APPENDIX A
Report on Panel of Experts Meeting and Recommendations

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

Report on Radon Moisture Study Design Meeting
Washington, D.C.

August 27, 2003

On June 26, 2003 a panel of experts was convened in Washington, D.C. to discuss proposed investigations of controlling moisture entry into buildings from the soil by using active soil depressurization (ASD). The one-day workshop was hosted by the Radon Team of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Indoor Environments Division, with support from the Scientific Analysis Team. Participants included building scientists, radon mitigators and instructors, mold investigators, soil scientists, and administrative and research staff of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). A participant list is attached.

EPA's Perspective

Background

The EPA has been aware of anecdotal information on the perception of moisture problem reduction as a result of ASD operation since the beginning of residential radon mitigation in the mid-1980s. Typical comments from occupants of houses with ASD installed pointed out that musty odors in basements were reduced, dehumidifiers operated less frequently, and wood in paneling, furniture and cabinets had shrunk.

Also, researchers conducting mitigation field studies during this period discovered that certain soils below concrete slabs were drying out from continuous operation of ASD systems. In many situations the drying of soil under slabs created void spaces which enhanced the pressure field extension of the ASD system, the differential pressures across the slab and the overall performance of the system.

There are about 750,000 ASD systems in place in the U.S., most of which are in residential dwellings. There are also more than 1,000,000 homes built with radon resistant new construction (RRNC) features, including a passive stack. If ASD systems can be shown to provide other benefits besides mitigation of indoor radon levels, then activation of this large number of passive systems may significantly reduce the risk potential to the public.

Finally, some new home builders and radon mitigators indicate that they are already installing ASD systems for the purpose of controlling moisture entry from the soil. There is little information or data available to better understand the impacts of this activity (benefits or drawbacks) on the indoor environment.

Literature Review

In 2002, EPA contracted to conduct a literature/model search on published documentation pertaining to a relationship between indoor moisture levels and the use of ASD. The search did not reveal any relevant documentation. A limited number of interviews were also conducted

with authors from published papers that might contain some unpublished information or potential leads to other sources. Again, no specific information was obtained.
As a result of this lack of information, some in the EPA's Radon Team became more interested in the usefulness of exploring a limited field study.

Unsolicited Proposals
Within the last two to five years, the EPA (Region 4 and Headquarters) has received unsolicited proposals from the Southern Regional Radon Training Center at Auburn University (Southern Training Center) to research the effect that radon ASD systems have on moisture in homes.

Limited Resources
Current EPA resources for any kind of a radon field study are limited, and do not approach the funding levels of 12-15 years ago when numerous field studies were underway. A front end workshop was envisioned as a way to explore the feasibility of a small study with limited resources in mind. In order to leverage additional benefits from their investment, EPA has also considered the possibility of packaging a successful small field study so that it could be replicated by individual states that wanted to conduct their own study.

EPA's Goals for the Workshop

EPA's overall goal for the workshop was to obtain ideas, suggestions and information from a panel of experts on design parameters for a field study on the potential to control moisture in residential substructures by the use of a radon active soil depressurization system.

EPA is not necessarily interested in moisture per se, but in its role in promoting microbial growth. Although a proposed exploratory study may not be able to include microbial measurements because of time scale and measurement difficulties, a focus on moisture as a surrogate for microbial growth is probably appropriate.

The panel of experts was given a table of measurement parameters and a possible project outline before the workshop as a straw for a starting point of discussions. However, the panel was instructed not to be limited by the information in these supplied materials. The outline and table originated from a proposal by the Southern Training Center. The panel was encouraged to present additional information and data during the workshop. EPA is willing to be convinced by this additional information and data to the extent to which it is compelling.

EPA was interested in the panel's feedback on the measurement parameters listed in the straw, with specific interest in:

- prioritized measurement parameters (i.e., are they essential parameters, are they reasonable but not essential enhancements, or are they are superfluous)
- time period sampling should take place in a house
- how many samples should be taken
- how many soil types should be included
- how many houses should be included

- cost estimates
- existing protocols or guidelines
- other considerations, and
- areas in which the panel lacked experience, and names of individuals with that experience

Broad Study Interests

A proposal from the Southern Training Center included goals and objectives for a study that would examine larger topics areas than that to be included in the limited study discussed at the workshop.

