The Food Stamp Nutrition Education Systems Review will collect data in the following FSNE domains: organization and administration; program planning and decision-making; program implementation, including nutrition education messages, delivery and target audiences; monitoring and evaluation; and funding issues. The study will draw on three main sources of data: (1) Existing State FSNE plan documents for FY 2004, (2) Internet-based surveys of key staff from State food stamp agencies and FSNE implementing agencies, and (3) on-site interviews with staff from State food stamp agencies, implementing agencies, local projects, and program partners.

The sample frame for the study will include all State agencies expected to have approved FSNE Plans for FY 2004 (including the District of Columbia and the Virgin Islands). Contractor staff will abstract the necessary information from FY 2004 State FSNE plans and agency web sites to identify the universe of FSNE implementing agencies in these States. The Internet-based surveys will be a census of all 52 State food stamp agencies and approximately 93 implementing agencies. A systematic representative sample of implementing agencies will be selected for on-site data collection, after stratifying by type of implementing agency and FNS region. Types of implementing agencies include the traditional Cooperative Extension Services, nutrition networks, and other organizational structures. This is expected to result in a sample of about 34 implementing agencies in approximately 29 States. In consultation with each sampled implementing agency, 2 to 4 local project sites will be selected for site visits. Selection criteria will focus on capturing diversity in a variety of areas, including the target audience, geographical location, size and tenure of the program, and approaches to nutrition education.

The study and its data collection methodologies have been designed to minimize respondent burden wherever possible without compromising data quality. The use of a secure Internet site for survey administration will permit respondents to provide information at a time (or times) that is convenient to them. Respondents who do not have Internet access will be interviewed by telephone. In addition, all in-person interviews will be scheduled in advance in an effort to accommodate respondents' schedules. All survey questions will be kept as simple and respondent-friendly as possible. Maximum use will also be made of existing data from public sources.

Responses to all questions will be voluntary. The contractor will take the following steps to treat the data provided in a confidential manner: (1) Potentially sensitive survey data and all information collected in on-site interviews will be combined with other data and reported only in aggregate or statistical form, and (2) no data will be released in a form that identifies individual respondents by name. Respondents will be notified of these confidentiality measures by letter and in the Internet surveys.

Affected Public: Staff from State food stamp agencies, and other State agencies, implementing agencies, and local projects collaborating with the FSP to provide FSNE.

Estimated Number of Respondents: Internet-based surveys: 52 State FSP administrators, 52 State FSP budget directors, 93 implementing agency directors, and 93 implementing agency budget officers.

On-site interviews: 29 State FSP administrators; 29 State FSP budget directors; 34 implementing agency directors; 34 implementing agency budget officers; 11 implementing agency senior administrators; 34 program partner directors (e.g., WIC directors); 112 local project directors; 112 local project budget officers; 336 local project instructors; and 112 local partner providers.

Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Total Number of Annual Responses:

Estimated Time Per Response: All burden estimates include time to prepare for and complete the survey or interview. Internet-based survey: State FSP administrators, 1 hour; State FSP budget directors, 0.5 hour; implementing agency directors, 2 hours; and implementing agency budget officers, 2 hours.

On-site interviews: State FSP administrators, 2 hours; State FSP budget directors, 2 hours; implementing agency directors, 4 hours; implementing agency budget officers, 3 hours; implementing agency senior administrators, 1 hour; program partner directors, 1 hour; local project directors, 2 hours; local project budget officers, 1 hour; local project instructors, 1 hour; and local partner providers, 1 hour.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: Total of 1,633 hours, including: State FSP administrators, 110 hours; State FSP budget directors, 84 hours; implementing agency directors, 322 hours; implementing agency budget officers, 288 hours; implementing agency senior administrators, 11 hours; program partner directors, 34 hours;

local project directors, 224 hours; local project budget officers, 112 hours; local project instructors, 336 hours; and local partner providers, 112 hours.

Dated: April 21, 2004.

#### Roberto Salazar,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 04–9553 Filed 4–26–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

# Siskiyou County Resource Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Siskiyou County Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Yreka, California, May 17, 2004. The meeting will include routine business and a discussion of larger scale projects. DATES: The meeting will be held May 17, 2004, from 4:30 p.m. until 6 p.m. ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Yreka High School Library, Preece Way, Yreka, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Don Hall, RAC Coordinator, Klamath National Forest, (530) 841–4468 or electronically at donaldhall@fs.fed.us.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting is open to the public. Public comment opportunity will be provided and individuals will have the opportunity to address the Committee at that time.

Dated: April 20, 2004.

