re: Farmland Industries, Inc., *et al.*, Case No. 02-50557, Bankruptcy Court for Western District of Missouri, D.J. Ref. #90-5-1-1-06976/3.

The Settlement Agreement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 400 E. 9th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106, and at U.S. EPA Region 7, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City,

Kansas 66101. During the public comment period, the Settlement Agreement may also be examined on the following Justice Department Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the Settlement Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$1.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Catherine R. McCabe,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 04-22525 Filed 10-6-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of the Proposed Consent Decree Between the United States, The State of Maryland, The Commonwealth of Virginia, Mirant Mid-Atlantic, LLC and Mirant Potomac River, LLC

Notice is hereby given that on Monday, September 27, 2004, a proposed Consent decree ("proposed Decree") in *United States and State of Maryland v. Mirant Mid-Atlantic, LLC and Mirant Potomac River, LLC* ("Mirant"), Civil Action No. 1:04CV1136, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.

In this civil enforcement action under the federal Clean Air Act ("Act"), the United States alleges that in 2003, Mirant, an electric utility, failed to comply with a provision in the Operating Permit for the Potomac River Generating Station that limited that plant's NO_x emissions to 1,019 tons of NO_x during the ozone season. The complaint seeks both injunctive relief and a civil penalty.

The proposed Decree lodged with the Court addresses this violation at the Potomac river Generating Station (located in Alexandria, Virginia) by requiring relief at that plant, as well as at three other Mirant coal-fired electric generating facilities: the Chalk Point Generating Plant (in Prince George's County, Maryland); the Morgantown Generating Plant (in Charles County, Maryland); and the Dickerson Generating Plant (in Montgomery County, Maryland).