

*Atlantic Salmon from Norway: Final Results of Changes Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 9979, 9980 (March 1, 1999).

Our review of the evidence provided by Yamato Kogyo and Yamato Steel indicates, preliminarily, that the change in ownership has not significantly changed the company's management, production facilities, supplier relationships or customer base.

Yamato Kogyo and Yamato Steel provided detailed organizational charts and lists of directors both prior to and following the creation of Yamato Steel. See *Yamato Kogyo Co., Ltd. and Yamato Steel Co., Ltd.* submission dated March 26, 2004, at Exhibits A, C, D, E and F. These organizational charts and lists of directors establish that the management and organizational structure of Yamato Steel is substantially the same as that of Yamato Kogyo. Yamato also provided the Modification and Transfer Registration that was filed with the Himeji City Tax Office, which documented the establishment of Yamato Steel, the acquisition by Yamato Steel of all tangible fixed assets, and that Yamato Kogyo is the parent of Yamato Steel. See *Ibid.* at Exhibit H. This was further corroborated by the internal Asset Value Summary of the assets transferred from Yamato Kogyo to Yamato Steel. See *Ibid.* at Exhibit I. This documentation of the establishment of Yamato Steel and transfer of fixed assets provides evidence of Yamato Steel's use of the production facilities formerly used by Yamato Kogyo in the production of subject merchandise. Yamato Steel's supplier relationships are reflective of those of the former Yamato Kogyo, as illustrated by the supplier lists, derived from the respective firms' accounts payable, provided by Yamato Kogyo and Yamato Steel. See *Ibid.* at Exhibits J and K. Finally, Yamato Kogyo and Yamato Steel provided the customer lists of both the former Yamato Kogyo and the new Yamato Steel, which, while not identical, are sufficiently similar to show no significant change in the customer base. See *Ibid.* at Exhibits J and K.

In sum, Yamato Steel has presented evidence to establish a prima facie case of its successorship status. Yamato Steel's establishment by Yamato Kogyo has precipitated minimal changes to the original Yamato Kogyo corporate structure as it applies to the production of subject merchandise. Yamato Steel's management, production facilities, supplier relationships, sales facilities and customer base are essentially unchanged from those of Yamato

Kogyo's. Therefore, the record evidence demonstrates that the new entity essentially operates in the same manner as the predecessor company. Consequently, we preliminarily determine that Yamato Steel should be given the same antidumping duty treatment as Yamato Kogyo, *i.e.*, zero percent antidumping duty cash deposit rate.

If the above preliminary determination results are affirmed in the Department's final results, the cash deposit determination from this changed circumstances review will apply to all entries of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review. See *Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 25327 (May 12, 2003). This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review in which Yamato Steel participates.

#### Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Consistent with section 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations, we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and section 351.221(c)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: May 10, 2004.

**James J. Jochum**,  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 04-11014 Filed 5-13-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award Board of Overseers

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, notice is hereby given that there will be a meeting of the Board of Overseers of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award on June 3, 2004. The Board of Overseers is composed of eleven members prominent in the field of quality management and appointed by the Secretary of Commerce, assembled to advise the Secretary of Commerce on the conduct of the Baldrige Award. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss and review information received from the National Institute of Standards and Technology with the members of the Judges Panel of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award. The agenda will include: Discussions on Baldrige Program Strategic Objectives, Booz Allen CEO Study Final Report, Criteria for Baldrige Program Collaborations, Requested Program Rule Changes, and Baldrige Program Metrics, a Program and Budget Update and Issues from June 4 Judges' Meeting. All visitors to the National Institute of Standards and Technology site will have to pre-register to be admitted. Please submit your name, time of arrival, email address and phone number to Virginia Davis no later than Tuesday, June 1, 2004, and she will provide you with instructions for admittance. Ms. Davis' email address is [virginia.davis@nist.gov](mailto:virginia.davis@nist.gov) and her phone number is (301) 975-2361.

**DATES:** The meeting will convene June 3, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. and adjourn at 3 p.m. on June 3, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Administration Building, Lecture Room D, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899. Please note admittance instructions under the **SUMMARY** paragraph.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Harry Hertz, Director, National Quality Program, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, telephone number (301) 975-2361.

Dated: May 6, 2004.

