trip/visit characteristics, individual activities and individual opinions on park and wildlife management.

	Estimated numbers of	
	Responses	Burden hours
Yellowstone Na- tional Park Wolf Economic Study	5,000	1,369

Under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites comments on a request submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve a new collection of information (OMB #1024-XXXX). Comments are invited on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden to respondents, including use of automated information collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The NPS goal in conducting this survey is to develop statistically valid estimates of Yellowstone National Park visitation and to evaluate the economic effects of wolf restoration in the context of an accurate regional economic model that measures the role of Yellowstone National Park in the overall regional economy.

The broader information on visitation, visitor demographics, and the regional economy will have application to other park planning efforts where reliable visitation and economic data is needed for evaluation of project proposals and other management issues.

**DATES:** Public comments will be accepted on or before August 5, 2004.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments directly to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior, (OMB # 1024–XXXX) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, by fax at (202) 395–6566, or by electronic mail at oira\_docket@omb.eop.gov. You may also mail or hand carry a copy of your comments to Dr.John Duffield, University of Montana, Department of Economics, Missoula, MT 59812 or by fax at (406) 721–2265, or by electronic mail at bioecon@montana.com.

The OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, to ensure maximum consideration, OMB should receive public comments within thirty days of

the date on which this notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY OF THE STUDY PACKAGE SUBMITTED FOR OMB REVIEW, CONTACT: Dr. John Duffield, University of Montana, Department of Economics, Missoula, MT 59812, via phone at (406) 721–2265, via fax at (406) 721–2265, or via electronic mail at bioecon@montana.com.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Titles: Yellowstone National Park Wolf Economic Study

Bureau Form Number: None. OMB Number: To be requested. Expiration Date: To be requested. Type of request: New Collection.

Description of need: Wolf restoration in Yellowstone is an internationally important wildlife conservation success story. The visibility and public interest in wolves, wolf viewing, and wolf-based education programs has far exceeded initial expectations. A major public issue with wolf restoration was the cost to implement, in tax dollars, versus economic benefits. Proponents thought it a boon; opponents predicted negative regional economic impacts. Economic studies done prior to restoration predicted large positive economic benefits. The wolf recovery program has now matured; this proposal would quantify the economic and social effects due to wolf restoration as well as provide critical baseline information for other planning and analyses.

Wolf recovery generates positive economic impacts on the Greater Yellowstone Area (GYA) regional economy in several ways. The most significant impacts arise from visitors traveling from outside the region who choose to come to Yellowstone because wolves are present or who extend their stay because of wolves. Other impacts include wolf-program related expenditures. Economic impacts depend on visitor numbers and expenditures, which are best measured through visitor surveys. Understanding the contribution of wolf recovery requires development of a model of the actual aggregate role of Yellowstone National Park in the regional economy.

Automated data collection: At the present time, there is no automated way to gather this information because it includes directly contacting visitors to Yellowstone National Park.

Description of respondents: Visitors to Yellowstone National Park.

Estimated average number of respondents: 5,000.

Estimated average number of responses: 5,000.

*Estimated average burden hours per response:* 23 minutes.

Frequency of Response: 1 time per respondent.

Estimated annual reporting burden: 1,369 hours.

Dated: May 25, 2004.

### Leonard E. Stowe,

Acting, National Park Service Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–15145 Filed 7–2–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **National Park Service**

# 60 Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Department of the Interior, National Park Service

**ACTION:** Notice and request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Cape Cod National Seashore Impacts of Hunting Survey of Hunters, Visitors and Residents will provide park managers and others with important social science input about public attitudes on hunting and an assessment about whether conflicts over hunting are occurring at the Cape Cod National Seashore. Specifically the study will use hunter, resident and visitor surveys to (1) Assess attitudes about hunting and hunting programs at the Cape Cod National Seashore, (2) determine the extent of conflict between hunters and nonhunters in the Cape Cod National Seashore and surrounding communities, (3) assess the extent to which the attitudes and characteristics of area residents and visitors to Cape Cod National Seashore have changed since the early 1990s, and (4) estimate the extent, and distribution of hunters and profile the behaviors of hunters within the Seashore.

	Estimated numbers of	
	Responses	Burden hours
Cape Cod National Seashore Impacts of Hunting Survey	1,500	625

Under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements, the National Park Service invites comments on the need for gathering the information in the proposed survey. Comments are invited on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden to respondents, including use of automated information collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Cape Cod National Seashore has been charged by the United States District Court, District of Massachusetts to reevaluate its hunting programs and will be preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) of hunting within its borders. This study will provide social science input into the EIS process by measuring the attitudes toward hunting among Seashore visitors and the Seashore neighbors, the extent of conflict between hunters and non-hunters, and the attitudes and behaviors of hunters at the Seashore.

