

included a description of its membership criteria.

The regulations require, under criterion 83.7(e), that a petitioner submit a complete list of its membership. In this instance, the petitioner has identified its most current certified list as not complete. It submitted two lists, the certified membership list and a list of the "Unenrolled Schaghticoke Community." This FD acknowledges the tribe as defined by the STN's 2003 membership list, 273 members, and its additional list of 42 individuals, identified by the STN as part of its community and meeting its membership requirements. Together these two lists comprise the STN's base membership roll and its present membership for Federal purposes.

The STN provided sufficient evidence to show that all 273 individuals on the September 28, 2003, certified membership list and the 42 individuals listed on the September 28, 2003, amendment to the constitution who are "unenrolled tribal community members" descend from the historical tribe.

One hundred percent of the STN membership descends from the historical Schaghticoke tribe. Therefore the conclusion in the PF that the STN meets criterion 83.7(e) is confirmed.

No members of the STN are known to be dually enrolled with any federally acknowledged American Indian tribe. Neither the petitioner nor any of the interested parties addressed this criterion. Therefore, the conclusion in the PF that the STN meets criterion 83.7(f) is confirmed.

There has been no Federal termination legislation in regard to the STN. Neither the STN nor any interested parties addressed this criterion. Therefore, the conclusion in the PF that the STN meets criterion 83.7(g) is confirmed.

The Schaghticoke Tribal Nation, as defined by its 2003 membership list and its 2003 list of unenrolled community members meets all of the criteria for Federal acknowledgment as a tribe stated in 25 CFR 83.7 and, therefore, meets the requirements to be acknowledged as tribe with a government-to-government relationship with the United States.

This determination is final and will become effective May 5, 2004, unless a request for reconsideration is filed before the Interior Board of Indian Appeals (IBIA) pursuant to 25 CFR 83.11 or unless any party or amici in the litigation files for Administrative Procedures Act (APA) review with the district court. In addition, the court approved negotiated agreement calls for

negotiation as to whether a request for reconsideration may be filed before the IBIA or whether judicial review under the APA is the only review. The ongoing negotiation will continue until no later than 30 days after publication of this Notice. This negotiation may impact the ability of interested parties, whether parties to the litigation or not, to seek reconsideration before IBIA. Inquiries by interested parties concerning the availability of the IBIA review should be directed to the Office of the Solicitor, Branch of Tribal Government and Alaska, 202-208-6526, Attention: Scott Keep or Barbara Coen.

Dated: January 29, 2004.

Aurene M. Martin,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 04-2532 Filed 2-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4J-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians

Notice of Availability of Draft "To-Be" Trust Business Model for Public Comment

AGENCY: Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians; Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of draft "To-Be" trust business model for public comment.

SUMMARY: This action notifies the public of the availability of the draft "To-Be" trust business model for public comment from the date of this publication to March 31, 2004.

For a number of years, the Department of the Interior (DOI) has been working on several projects to reform and improve the management of Indian fiduciary trust assets. The most comprehensive reform effort currently underway is the development of the "To-Be" Trust Model, which will reengineer the way DOI bureaus and offices perform their trust responsibilities and, ultimately, improve services provided to trust beneficiaries.

Reengineering is necessary, particularly in response to some of the challenges facing DOI in its administration of the trust. These challenges include:

- Individual Indians, Tribes, and Congress who have, for some time, expressed dissatisfaction with the trust management services provided by DOI;
- Multiple and often duplicative processes that are used to manage land and natural resource assets, track

ownership, manage accounts and distribute funds;

- The expectations of beneficiaries and employees that may exceed the Indian Trust mandate or capability of the Trustee to deliver;

- The number of fractionated interests in land assets, which are growing at an exponential rate, and the number of IIM accounts that must be managed, that have overwhelmed and excessively complicated the existing manual and automated processes and systems.

With this daunting array of challenges, the need for changing the way DOI delivers trust products and services is evident. DOI senior leadership has led the way by developing a plan titled The Comprehensive Trust Management (CTM) Plan, which defines and describes the strategic direction of trust reform and clearly articulates DOI's commitment to fulfilling its trust responsibilities. Toward this end, a team of DOI and tribal representatives worked extensively to document the DOI performance of current fiduciary trust business practices nationwide, which are reported in the "As-Is" Report. The information contained in the "As-Is" Report is the foundation for the recommendations for reengineered business process that appear within the "To-Be" Model. Standardization of fiduciary trust business processes and modernization of systems to meet customer, accounting, and operational requirements is needed.

