

TC31 North and Central Pacific  
Japan-North American, Caribbean  
Expedited Resolution 002bd  
PTC31 N&C/CIRC 0287 dated 12  
November 2004

TC31 North and Central Pacific  
TC3-Central America, South  
America  
Expedited Resolution 002bz r1-r4  
Intended effective date: 15 January  
2005.

*Docket Number:* OST-2004-19636.

*Date Filed:* November 10, 2004.

*Parties:* Members of the International  
Air Transport Association.

*Subject:*

PTC2 EUR 0590 dated 5 November  
2004

PTC2 Within Europe Resolutions  
r1-r21

Minutes: PTC2 EUR 0587 dated 2  
November 2004

Tables: PTC2 EUR Fares 0107 dated  
5 November 2004

Intended effective date: 1 March  
2005.

**Andrea M. Jenkins,**

*Program Manager, Docket Operations,  
Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 04-26095 Filed 11-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Office of the Secretary

#### Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart B (Formerly Subpart Q) During the Week Ending November 12, 2004

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart B (formerly Subpart Q) of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 301.201 et. seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

*Docket Number:* OST-2004-19617.

*Date Filed:* November 8, 2004.

*Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:* November 29, 2004.

*Description:* Application of Atlantic Express, Inc. requesting a certificate of public convenience and necessity to

engage in scheduled foreign air transportation of persons, property and mail between any point or points in the United States via intermediate points to a point or points in The Netherlands and beyond; from points behind the United States via the United States and intermediate points to a point or points in Germany and beyond; and between any point or points in the United States and any point or point in the United Kingdom (other than London's Heathrow or Gatwick Airports).

**Andrea M. Jenkins,**

*Program Manager, Docket Operations,  
Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 04-26094 Filed 11-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Application 04-04-C-00-PIT To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Pittsburgh International Airport, Pittsburgh, PA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Pittsburgh International Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 27, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Lori Ledebohm, PFC Contact, Harrisburg Airports District Office, 3905 Hartzdale Drive, Suite 508, Camp Hill, PA 17011. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to John R. Serpa, of the Allegheny County Airport Authority at the following address: Allegheny County Airport Authority, P.O. Box 12370, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15231-0370.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Allegheny County Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Lori Ledebohm, PFC Contact, Harrisburg Airports District Office, 3905 Hartzdale Drive, Suite 508, Camp Hill,

Pennsylvania 17011, 717-730-2835. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Pittsburgh International Airport under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On November 17, 2004, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Allegheny County Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than December 31, 2004.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

*Proposed charge effective date:* October 1, 2006.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* October 1, 2017.

*Level of the proposed PFC:* \$4.50.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$251,972,727.

*Brief description of proposed project(s):*

- Reimbursement for Multiple Past Pre-Application #1 Projects.

- Wastewater/Contaminated Stormwater Treatment Facility—Environmental Mitigation, Phase 1 Environmental/Design.

- Taxiways B2, C, N & R Pavement Rehabilitation.

- Airfield/Terminal Security Upgrades—Partially in response to 9/11/01.

- Field Maintenance Complex/Snow Removal Equipment Storage Building, Phase 1 Environmental/Design.

- Improve Runways 32 and 28R Runway Safety Areas; and Improve Runway 14 Safety Area, Environmental/Design.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Non-scheduled, on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Eastern Region, Airports Division, AEA-610, 1 Aviation Plaza, Jamaica, New York 11434.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Allegheny County Airport Authority.

Issued in Camp Hill, PA on November 17, 2004.

