requirements of § 1.422–2 have been met)." is removed and the language "If the stockholders of Y timely approve the plan, the future grants to acquire Y stock will be incentive stock options (assuming the other requirements of § 1.422–2 have been met)." is added in its place.

- 11. Section 1.424-1(c)(4)(vi), the last sentence is removed.
- 12. Section 1.424–1(c)(4)(viii), second sentence, the language "Thus, for example, if the terms of an option are inadvertently changed on March 1 to extend the exercise period and the change is removed on November, then if the option is not exercised prior to November 1, the option is not considered modified under this paragraph (e)." is removed and the language "Thus for example, if the terms of an option are inadvertently changed on March 1 to extend the exercise period and the change is removed on November 1, then if the option is not exercised prior to November 1, the option is not considered modified under this paragraph (e)." is added in its place.
- 13. Section 1.424–1(g)(2), third sentence, the language "For statutory options granted after June 9, 2003, and before the earlier of January 1, 2006, or the first regularly scheduled stockholders meeting of the granting corporation occurring 6 months after August 3, 2004, taxpayers may rely on either the REG-122917-02 or this section." is removed and the language "For statutory options granted after June 9, 2003, and before the earlier of January 1, 2006, or the first regularly scheduled stockholders meeting of the granting corporation occurring at least 6 months after August 3, 2004, taxpayers may rely on either the REG-122917-02 or this section." is added in its place.

#### § 1.6039-1 [Corrected]

■ 14. Section 1.6039–1(g)(2), second sentence, the language "For statutory options transferred after June 9, 2003, and before the earlier of January 1, 2006, or the first regularly scheduled stockholders meeting of the granting corporation occurring 6 months after August 3, 2004, taxpayers may rely on either REG–122917–02 or this section." is removed and the language "For statutory options transferred after June 9, 2003, and before the earlier of January 1, 2006, or the first regularly scheduled stockholders meeting of the granting corporation occurring at least 6 months

after August 3, 2004, taxpayers may rely on either REG-122917-02 or this section." is added in its place.

# PART 602—OMB CONTROL NUMBERS UNDER THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

■ **Par. 2.** The authority citation for part 602 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805.

#### §602.101 [Corrected]

■ 15. Section 602.101(b) is amended by adding the entry "1.422-1 \* \* \* 1545-0820" to the table in numerical order.

#### Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Acting Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel, (Procedures and Administration).

[FR Doc. 04–22858 Filed 10–15–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### **Department of the Navy**

# 32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has determined that USS VIRGINIA (SSN 774) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

**DATES:** Effective January 12, 2004.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commander Scott A. Kenney, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 1322 Patterson Ave., SE., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374–5066, Telephone number: (202) 685–5040.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) of the Navy, under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS VIRGINIA (SSN 774) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot comply fully with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Rule 21(c), pertaining to the arc of visibility of the sternlight; Annex I, section 2(a)(i), pertaining to the height of the masthead light; Annex I, section 2(k), pertaining to the height and relative positions of the anchor lights; and Annex I, section 3(b), pertaining to the location of the sidelights. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty) has also certified that the aforementioned lights are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), and Vessels.

■ Accordingly, 32 CFR part 706 is amended as follows:

### PART 706—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 706 continues to read as follows:
  - Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.
- 2. Table One of § 706.2 is amended by adding, in numerical order, the following entry for the USS VIRGINIA:

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

\* \* \* \* \*

|                            |   |   | Number | Distance in meters of forward masthead light below minimum required height. § 2(a)(i), Annex I |          |   |  |
|----------------------------|---|---|--------|--|----------|---|--|
| * USS VIRGINIA SSN 774 . * | * | * | *      | *  | *<br>2.9 | * |  |
|                            | * | * | *      | *  | *        | * |  |

■ 3. Table Three of § 706.2 is amended by adding, in numerical order, the following entry for USS VIRGINIA: § 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

TABLE 3

| Vessel     | Number       | Masthead<br>lights arc of<br>visibility; rule<br>21(a) | Side lights<br>arc of visi-<br>bility; rule<br>21(b) | Stern light<br>arc of visi-<br>bility; rule<br>21(c) | Side lights<br>distance in-<br>board of<br>ship's sides<br>in meters<br>3(b) annex 1 | Stern light,<br>distance for-<br>ward of<br>stern in me-<br>ters; rule<br>21(c) | Forward an-<br>chor light,<br>height above<br>hull in me-<br>ters; 2(K)<br>annex 1 | Anchor lights<br>relationship<br>of aft light to<br>forward light<br>in meters<br>2(K) annex 1 |
|------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| * VIRGINIA | *<br>SSN 774 | *  |  | *<br>205°  | *<br>4.37  | *<br>11.05  | 2.8  | *<br>0.03 below.   |
| *          | *            | *  |  | *  | *  | *   |  | *  |

Approved: January 12, 2004.

#### S.A. Kenney,

Commander, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).

Editorial Note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register October 13, 2004.

[FR Doc. 04–23216 Filed 10–15–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Navy

#### 32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Final rule.

summary: The Department of the Navy is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has determined that USS JAMES E. WILLIAMS (DDG 95) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully

comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

# DATES: Effective Date: July 9, 2004. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Commander Gregg A. Cervi, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 1322 Patterson Ave., SE., Suite 3000 Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374–5066 Telephone number: (202) 685–5040.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the Department of the Navy amends 32 CFR part 706. This amendment provides notice that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law), under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS JAMES E. WILLIAMS (DDG 95) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Annex I, paragraph 3(a) pertaining to the location of the forward masthead light in the forward quarter of the vessel, and the

horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights; Annex I, paragraph 3(c), pertaining to placement of task lights not less than two meters from the fore and aft centerline of the ship in the athwartship direction; Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(i), pertaining to the placement of the masthead light or lights above and clear of all other lights and obstructions; and Annex I, paragraph 2(f)(ii), pertaining to the vertical placement of task lights. The Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), and Vessels.