

governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism. No comments were submitted regarding this section.

**Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

**Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

**Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

**Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

**Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

**Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant

energy action” under that order, because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

**Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, an “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a “Categorical Exclusion Determination” are not required for this rule.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165**

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

**PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. In § 165.756, paragraph (d)(1)(i) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 165.756 Regulated Navigation Area; Savannah River, Georgia.**

- \* \* \* \* \*
- (d) \* \* \*
- (1) \* \* \*

(i) Except for a vessel that is moored at a marina, wharf, or pier, and remains moored, no vessel 1600 gross tons or greater may approach within two nautical miles of a LNG tankship that is underway within the RNA without the permission of the Captain of the Port (COTP).

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: March 28, 2004.

**H.E. Johnson,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 04–8867 Filed 4–19–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS**

**38 CFR Part 20**

**RIN 2900–AL45**

**Board of Veterans’ Appeals: Rules of Practice—Notice Procedures Relating to Withdrawal of Services by a Representative**

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document amends the Department of Veterans Affairs’ (VA) Board of Veterans’ Appeals Rules of Practice to simplify notice procedures relating to withdrawal of services by a representative after certification of an appeal. We believe that these simplified notice procedures are adequate for establishing proof of service.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 20, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven L. Keller, Senior Deputy Vice Chairman, Board of Veterans’ Appeals, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420 (202–565–5978). In a document published in the **Federal Register** on June 3, 2003 (68 FR 33040), we proposed amending Rule 608(b)(2) (38 CFR 20.608(b)(2)) to provide that, in cases involving a motion to withdraw services by a representative after certification of an appeal, proof of service will be accomplished by filing a statement with the Board of Veterans’ Appeals (Board) certifying that the motion has been sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the appellant or that the response has been sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the representative, as applicable. The previous practice required mailing the motion, and any response to that motion, by certified mail. The purpose of this amendment is to shorten the time before the motion is ripe for determination by the Board, expediting the possibility of a transition, if appropriate, to a new representative.

We asked interested parties to submit comments on or before August 4, 2003. We received no comments. Based on the rationale noted above and as set forth in the proposed rule, we are adopting the proposed rule as a final rule without change.

**Executive Order 12866**

The Office of Management and Budget has reviewed this document under Executive Order 12866.

**Unfunded Mandates**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before developing any rule that may result in an expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any given year. This final rule would have no such effect on State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Secretary hereby certifies that this final rule contains no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

**Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Secretary hereby certifies that this regulatory amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612. This rule merely concerns requirements for proof of service of motions for withdrawal of services by a representative after certification of an appeal before the Board, and for proof of service of responses to such motions. Moreover, such motions and responses are events that occur in only a minor proportion of the cases before the Board. Any economic impact on small entities would be minimal. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this final rule is exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

**List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 20**

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Attorneys, Lawyers, Legal services, Procedural rules, Veterans.

Approved: March 18, 2004.

**Anthony J. Principi,**  
*Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, 38 CFR part 20 is amended as set forth below:

**PART 20—BOARD OF VETERANS' APPEALS: RULES OF PRACTICE**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 20 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 501(a) and as noted in specific sections.

**§ 20.608 [Amended]**

■ 2. Section 20.608, paragraph (b)(2) is amended by:

■ A. In the third sentence, removing “permitted.” and adding, in its place, “permitted, and a signed statement certifying that a copy of the motion was sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the appellant, setting forth the address to which the copy was mailed.”

■ B. Removing the sixth and seventh sentences.

■ C. In the eighth sentence, removing “motion.” and adding, in its place, “motion and must include a signed statement certifying that a copy of the response was sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the representative, setting forth the address to which the copy was mailed.”

■ D. Removing the ninth and tenth sentences.

[FR Doc. 04–8880 Filed 4–19–04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8320–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****National Highway Traffic Safety Administration****49 CFR Part 595**

[Docket No. NHTSA–04–17536]

**Retrofit On-Off Switches for Air Bags; Vehicle Modifications to Accommodate People With Disabilities**

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the regulation governing vehicle modifications made to accommodate people with disabilities.

**DATES:** The effective date of this final rule is April 20, 2004. Petitions for reconsideration must be submitted so they are received by the agency June 4, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Petitions for reconsideration must be identified by the Docket Number in the title to this document and submitted to: Administrator, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For technical and other non-legal issues, you may call Ms. Gayle Dalrymple of the NHTSA Office of Crash Avoidance Standards at (202) 366–5559.

For legal issues, you may call Mr. Chris Calamita, Office of Chief Counsel (Telephone: (202) 366–2992) (Fax: (202) 366–3820).

You may send mail to both of these officials at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

The regulation that is subject to this correction is 49 CFR Part 595 subpart C, *Vehicle Modifications to Accommodate People with Disabilities*. On February 27, 2001, NHTSA issued a final rule establishing a limited exemption from a statutory provision that prohibits specified types of commercial entities from either removing safety equipment or features installed on motor vehicles pursuant to the Federal motor vehicle safety standards or altering the equipment or features so as to adversely affect their performance (66 FR 12638). The exemption allows repair businesses to modify certain types of federally-required safety equipment and features when passenger motor vehicles are modified for use by persons with disabilities.

**Need for Correction**

As published, the February 2001 final rule contained an error that needs correction. Included in the list of Federal standards that qualify for this limited exemption is Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 202, *Head restraints*. However, § 595.7, *Requirements for vehicle modifications to accommodate people with disabilities*, erroneously cites S3(b)(1) and S3(b)(2) of FMVSS No. 202, which do not exist. This correction amends § 595.7(c)(9) to cite S4.3(b)(1) and S4.3(b)(2) of FMVSS No. 202.

**Correction of Publication****List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 595**

Imports, Motor vehicle safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA is amending 49 CFR part 595 as follows:

**PART 595—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 595 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 322, 30111, 30115, 30117, 30122, and 30166; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

■ 2. In § 595.7, paragraph (c)(9) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 595.7 Requirements for vehicle modifications to accommodate people with disabilities.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) \* \* \*