**DATES:** Public comments will be accepted on or before September 7, 2004.

SEND COMMENTS TO: Dr. James H. Gramann, Visiting Chief Social Scientist, National Park Service, Social Science Program, 1849 C Street, NW (2300), Washington, DC 20240–0001.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Forist, Research Associate, National Park Service Social Science Program by telephone at 202–513–7190 or by electronic mail at Brian\_Forist@partner.nps.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Titles:* Cape Cod National Seashore Impacts of Hunting Survey of Visitors and Residents.

Bureau Form Number: None.

OMB Number: To be requested.

Expiration Date: To be requested.

Type of request: New Collection.

Description of need: Because of the long-standing tradition of hunting on Cape Cod, the enabling legislation of Cape Cod National Seashore in 1961 allowed for continued hunting activity within the boundaries of the Seashore. Animal rights group have argued that environmental and social conditions in and around the Seashore have changed, and that hunting should be discontinued. Public meetings about this issue have been contentious, with the hunting community voicing strong opposition to changes in current hunting regulations within the Seashore. Given the polarity of the current debate, questions remain: Do area residents and visitors object to hunting in the Seashore, are they neutral about the issue, or do they consider it an appropriate and/or desirable use of the area? To what extent do residents and visitors feel threatened by hunting activities? How often do conflicts occur between hunters and

non-hunters during the fall and winter hunting seasons? And what is the extent of hunting activity on the Seashore? This study is designed to better understand the scope of hunting activities at the Seashore, the degree of conflict that occurs over the practice, and how people feel about hunting at the Seashore.

Automated data collection: At the present time, there is no automated way to gather this information because it includes directly contacting hunters, visitors to Cape Cod National Seashore, and residents in the six surrounding townships.

Description of respondents: Visitors to Cape Cod National Seashore and residents of the following townships on Cape Cod: Provincetown, Truro, Wellfleet, Eastham, Orleans, and Chatham.

Estimated average number of respondents: 1,500.

*Estimated average number of responses:* 1,500.

*Estimated average burden hours per response:* 25 minutes.

*Frequency of Response*: 1 time per respondent.

*Ēstimated annual reporting burden:* 625 hours.

Dated: May 25, 2004.

### Leonard E. Stowe,

Acting, National Park Service Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 04–15146 Filed 7–2–04; 8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **National Park Service**

### Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Advisory Commission; Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Federal Advisory Commission is scheduled for Friday, July 16, 2004, at the North Arcade Building, Glen Echo Park, 7300 MacArthur Blvd., Glen Echo, Maryland. The meeting will begin at 10 a.m.

The Commission was established by Public Law 91–664 to meet and consult with the Secretary of the Interior on general policies and specific matters related to the administration and development of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park.

Members of the Commission are: Mrs. Sheila Rabb Weidenfeld, Chairman; Mr. Charles J. Weir; Mr. Barry A. Passett; Mr. Terry W. Hepburn; Ms. Elise B. Heinz; Ms. JoAnn M. Spevacek; Mrs. Mary E. Woodward; Mrs. Donna Printz; Mrs. Ferial S. Bishop; Ms. Nancy C. Long; Mrs. Jo Reynolds; Dr. James H. Gilford; Brother James Kirkpatrick.

Agenda items will include the Georgetown University Boathouse, the General Management Plan, and hurricane Isabelle recovery activities.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any member of the public may file with the Commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting, or who wish to submit written statements, may contact Kevin D. Brandt, Superintendent, C&O Canal National Historical Park, 1850 Dual Highway, Suite 100, Hagerstown, Maryland 21740.

Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection at park headquarters six weeks after the meeting.

Dated: May 28, 2004.

#### Kevin Brandt,

Superintendent, Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park.

[FR Doc. 04–15144 Filed 7–2–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-JK-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **National Park Service**

### Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Announcement of Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission will be held in Kantishna, Alaska. The purpose of the meeting will be to review Federal Subsistence Board actions and continue work on National Park Service (NPS) subsistence hunting program recommendations including other related subsistence management issues. The meeting will be open to the public. Any person may file with the Commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed.

The Subsistence Resource Commission is authorized under title VIII, section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Public Law 96–487, and operates in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Friday, August 27, 2004, from 9 a.m. to