#### Margaret J. Boland,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 04-9492 Filed 4-26-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# ARCTIC RESEARCH COMMISSION [USARC 04-014]

### **Notice of Meeting**

April 19, 2004.

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Arctic Research Commission will hold its 72nd Meeting in Fairbanks, AK, on June 2–4, 2004. The Business Session open to the public will convene at 9 a.m. Wednesday, June 2, the Agenda items include:

- (1) Call to order and approval of the Agenda.
- (2) Approval of the Minutes of the 71st Meeting.
- (3) Reports from Congressional Liaisons.

(4) Agency Reports.

The focus of the Meeting will be reports and updates on programs and research projects affecting the U.S. Arctic. Presentations include a review of the research needs for civil infrastructure in Alaska.

The Business Session will reconvene at 9 a.m. Thursday, June 3, 2003. An Executive Session will follow adjournment of the Business Session.

Any person planning to attend this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters must inform the Commission in advance of those needs.

Contact Person for More Information: Dr. Garrett W. Brass, Executive Director, Arctic Research Commission, 703–525– 0111 or TDD 703–306–0090.

#### Garrett W. Brass,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 04-9635 Filed 4-23-04; 1:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-570-863]

Honey From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On January 22, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (69 FR 3117) a notice announcing the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China. The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2002, to November 30, 2003. This review is now being rescinded for Anhui Honghui Foodstuff (Group) Co., Ltd. ("Anhui Honghui"); Cheng Du Wai Yuan Bee Products Co., Ltd. ("Cheng Du''); Eurasia Bee's Products Co., Ltd. ("Eurasia Bee"); Inner Mongolia Youth Trade Development Co., Ltd. ("Inner Mongolia Youth"); and Jiangsu Kanghong Natural Healthfoods Co., Ltd. ("Jiangsu Kanghong") because the requesting parties withdrew their review requests.

DATES: Effective Date: April 27, 2004. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer or Abdelali Elouaradia, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0405 or (202) 482–1374, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### Scope of Review

The merchandise under review is honey from the PRC. The products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90 and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive.

## Background

On December 10, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order covering honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Honey from the People's Republic of China, 66 FR 63670 (December 10, 2001). On December 2, 2003, the Department published a Notice of Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation, 68 FR 67401. On December 29, 2003, Anhui Honghui, Eurasia Bee, and Jiangsu Kanghong requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of each respective company's entries during the POR. On December 31, 2003, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners), requested, in accordance with section 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations, an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise made during the POR by 20 Chinese producers/exporters, which included Anhui Honghui; Anhui Native Produce Import & Export Corp. ("Anhui Native"); Cheng Du; Eurasia Bee: Foodworld International Club, Ltd. ("Foodworld"); Henan Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import &

Export Company ("Henan"); High Hope International Group Jiangsu Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp. ("High Hope"); Inner Mongolia Youth; Jiangsu Kanghong; Jinan Products Industry Co., Ltd. ("Jinan"); and Native Produce and Animal Import & Export Co. ("Native Produce"). On January 14, 2004, the petitioners filed a letter withdrawing their request for review of Henan, High Hope, Jinan, and Native Produce. On January 22, 2003, the Department initiated the review for the remaining 16 companies. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 68 FR 3009. On February 13, 2004, and February 18, 2004, petitioners withdrew their request for review of Foodworld and Anhui Native, respectively. On March 10, 2004, the Department rescinded the review for Foodworld and Anhui Native. See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 11383.

Separately, the Department also received timely requests in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c) from Anhui Honghui, Cheng Du, Eurasia Bee, Inner Mongolia Youth and Jiangsu Kanghong for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC. On February 6, 2004, we published a notice initiating new shipper reviews for each of these companies' sales during the same POR as this administrative review. See Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Duty Administrative Reviews, 69 FR 5835. On February 24, 2004, Cheng Du stated that it did not have any direct or indirect export sales of honey to the United States during the POR that are not already covered by the separate new shipper review covering the period December 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003, and therefore requested that the Department rescind this proceeding for Cheng Du. On February 25, 2004, Inner Mongolia Youth stated that the only sale it made during the POR was currently being reviewed by the separate new shipper review and requested that the Department rescind this administrative review for Inner Mongolia Youth. On March 5, 2004, Anhui Honghui, Eurasia Bee and Jiangsu Kanghong withdrew their requests for the administrative review covering the POR because all of their entries of subject merchandise during the POR are already subject to the new shipper review initiated by the Department. On March 12, 2004, petitioners also withdrew their request for an administrative review of entries