

concerning the securities of Youthline USA, Inc., because it is delinquent in its periodic filing obligations under Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, having not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 2000.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of ATC II, Inc., because it is delinquent in its periodic filing obligations under Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, having not filed a periodic report since the period ending December 30, 1996.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on June 8, 2004, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on June 21, 2004.

By the Commission.

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04-13273 Filed 6-8-04; 1:11 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Order of Suspension of Trading

June 8, 2004.

In the matter of Alcohol Sensors Int'l, Ltd., Beachport Entertainment Corp., Biosonics, Inc., Compressent, Inc., Eye Cash Networks, Inc., F/k/a eConnect F/k/a Betting, Inc., Hamilton-Biophile Companies, Holly Holdings, Inc., Intelligent Decision Systems, Inc., Long Distance Direct Holdings, Inc., LRG Restaurant Group, Inc., Nevada Manhattan Group, Inc., Parallel Technologies, Inc., Quadratech, Inc., Redneck Foods, Inc., Safetech Industries, Inc., F/k/a Bernstein Leibstone Associates, Inc., Viking Resources International, Inc., and Xavier Corp.; File No. 500-1

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Alcohol Sensors Int'l, Ltd. because despite a November 6, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 1998.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Beachport Entertainment Corp. because despite a March 22, 2000 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending December 30, 1997.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Biosonics, Inc. because despite a February 9, 2001 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending June 30, 1999.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Compressent, Inc. because despite a March 27, 2000 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending June 30, 1998.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Eye Cash Networks, Inc. because despite a March 16, 1999 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed its annual report for 2002, nor its quarterly reports for 2003.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Hamilton-Biophile Companies because despite a June 4, 1999 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 2001.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Holly Holdings, Inc. because despite a January

8, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending December 31, 1997.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Intelligent Decision Systems, Inc. because despite a January 2, 2001 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending March 31, 1998.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Long Distance Direct Holdings, Inc. because despite a March 13, 2000 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 1998.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of LRG Restaurant Group, Inc. because despite a August 26, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending August 31, 1996.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Nevada Manhattan Group, Inc. because despite a March 10, 2000 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending May 31, 1999.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Parallel Technologies, Inc. because despite a August 30, 1996 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section

13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 1994.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Quadratech, Inc. because despite a June 16, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 2000.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Redneck Foods, Inc. because despite a June 12, 2001 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 2001.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Safetech Industries, Inc. because despite a December 2, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 1997.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Viking Resources International, Inc. because despite a March 10, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending March 31, 1999.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Xavier Corp. because despite a April 16, 1998 permanent injunction enjoining the company from failing to file timely periodic reports with the Commission in violation of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the company has not filed a periodic report since the period ending September 30, 1996.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT on June 8, 2004, through 11:59 p.m. EDT on June 21, 2004.

By the Commission.

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 04-13274 Filed 6-8-04; 1:11 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-49797; File No. SR-Amex-2004-41]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange LLC To Implement a Quote Assist Feature in Options on a Pilot Program Basis

June 3, 2004.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 28, 2004, the American Stock Exchange LLC (“Amex” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Amex Rule 950(g) to implement a quote-assist feature on a pilot program basis until April 30, 2005. The text of the proposed rule change appears below. New text is in *italics*.

#### Rule 950

(a) through (f) No change.

(g) The provisions of Rule 156, together with the following additional provision, shall apply to Exchange option transactions:

A broker who has been given a spread order, or a straddle order or a combination order shall not be held

responsible for an execution based upon transaction prices that are established at the opening or close of trading.

#### \* \* \* Commentary

.01 *The specialist shall maintain and keep active the limit order quote assist feature. The Exchange will establish the time frame within which the quote assist feature will display eligible customer limit orders, which in no event will be longer than 30 seconds. Use of the quote assist feature will be on a pilot program basis until April 30, 2005, or until all option classes have begun trading on the Exchange’s new trading system known as ANTE, whichever occurs first.*

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange currently requires all option specialists to execute or display customer limit orders that improve the bid or offer by price or size immediately upon receipt, unless an exception to the requirement applies. The Exchange currently looks to Amex Rule 156 regarding the representation of orders and Article V, Section 4(h) of the Amex Constitution regarding conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade for its authority to enforce this requirement and will continue to do so until its proposal pending with the Commission to adopt a specific limit order display rule is approved.<sup>3</sup> That pending proposed rule change amends Amex Rule 958A by adding a new paragraph (e), which would require specialists to either execute or display customer limit orders immediately upon receipt, unless one of the exceptions set forth in the proposed rule applies.<sup>4</sup> The pending proposed

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See File No. SR-Amex-00-27.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*