**Hratch G. Semerjian,**

*Acting Director.*

[FR Doc. 04-11023 Filed 5-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR); NOAA Research Review Team Report

**AGENCY:** Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research publishes this notice to announce the availability of the NOAA Research Review Team Draft of the Final Report for public comment. The NOAA Research Report is compiled pursuant to requests from the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees in their 2003 reports. The report accompanying the House Commerce, Justice State (CJS) Appropriations Subcommittee requests that NOAA review research in NOAA, and specifically OAR, for the purpose of improving the effectiveness and efficiency of its research enterprise. The report addresses how NOAA should improve the management of research to ensure its maximum efficiency and effectiveness.

**DATES:** Comments on this draft document must be submitted by June 23, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** The NOAA Research Review Team Report will be available on the SAB website at [http://www.sab.noaa.gov/reports/RRT\\_final-draft\\_052504.pdf](http://www.sab.noaa.gov/reports/RRT_final-draft_052504.pdf) on May 25, 2004.

The public is encouraged to submit comments electronically to [research.review@noaa.gov](mailto:research.review@noaa.gov). For commenters who do not have access to a computer, comments may be submitted in writing to: NOAA Research, Science Advisory Board, (SAB) c/o Ms. M. Whitcomb, Silver Spring Metro Center Bldg 3 Room 11558, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. M. Whitcomb, Silver Spring Metro Center Bldg 3 Room 11558, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 (phone 301-713-2454 x 173), during normal business hours of 8 a.m.

to 5 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, or visit the SAB web site at: [http://www.sab.noaa.gov/reports/RRT\\_final-draft\\_052504.pdf](http://www.sab.noaa.gov/reports/RRT_final-draft_052504.pdf).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) publishes this notice to announce the availability of the NOAA Research Report for public comment. NOAA Research will post the NOAA Research Draft of the Final Report for public comment on May 25, 2004. NOAA Research is seeking public comment from all interested parties. This final report is being issued for comment only and is not intended for interim use. Suggested changes will be incorporated, where appropriate, in the report being submitted to the Congress.

The NOAA Research Report is compiled pursuant to requests from the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees in their 2003 reports. The report accompanying the House Commerce, Justice State (CJS) Appropriations Subcommittee requests that NOAA review research in NOAA, and specifically OAR, for the purpose of improving the effectiveness and efficiency of its research enterprise. The report addresses how NOAA should improve the management of research to ensure its maximum efficiency and effectiveness.

In response to the Congressional report language, NOAA appointed an "Ad Hoc Review Team," (here called the NOAA Research Review Team), under the auspices of the SAB consisting of five members to compile the requested information. The Review team will be disbanded once the review is completed and a final report issued. This panel is tasked with: (1) Conducting a review of OAR for the purpose of improving the effectiveness and efficiency of its research enterprise; (2) Determining if OAR is adequately linked to NOAA's service organizations, [i.e., National Weather Service (NWS), National Environmental Satellite Data, and Information Service (NESDIS), National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the National Ocean Service (NOS)]; (3) Assessing whether the research programs are relevant to the needs of these organizations. The team's recommendations will assist NOAA in responding to the language in the 2004 House and Senate CJS appropriation reports.

The NOAA Research Review Team is presenting its findings to the SAB in two (2) reports. The first report was posted for public comments in December 2003, and was submitted to NOAA on January 29, 2004. The final report, which incorporates the first draft

report, will be posted on the SAB web site at: [http://www.sab.noaa.gov/reports/RRT\\_final-draft\\_052504.pdf](http://www.sab.noaa.gov/reports/RRT_final-draft_052504.pdf) on May 25, 2004 for public comment. Public comments may be submitted from May 25, 2004 to 30 days after May 25, or June 23, 2004.

NOAA welcomes all comments on the content of the report. We also request comments on any inconsistencies perceived within the report, and possible omissions of important topics or issues. For any shortcoming noted within the draft report, please propose specific remedies.

Please follow these instructions for preparing and submitting a review. Using the format guidance described below will facilitate the processing of reviewer comments and assure that all comments are appropriately considered. Please provide background information about yourself on the first page of your comments: your name(s), organization(s), area(s) of expertise, mailing address(es), telephone and fax numbers, email address(es). Overview comments on the section should follow your background information and should be numbered. Comments that are specific to particular pages, paragraphs or lines of the section should follow any overview comments and should identify the page numbers to which they apply. Please number all pages, (on the upper right hand of each page,) and print identifying information at the top of each page.

Dated: May 10, 2004.

**Louisa Koch,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-10935 Filed 5-13-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-KD-P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection of Information; Comment Request—Testing and Recordkeeping Requirements Under the Standard for the Flammability of Mattresses and Mattress Pads

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed three year extension of approval of information collection requirements in the Standard for the Flammability of Mattresses and Mattress Pads. 16 CFR part 1632. The standard is