

emissions budgets for the plan are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2.—ANCHORAGE MAINTENANCE AREA MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS THROUGH 2023 AND BEYOND
[Tons CO/winter day]

Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Budget	111.0	110.7	110.4	110.0	109.7	109.4	109.1	108.8	108.5	108.1
Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Budget	107.1	106.6	106.1	105.6	105.1	104.6	104.1	103.6	103.1	103.1

Emission budgets for years beyond 2023 are to be computed through linear extrapolation with the following equation: Mobile Source Emission Budget (tons per day) = $0.438 \times \text{Year} - 2023 + 103.34$.

EPA found these motor vehicle emissions budgets adequate for conformity purposes. See 69 FR 12651, March 17, 2004.

VI. Proposed Action

EPA is proposing approval of the Anchorage CO Maintenance Plan and redesignation of the Anchorage CO nonattainment area to attainment. This proposed redesignation is based on validated monitoring data and projections made in the maintenance demonstration. EPA believes the area will continue to meet the NAAQS for CO for at least 10 years beyond this redesignation, as required by the Act. Alaska has demonstrated compliance with the requirements of section 107(d)(3)(E) based on information provided by the MOA and contained in the Alaska SIP and Anchorage, Alaska CO maintenance plan. A Technical Support Document on file at the EPA Region 10 office contains a detailed analysis and rationale in support of the redesignation of the Anchorage CO nonattainment area to attainment.

VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this proposed action is not a “significant regulatory action” and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This proposed action merely proposes to approve State law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule will not have a

significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule proposes to approve pre-existing requirements under State law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4).

This proposed rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely proposes to approve a State rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be

inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, National parks, Wilderness areas.

Dated: April 29, 2004.

Julie Hagensen,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 04–10553 Filed 5–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 04–964; MB Docket No. 04–146; RM–10871]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Fort Rucker, Ozark & Slocomb, AL

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition for rulemaking filed by Sytles Media Group, LLC and Sytles Broadcasting of Dothan, Inc. requesting the substitution of Channel 26C3C for Channel 263A at Fort Rucker, AL, reallocation of Channel 263C3 from

Fort Rucker, AL to Slocomb, AL, and modification of the license for Station wxus to specify operation at Slocomb. Channel 263C3 can be allotted to Slocomb at coordinates 31–06–36 and 85–35–40. To preserve local service at Fort Rucker, LA, petitioner also requests the reallocation of Channel 280C3 from Ozark, AL to Fort Rucker, AL and modification of the license for Station WJRL to specify operation at Fort Rucker. The coordinates for Channel 280C3 at Fort Rucker are 31–26–63 and 85–32–21. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules, we shall not accept competing expressions of interest for the use of Channel 263C3 at Slocomb or Channel 280C3 at Fort Rucker.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 7, 2004, and reply comments on or before June 22, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Marissa G. Repp, Hogan & Hartson L.L.P., 555 Thirteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004–1109.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 04–146, adopted April 12, 2004, and released April 14, 2004. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY–A257, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractors, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR Part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Alabama, is amended by removing Channel 263A and by adding Channel 280C3 at Fort Rucker, by removing Channel 280C3 at Ozark and by adding Slocomb, Channel 263C3. Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 04–10578 Filed 5–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 04–1023; MB Docket No. 04–150; RM–10957]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Burlington and Trenton, NJ

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition for rulemaking filed by Nassau Broadcasting II, L.L.C. requesting the reallocation of Channel 248B from Trenton, New Jersey, to Burlington, New Jersey, and modification of the license for Station WPST to reflect the changes. Channel 249B can be allotted to Burlington at coordinates 40–14–05 and 74–46–02. The proposal complies with the provisions of section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules, and therefore, the Commission will not accept competing expressions of interest in the use of Channel 248B at Burlington.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 10, 2004, and reply comments on or before June 25, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the

petitioner's counsel, as follows: Mark N. Lipp, Scott Woodworth, Vinson & Elkins, L.L.P., 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20004–1008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 04–150, adopted April 14, 2004, and released April 19, 2004. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center at Portals II, CY–A257, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractors, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under New Jersey, is amended by adding Burlington, Channel 248B and by removing Channel 248B at Trenton.