1) Quantify the change in building moisture levels and dampness indicators caused by soil depressurization control techniques
2) Characterize microbials in and near building structures during baseline conditions and control system operation
3) Improve our understanding of moisture (and possibly microbial) transport from the soil, and microbial amplification by this moisture
4) Examine the effect of soils and building characteristics on control system performance (i.e., identify the construction, soil, and environmental conditions where the problem is significant and can be remedied by the control technique)
5) Investigate the implications to occupant health and structural soundness
6) Develop guidelines for the application of these techniques

Specific Goals and Objectives of a Field-Based Exploratory Study

Also included in the Southern Training Center proposal were goals and objectives for a limited, exploratory, field-based study. The overall goal of this exploratory effort is 'Proof-of-Concept' testing that soil depressurization/ventilation techniques can change building dampness indicators, and moisture entry and accumulation in buildings. Specific objectives were:

- Improve our understanding of moisture transport and accumulation from the soil, and microbial amplification by this moisture
- Identify the parameters that characterize the changes to be monitored
- Refine protocols for measurement and data collection, and house identification and selection
- Gather preliminary data to define the expected range of the key parameters
- Recommend additional work based on study findings

Brief Synopsis of Workshop Activities and Discussion

After brief introductions and presentations of pertinent experience and information, the panel used the documents distributed before the workshop as starting points for discussion. In general, the participants supported the concept of a project to investigate control of moisture entry by ASD. The benefits and concerns that could accompany the operation of an ASD system for moisture control were discussed. Some examples of possible benefits included drying of foundation materials, energy savings compared to operating dehumidification equipment, reduced exposure to microbial contaminants and to other soil gas-borne pollutants, and improved building durability. Potential drawbacks included drying of materials that could cause structural or superficial movement or settling, backdrafting of combustion appliances, increased life-cycle costs compared to other moisture control techniques (drainage layers installed during initial construction), and increased moisture entry into some buildings.

Modeling vs. Field-based Study

The merits of a modeling versus a field measurement study were discussed. The group suggested several possible modeling approaches: adaptation of existing numerical models, application of conceptual models, and use of simple calculations to design experiments and measurement protocols and to bound measurement parameters. Some panelists suggested that soil models may be useful for predicting water balance in substructure materials,. and that standard, already-validated, advanced hygrothermal modeling could be very useful for exploratory studies. Participants discussed that there is little information and measurement data available on moisture movement in and around substructures under the influence of an ASD system, and that there is a limited budget for an initial study. Therefore, the group suggested that a reasonable approach would be to rely on conceptual models supplemented by computational modules (e.g., mass balance calculations, moisture movement by diffusion and capillarity, effective resistance of foundation surfaces and soils) to assist in the design of measurement protocols and to predict boundary conditions of important parameters. Field measurement data could be collected to validate initial assumptions and employed to modify protocols. Conceptual models were loosely defined to be expanded hypotheses on moisture sources and moisture sinks, moisture transport and accumulation, air movement in and around soils and buildings, etc.

Moisture Entry and Accumulation

There was a wide-ranging discussion on factors affecting moisture entry into buildings through the substructure. Moisture accumulation in microclimates in, or at, substructure surfaces was mentioned as probably having greater importance than moisture levels in the general air of the space. Apparently little data is available on conditions in these small regions.

Microbial Measurements

Although an interesting and affordable biosensor was introduced to the panelists, most of the group expressed the opinion that, for an initial project with limited resources, moisture was the key parameter to monitor. If time and money is available, then some of these sensors should be deployed in a pilot situation. These devices incorporate three different fungi as separate sensors that will grow when exposed to suitable moisture conditions. They are inspected by microscope to determine the amount of growth that has occurred. This is related to moisture available in the exposure environment. Unfortunately, few labs are currently trained to produce and analyze the sensor. Other microbial measurements were considered to be too costly and unlikely to provide meaningful results for the study considered here.

Other Techniques for Moisture Control

Other techniques for controlling moisture entry from the soil and comparisons of their effectiveness with ASD were briefly discussed, but it was decided that they should not be included in this limited study.

Recommendations

The group's recommendations are described in more detail, below

Pertinent Questions and Comments

Participants in the workshop raised a number of provocative and relevant questions, and offered insightful comments on issues related to the proposed study – some are listed below. It is intended that many of them will be addressed in the design of the study.

