exemption is expected not to be significant.

- ii. Drinking water. Sodium metasilicate residues in drinking water from use as a pesticide are expected to be minimal when compared to the ubiquity of naturally occurring forms of silicon dioxide in the environment and the widespread use of sodium metasilicate in dishwashing soaps, other soaps and detergents, etc. Because of the gel-forming properties of sodium metasilicate, leaching from the soil is very unlikely. In fact, sodium metasilicate is used for soil stabilization.
- 2. Non-dietary exposure. There may be non-dietary exposure to sodium metasilicate from non-pesticidal uses of sodium metasilicate, but significantly increased non-dietary exposure and non-occupational exposure from sodium metasilicate when used as a pesticide is not expected.

#### E. Cumulative Exposure

Because of the low oral toxicity of sodium metasilicate and because of the fact that its presence in the diet is, for the most part, as a direct food additive, no cumulative mode of exposure is expected for sodium metasilicate.

#### F. Safety Determination

- 1. *U.S. population*. Based on its low toxicity, there is reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure of the U.S. population, including infants and children, to residues of sodium metasilicate. This includes all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information. There is an inconsequential increase in dietary exposure resulting from application as a pesticide.
- 2. Infants and children. Based on the low toxicity of sodium metasilicate, there is a reasonable certainty that no harm to children or adults will result from aggregate exposure to sodium metasilicate. Exempting sodium metasilicate from the requirement of a tolerance should pose no significant risk to humans.

# G. Effects on the Immune and Endocrine Systems

To date there is no evidence to suggest that sodium metasilicate functions in a manner similar to any known hormone, or that it acts as an endocrine disruptor.

### H. Existing Tolerances

There are no existing tolerances for sodium metasilicate in the United States.

#### I. International Tolerances

There are no known approved codex maximum residue levels established for residues of sodium metasilicate. [FR Doc. 04–28499 Filed 12–28–04; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 04-3840]

The Federal Communications Commission's Form 501 Approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Office of Management and Budget issued a Notice of Action approving the revised Federal Communications Commission Form 501, Slamming Complaint Form. The form was revised to ensure that consumers have to file a slamming complaint only once, rather than having to seek multiple avenues of redress.

DATES: Effective November 29, 2004.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Marks or Kelli Farmer of the

David Marks or Kelli Farmer of the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–2512 (voice).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's document, DA 04–3840, released December 7, 2004. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY). This document can also be downloaded in Text and ASCII formats at: http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/policy/slamming.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Jay Keithley,

Deputy Bureau Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau.
[FR Doc. 04–28421 Filed 12–28–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

December 20, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 28, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Cathy Williams at 202–418–2918 or via the Internet at *Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov*.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0170. Title: Section 76.1030, Notifications Concerning Interference to Radio Astronomy, Research and Receiving Installations. Form Number: Not applicable.
Type of Review: Extension of a
currently approved collection.
Respondents: Business or other for-

profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 57. Estimated Time per Response: 0.5 hours (30 minutes).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 29 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$8,550. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No

impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR Section 73.1030 requires licensees to provide written notification to the Interference Office at Green Bank, West Virginia, the Observatories at Green Bank, West Virginia, Sugar Grove, West Virginia, or the Arecibo Observatory, setting forth the particulars of a proposed station. The data is used by the Interference Office/Observatories to enable them to file comments or objections with the FCC in response to the notification in order to minimize potential interference.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0567. Title: Section 76.962, Implementation and Certification of Compliance.

Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities; State, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 10. Estimated Time per Response: 0.5 hours (30 minutes).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 5 hours. Total Annual Cost: None.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No

impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR Section 76.962 requires any cable operator that has been deemed subject to remedial requirements to certify to the Commission its compliance with the Commission order requiring prospective rate reductions, refunds or other relief to subscribers. The certification must be filed with the Commission within 90 days from the date the Commission released the order mandating a remedy; reference the applicable Commission order; state that the cable operator has complied fully with all provisions of the Commission's order; include a description of the precise measures and cable operator has taken to implement the remedies order by the Commission; and be signed by an authorized representative of the cable operator. These certifications are used by the Commission to monitor a cable

operator's compliance with Commission rate orders.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0668.

Title: Section 76.936, Written
Decisions.

Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 1,200.
Estimated Time per Response: 1 hour.
Frequency of Response: On occasion
reporting requirement; Third party
disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,200 hours. Total Annual Cost: None.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR Section 76.936 states that a franchising authority must issue a written decision in a ratemaking proceeding whenever it disapproves an initial rate for the basic service tier or associated equipment in whole or in part, disapproves a request for a rate increase in whole or in part, or approves a request for an increase whole or in part over the objection of interested parties. Franchising authorities are not required to issue a written decision that approves an unopposed existing or proposed rate for the basic service tier or associated equipment. Public notice must be given of any written decision required in paragraph (a) of this section, including releasing the text of any written decision to the public.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0673. Title: 47 CFR Section 76.956, Cable

Operator Response.

Form Number: Not applicable.
Type of Review: Extension of a
currently approved collection.
Respondents: Business or other for-

profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 50. Estimated Time per Response: 4 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 200 hours. Total Annual Cost: None. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No

impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR Section
76.956 states that unless otherwise
directed by the local franchising
authority, a cable operator must file
with the local franchising authority a
response to a cable service complaint. In
addition to responding to the merits of
a complaint, the cable operator also may
move for dismissal of the complaint for
failure to meet the minimum showing
requirement. The local franchising
authority and the Commission use this
information to ensure a process for cable

operators to file a motion to dismiss a rate complaint filed against them if they feel that the complaint fails to meet the minimum showing.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04–28526 Filed 12–28–04; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

December 20, 2004.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 28, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov.