essential to the continued safe operation of the drawbridges.

**DATES:** This temporary deviation is effective from 8:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. on May 15, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Materials referred to in this rule are available for inspection or copying at the office of the Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch, Commander (obr), Eighth Coast Guard District, 1222 Spruce Street, St. Louis, MO 63103–2832, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. The Bridge Administration Branch maintains the public docket for this temporary deviation.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roger K. Wiebusch, Bridge Administrator, Commander (obr), Eighth Coast Guard District, 1222 Spruce Street, St. Louis, MO 63103–2832, (314) 539–3900, extension 2378.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Illinois Department of Transportation requested a temporary deviation on March 25, 2004 for the operation of the drawbridges to allow the bridge owner time for preventative maintenance. Presently, the draws open on signal for passage of river traffic. This deviation allows the bridges to remain closed to navigation for three hours from 8:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. on May 15, 2004. Vessels not exceeding the vertical clearance of the drawbridge may pass under the drawbridges during the maintenance. There are no alternate routes for vessels transiting through mile 287.9 and mile 288.1 on the Illinois Waterway. The drawbridges will be able to open for emergencies during the three-hour maintenance period.

The Jefferson Street Bridge, mile 287.9 and Cass Street Bridge, mile 288.1 provide a vertical clearance of 16.6 feet above normal pool in the closed to navigation position. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of commercial tows and recreational watercraft. In order to inspect the entire steel deck for fractures, the bridges must be kept inoperative and in the closed to navigation position. This deviation has been coordinated with waterway users. No objections were received.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(c), this work will be performed with all due speed in order to return the bridges to normal operation as soon as possible. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: April 16, 2004.

#### Roger K. Wiebusch,

Bridge Administrator.

[FR Doc. 04–9483 Filed 4–26–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## 47 CFR Part 73

### **Radio Broadcast Services**

CFR Correction

In Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, parts 70 to 79, revised as of Oct. 1, 2003, § 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments is corrected as follows:

- 1. Under Alaska by adding Channel 231C2 at Sterling;
- 2. Under Florida by adding Channel 261A at Cedar Key; and
- 3. Under Illinois by adding an entry for St. Anne, Channel 293A.

[FR Doc. 04-55506 Filed 4-26-04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 648

[Docket No. 040311088-4119-02; I.D. 030104A]

### RIN 0648-AQ81

# Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Spiny Dogfish Fishery

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final 2004–2005 specifications for the spiny dogfish fishery.

summary: NMFS announces final specifications for the 2004–2005 spiny dogfish fishery. These measures are specified to rebuild the spiny dogfish resource. The intent of this action is to specify the commercial quota for the spiny dogfish fishery to achieve the annual target fishing mortality rate (F) specified in the Spiny Dogfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) in order to prevent overfishing of this resource.

**DATES:** Effective May 27, 2004, through April 30, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Copies of supporting documents used by the Joint Spiny Dogfish Committee and the Spiny Dogfish Monitoring Committee (Monitoring Committee); the

Environmental Assessment, Regulatory Impact Review, Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (EA/RIR/IRFA); and the Essential Fish Habitat Assessment (EFHA) are available from Daniel Furlong, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Federal Building, Room 2115, 300 South Street, Dover, DE 19904. The EA, RIR, IRFA and EFHA are accessible via the Internet at http://www.nero.nmfs.gov./ro/doc/nero.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Jay Dolin, Fishery Policy Analyst, (978) 281–9259, fax (978) 281–9135, e-mail eric.dolin@noaa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The regulations implementing the Spiny Dogfish FMP at 50 CFR part 648, subpart L, outline the process for specifying annually the commercial quota and other management measures (e.g., minimum or maximum fish sizes, seasons, mesh size restrictions, possession limits, and other gear restrictions) for the spiny dogfish fishery to achieve the annual target fishing mortality rate (F) specified in the FMP. The target F for the 2004–2005 fishing year is not to exceed 0.08.

Proposed 2004–2005 specifications were published on March 18, 2004 (69 FR 12826). Public comments were accepted through April 2, 2004. A full discussion of the process undertaken to develop the annual specifications was provided in the proposed rule and is not repeated here. The final specifications are unchanged from those that were proposed.

## **Specifications for the 2004 Fishing Year**

The commercial spiny dogfish quota of 4 million lb (1.81 million kg) for the 2004–2005 fishing year will be divided into two semi-annual periods as follows: 2,316,000 lb (1,050,512 kg) for quota period 1 (May 1, 2004 - Oct. 31, 2004); and 1,684,000 lb (763,849 kg) for quota period 2 (Nov. 1, 2004 - April 30, 2005). The possession limits will be 600 lb (272 kg) for quota period 1, and 300 lb (136 kg) for quota period 2.

## **Comments and Responses**

Three sets of comments were received from the public. Most of the issues raised in the comments are not germane to the spiny dogfish fishery, and instead focus on broader concerns about fishery management in the United States. The two specific comments that address the spiny dogfish fishery are discussed below.

Comment 1: One commenter wondered if implementing a directed