- What are the important sources of moisture entering the foundation and how do they change?
- How does ASD control 'musty' odors and dry foundation materials and surrounding soils in some homes?
- Could ASD aggravate moisture entry?
- What are the soil/foundation air flow pathways?
- What is the response time in substructure moisture levels after a change in a moisture source or moisture removal process?
- What is soil moisture gradient across slab?
- Value of fungal sensors?
- Value of MVOC markers?
- What is the source(s) of the 'damp basement' odors?
- Can microbials (particles and gases) that originate in the soils near a building enter the building?
- Are there health effects associated with exposure to these microbials and those growing in the construction materials of the foundation?
- Is ASD system design different for radon and moisture control?
- What is the energy cost comparison of ASD vs. dehumidification?
- What is the water activity at slab/wall surface?
- How much moisture in a house derives from soil gas entry?
- Do the measurements affect the parameter being measured?
- What other parameters are important for studies in other type of buildings?
- Key information is to be found at interior surfaces of slabs and walls
- Identify unknowns which cannot be addressed before beginning study
- Must distinguish changes caused by seasonal variations
- Need a new device to measure moisture in the top few centimeters of the concrete

Workshop Panel's Recommendations

The group discussed and provided recommendations on overall study design considerations, including selection criteria for buildings, length of study, and installation and operation of ASD systems. Some of the most important parameters to be measured as part of a field study were identified, and an attempt was made to assign priority to other supporting measurements and data.

<u>Overall Study Design</u>

The following overview of a possible study design has been drafted based on comments and recommendations made by panelists at the workshop. The group discussed the elements of a study design but did not agree on a design in its entirety. Some of the design elements are described in more detail, below.

1. *Develop Conceptual Model(s) and Calculate Boundary Conditions* to confirm key measurement parameters and expected range of measured values.
1. *Select One of Three Houses* (see below).
2. *Collect Structure and Occupant Information.* Although this activity may be part of the house selection process, information on building and occupants would be gathered during an early site visit (e.g., size, number of stories, construction materials, heating, cooling, ventilation equipment, occupant activities).
3. *Conduct Evaluation of Testing and Measurement Protocols in One House.* Test and measurement protocols would not only be evaluated on the bench (where necessary) during this element, but also on-site at one house. Include several preliminary periods of ASD cycling (step 8).
4. *Modify Model(s), and Test and Measurement Protocols* based on results from previous stage.
5. *Begin Extended Monitoring in One House* with test and measurement instrumentation and protocols as refined during the previous stage. Monitoring would continue for Priority/two to four weeks. If funding permits, additional, more extensive testing and measurements could be performed in this house.
6. *Design and Install ASD in One House.* Perform system design diagnostics and install system components as described below and attached.
7. *Continue Monitoring as ASD System is Cycled*. The houses will act as their own control (returning to non-intervention conditions) during the 'off' period of each cycle.
    - initially perform short cycles (days to week) to identify problems quickly, then proceed to longer cycles as determined experimentally by the equilibration time of key parameters
    - cycle systems for a full year over all seasons
8. *Select Two Additional Houses* based on information gathered from the first house.
9. *Begin Extended Monitoring in Two Additional Houses*.
10. *Design and Install ASD in Two Additional Houses*.
11. *Continue Monitoring in All Houses as ASD Systems are Cycled.* Changes in basement moisture levels and the resulting impact on small areas of wall and floor finish materials would be evaluated.

12. *Reporting of Results and Recommendations of Future Steps*

<u>House Selection Criteria</u>

The group recommended that residential structures be studied first, since these buildings tend to have simpler designs, construction, and accompanying ASD systems, and people spend most of their time in dwellings. Residences should be selected to provide a strong 'signal', and optimize the opportunity of observing any changes due to operation of the ASD systems. If no effect is observed in these homes, then it unlikely to be seen elsewhere.

Number of residences - A minimum of three buildings for each foundation type (slab, basement, crawlspace). The structures should be between five and ten years of age.

Owner-occupied (or unoccupied) single-family residence - It is important to simplify occupancy conditions and agreements/understandings with the occupants. Therefore, vacant houses are preferred if available (some possibilities include rentals, Minnesota research houses or other test facilities). If desired, occupancy effects can be simulated for vacant houses. If occupied houses must be selected, then it is preferable that there not be pets or children.

Geographical location - To reduce costs for this initial study and to reduce climatic variability, buildings should all be located in close proximity. The recommendation was for the dwellings to be located in a cold climate or mixed-climate area that has a dependable driving force for soil gas entry and moderately uniform underlying soils and geology.

Permeable soils around the building - Permeable native soils (e.g., glacial tills) tend to have better uniformity in radon levels (and perhaps moisture levels?) surrounding the substructure and have more consistent air flow pathways.

Unoccupied and mostly unfinished basement - The initial study should focus on a single foundation type – the panel recommended basements. Basement homes have greater surface contact with the soil and tend to be influenced more by conditions in the soils and materials around the building. Basement walls should be poured concrete to avoid complicated air flow pathways in blocks. The requirement for an unoccupied and minimally unfinished basement reduces variability in moisture response due to occupant activities and different finishes and furnishings. An unfinished basement also affords better access to basement surfaces for investigators. 'Unfinished' is a loosely defined requirement, since unfinished basements often have some equipment or activities (laundry). However, many of the meeting participants recommended the selection of houses with small areas of finish assemblies (e.g., framed wall with gypsum board and paint, carpeted floors, etc.) already installed, or that these assemblies be constructed during the cycling phase of the study. The assembled components would be representative of typical areas of concern where: (1) moisture would be more likely to accumulate due to the microclimate in the spaces created by these assemblies, and (2) the growth of microbials would be supported. Houses that have very small finished areas may also be suitable in order to investigate the impact of these areas on moisture accumulation. Basements should be able to be isolated from upper levels of the building, for example by a door. For similar reasons, residences without HVAC equipment or ducts in the basement would be preferred.

Gravel that forms a capillary break below the slab floor - As with permeable soils, a gravel layer generally results in more uniform conditions below the floor.

Musty, moldy, or earthy odors in the basement - An indicator of existing moisture problems.

Evidence of persistent moisture entry into the basement - Short-term variations in moisture entry can confound analysis of the effectiveness of the intervention technique. Therefore, homes that appear to have less fluctuation in moisture entry would be better candidates for this study.

No drainage problems or unusual moisture sources - Homes with significant liquid water entry due to leaks, major drainage problems, or very high water tables should not be selected since ASD is unlikely to be successful in these conditions. Houses where the water table is greater than 25 feet below the basement slab are preferred.

Pre-mitigation basement radon levels greater than 4 pCi/l and less than 10 pCi/L, while upstairs levels are no more than 4 pCi/l. Radon concentrations and entry rates may be useful as an approximate indicator for soil gas (and soil gas-borne water vapor) movement into a building while ASD systems are cycled on and off. Radon levels must be sufficiently elevated to indicate changes in soil gas entry rates, yet must be low enough in occupied areas so that exposure is minimized when the ASD systems are cycled off.

Buildings without an ASD installed are preferred, although homes with an installed passive stack could be considered. Homeowners must be willing to have an ASD system installed, or a passive system activated. They must also be willing to have the system cycled on and off for certain periods.

<u>Tests, Measurements, and Data Collection</u>

The panel provided considerable guidance and recommendations for various tests and measurements to be performed during the study. They were asked to consider and respond to the following questions and issues during their discussion of methods and measurement protocols. Complete responses were not generated for each method or protocol.

1. Do we already know the answer or have information on the measurement parameter or protocol?
2. Is there a protocol or professional agreement that can be referenced?
    - If not, what procedures/methods should be employed to address the measurement parameter or protocol?
    - Group to develop preliminary recommendations for approaches and protocols.
3. Group to assign a priority for each measurement parameter or protocol (high,

medium, low)

- For the importance of including it in this ‘exploratory' project, and the importance of including in subsequent phases.
- To assist in configuring the project to the available budget.

Based on relevance and importance to the study, the panel’s information has been assigned to one of three categories: priority/primary tests and measurements, supporting data and measurements, and low priority tests and measurements.

Priority/Primary Tests and Measurements
The following measurements were either identified by the panelists as essential, high priority tests and measurements, or have been included as primary measurements based on the group’s discussion and the author’s professional opinion.

- Moisture at several locations at the surface of slab, below slab, and several depths within slab, plus walls. High Priority.
  - To perform these measurements, the panel recommended relative humidity (RH) sensors with high sensitivity, accuracy and precision. The devices would be used to measure the relative humidity in a small head space above or within the subject material. Vaisala manufactures such instruments.
  - Exact protocols and methods would need to be developed and evaluated on the bench or in the field.
  - European standards should be referenced for in-slab moisture measurements (ASTM is also reported to be looking into this).
  - Uncertainty of measurement is not known.
  - A good seal around measurement location is important.
  - Allow sufficient equilibration time.
  - Avoid other sources of surface moisture.
- Differential pressure measurements at several locations to identify pressure orientations and gradients that drive air flow: above and below slab, inside and outside basement walls, basement inside and outdoor air. High Priority.
- Flow and pressure measurements of ASD system to characterize performance, including diagnostic measurements and pressure field extension for system design. See detail below and attached. High Priority.
- Distance to water table by boring – if distance is greater than 25 feet, then water table is probably not an important influencing factor. Most useful for selecting houses. High Priority.
- Temperature and RH in upstairs air, basement air (3 locations – look for spatial variation), below slab (directly below slab and below gravel), ASD exhaust, and outdoors plus one set of duplicate measurements. Not Prioritized. High Priority.
  - The relative humidity measurements described here may overlap with those conducted for moisture in and below the slab (above).
- Standard meteorological measurements (wind speed and direction, precipitation,