During the period of November 2003 to January 2004, meetings were held to provide stakeholders' information relative to the progress made to date on the draft "To-Be" trust business model. The meetings were also intended to solicit comments and recommendations for improving the draft "To-Be" trust business model. This comment period follows the conclusion of those informational meetings.

DATES: All comments are due by March 31, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Submit any written comments on the "To-Be" draft business model to D. Jeff Lords, Acting Deputy Special Trustee—Trust Accountability, Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians, 4400 Masthead NE., Albuquerque, NM 87109. Submissions by facsimile should be sent to 505/816-1360.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: D. Jeff Lords, Acting Deputy Special Trustee—Trust Accountability, Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians, 4400 Masthead NE.,

Albuquerque, NM 87109; telephone 505/816-1313.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action notifies the public of a review and comment period for the draft "To-Be" trust business model from the date of publication to March 31, 2004. The draft "To-Be" trust business model is available by accessing <http://www.ost.doi.gov>. If you do not have internet access, a copy of the draft "To-Be" trust business model is available on Compact Disk (CD) format. For a copy of the CD please write to: Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians, Trust Program Management Center, 4400 Masthead NE., Albuquerque, NM 87109, or call 505/816-1313.

Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish us to withhold your name, street address, and other contact information (such as fax or phone number) from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will honor your request to the extent allowable by law. We will make available for public inspection in their entirety all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses.

This notice is published in accordance with the authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Special Trustee for American Indians by 209 DM 11.

Dated: January 30, 2004.

Ross Swimmer,

Special Trustee for American Indians, Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians.

[FR Doc. 04-2407 Filed 2-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-2W-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-494]

Certain Automotive Measuring Devices, Products Containing Same, and Bezels for Such Devices; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Extending the Target Date for Completion of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative

law judge ("ALJ") on January 7, 2004, extending the target date for completion of the above-captioned investigation to January 20, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission issued a notice of investigation dated June 16, 2003, naming Auto Meter Products, Inc. ("Auto Meter") of Sycamore, Illinois, as the complainant and several companies as respondents. On June 20, 2003, the notice of investigation was published in the **Federal Register**. 68 FR 37023. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain automotive measuring devices, products containing same, and bezels for such devices, by reason of infringement of U.S. Registered Trademark Nos. 1,732,643 and 1,497,472, and U.S. Supplemental Register No. 1,903908, and infringement of the complainant's trade address. Subsequently, seven more firms were added as respondents based on two separate motions filed by Auto Meter.

On December 16, 2003, Auto Meter filed a motion to extend the target date for completion of the investigation and to modify procedural schedule. On December 23, 2003, respondents American Products, Inc., Equus products, Inc., GR Motorsports, Inc. (d/b/a Matrix GR Motorsports) and Hiper Industries, Inc. (d/b/a R-1 Racing Sports) filed an opposition to Auto Meter's motion. On December 24, 2003, respondent Blitz North America, Inc., filed a joinder to the above opposition. On December 30, 2003, Auto Meter filed a motion for leave to reply, and a reply.

On January 7, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 15) extending the target date for completion of this investigation from August 20, 2004, to January 20, 2005. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: February 2, 2004.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 04-2409 Filed 2-4-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on January 27, 2004, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. A-L Processors, f.k.a. Atlas-Lederer Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. C-3-91-309, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

In this action United States seeks the reimbursement of response costs in connection with the United Scrap Lead Superfund Site in Troy, Miami County, Ohio ("the Site") pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.* The Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims against Defendants Broadway Iron & Metal, Barker Junk Company, Inc., Moyers Auto Wrecking, and U.S. Waste materials, for response costs incurred as a result of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site. Two of these settlements are "ability-to-pay" settlements based on financial analyses conducted by the Department's Antitrust Corporate Finance Unit. All of the settling Defendants made *de minimis* contributions of waste to the Site. The four settling parties collectively will pay the United States \$137,499.18. The United States' remaining outstanding costs exceed \$9,000,000 and are being sought from the remaining defendants in this case.

The Consent Decree also resolves the United Scrap Lead Respondent Group's ("Respondent Group") CERCLA claims against the same parties for response costs incurred by the Respondent Group