**John B. Carter,**

*Acting Manager, Harrisburg Airports District Office, Eastern Region.*

[FR Doc. 04-26102 Filed 11-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement; Chittenden County, VT

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed transportation project in Chittenden County, Vermont.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kenneth R. Sikora, Jr., Environmental Program Manager, Federal Highway Administration, PO Box 568, Montpelier Vermont 05601, Telephone: (802) 828-4433.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FHWA, in cooperation with the Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans), will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to improve the transportation system from Interstate Route I-89 to the Towns of Williston and Essex and the Village of Essex Junction. The project study area is approximately 4 miles in length, and includes the corridor that would have been served by the previously proposed Chittenden County Circumferential Highway Project Construction Segments A and B. The Circumferential Highway Construction Segments G-J included in the Metropolitan Long Range Transportation Plan are not part of this proposed transportation project. The Circumferential Highway Construction Segments C-F have been partially constructed and open to traffic.

The EIS will identify transportation needs and deficiencies in the project study area, including mobility, access, system continuity, and safety. The range of transportation alternatives to be evaluated in the EIS will not be restricted to previously considered alternatives or the conclusions of previous studies. In addition, the EIS will specifically address the relationship between transportation and land use in and around the project study area.

The EIS will evaluate potential alternative transportation improvements to meet the existing and future demands

on the transportation system serving the aforementioned communities. Potential alternatives and combinations thereof will include but are not limited to (1) taking no action, *i.e.*, the No-Build Alternative; (2) strategies to better manage transportation demand; (3) improving public transportation facilities and services; (4) improving existing roadways, pedestrian walkways, and bikeways; and (5) constructing a new roadway connection between Route I-89 and Vermont Route 289 and other roadways. Design variations of potential alternatives will also be studied, as appropriate.

The EIS will be initiated with a scoping process. The scoping process will include a program of public outreach and agency coordination will be conducted over the next several months in order to elicit input on project purpose and need, potential alternatives, significant and insignificant issues, and collaborative methods for analyzing transportation alternatives and environmental impacts. As part of scoping, VTrans plans to hold several public meetings at different locations in Chittenden County and to contact and meet with local, state, and federal agencies and officials as well as private individuals and organizations concerned with the project. In addition, a public hearing will be held in connection with the circulation of the draft EIS. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearing.

The information gained during the scoping process will be widely disseminated and used to guide the development of the EIS. An internet website and other communication media will be developed early in the scoping process and used to provide public information and to receive comments. All comments and input received during the scoping and subsequent steps of the EIS process will be considered and documented. Beginning with scoping, continuous and regular public involvement and agency coordination will continue throughout the preparation of the EIS.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205 Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: November 19, 2004.

**Charles E. Basner,**

*Division Administrator, Montpelier, Vermont.*

[FR Doc. 04-26192 Filed 11-24-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2004-19103; Notice 2]

#### The Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

The Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company (Goodyear) has determined that certain tires it produced in 2004 do not comply with S4.3(e) of 49 CFR 571.109, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 109, "New pneumatic tires." Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), Goodyear has petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30-day comment period, on October 8, 2004, in the **Federal Register** (69 FR 60459). NHTSA received no comments.

A total of approximately 3,793 tires are involved. These include approximately 1,075 Kelly Charger HPT 235/45R18 tires manufactured from May 18, 2004, to May 27, 2004, and approximately 2,718 Essenza 210 Type R 235/45R18 tires manufactured from July 15, 2004, to August 15, 2004. Paragraph S4.3 of FMVSS No. 109 requires "each tire shall have permanently molded into or onto both sidewalls \* \* \* (e) Actual number of plies in the sidewall, and the actual number of plies in the tread area if different." The affected tires are incorrectly labeled to state that there is one nylon ply in the tread area when the actual number of nylon plies is two.

Goodyear believes that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and that no corrective action is warranted, because the mislabeling of these tires creates no unsafe condition. Goodyear states that the tires meet or exceed all applicable FMVSS performance requirements. In addition, Goodyear says that all markings related to tire service, including load capacity and corresponding inflation pressure, are correct.

The Transportation Recall, Enhancement, Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act (Public Law 106-414) required, among other things, that the agency initiate rulemaking to improve tire label information. In response, the agency published an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) in the