snowfall/snow cover, barometric pressure) of environmental conditions that may impact moisture movement and levels. Solar insulation was not discussed. Not Prioritized. High Priority.

- Radon gas measurement. Assess ASD performance and to assist in tracking soil gas movement and entry into the building: below slab, around walls, in soil around building, in building air (upstairs and basement), and ASD exhaust. Radon entry is not a direct stand-in for soil gas (and moisture) entry because of the spatial and temporal variations in radon concentrations in the soil around a building. However, radon is a traceable constituent in the soil air and generally causes elevated indoor levels when soil air with high concentrations of radon convectively/advectively flows into buildings. Not Prioritized.
- Determine fraction of ventilation air from soil gas entry into building using radon or other tracer gas. Not Prioritized.
- Determine fraction of basement/soil air in ASD exhaust by injecting a tracer into the basement air. Not Prioritized.
- Perform measurements of effective resistance to air flow of slab and soil around slab to assist in identifying soil gas entry locations, and to better understand air flow dynamics. Not Prioritized.
  - A blower door is used to depressurize the basement while flows and pressure differentials are measured at test holes bored through various locations in the walls and slab floor.
- Blower door test of basement and whole house leakage area. Not Prioritized.
- Information on characteristics of building and nearby surroundings. Not Prioritized.
- Maintain an occupant diary of house conditions. Not Prioritized.
- Occupants would be asked to track their perceptions of odor and air quality, and record unusual activities that might impact measurements.
- Field data collected and analyzed will meet EPA QA/QC requirements including appropriate data quality objectives (DQO), standard operating procedures (SOP) and protocols.

Supporting Data and Measurements

The following measurements and data collection were usually not assigned a priority because of disagreement among the panelists as to their importance to the study, but were considered by some panelists to be important additions to the study.

- Establish confidence intervals of measurement data to describe precision.
- Moisture in soil around and below building. Use gypsum blocks if they are appropriate and affordable.
- Characterize flow paths of moisture and air around and into basement. Discussions didn't clarify a suitable protocol for doing this, other than testing with tracer gas into surrounding soils.
- Blower door test with tracer gas to identify air movement pathways.
- Diffusion of moisture through concrete slabs and walls, to monitor diffusion contribution to indoor moisture. Diffusion coefficients from other sources

(NIST, DOE) to be used in model estimations, and to compare with field measurements.

- Develop device/protocol for measuring surface moisture (possibly paper/other industry has already developed?) Heated head RH and lithium chloride dew point sensors will be considered.
- Passive microbial volatile organic compound (MVOC) dosimeter on two week cycles to determine if moisture changes are reflected in indicators of microbial activity. Consider performing some pilot these measurements with these sensors, depending on time, cost and QA issues – or consider odors as substitute indicator.
- MVOCs or mold in settled dust – high cost, so only measure if there is reduction in other parameters (e.g., moisture) – medium priority
- Biosensors (fungal detector with sensors for 3 molds) to measure water activity levels necessary for mold growth. Would require approximately 100 detectors.
- Perform survey of slab moisture with non-invasive instrument (such as Tramex) to determine if this method would be a suitable low-cost alternative to more intensive measurement methods.
- Soil air permeability in surrounding native soil, around foundation, and below slab.

Low Priority Tests and Measurements

- Moisture emissions from slab and walls surfaces using commercially-available calcium chloride test kits. A number of panel members mentioned that this measurement technique can be unreliable due to variations in surface preparation, sealing to the surface, nearby finishes and structural components, etc. However, if the technique could be refined, it would provide an affordable method for quickly monitoring and surveying large areas.
- Tracer gas measurements of ventilation and interzonal air movement. Multiple tracers (e.g., perflourocarbon tracers - PFT) would be necessary for careful characterization of interzonal flow, including soil gas flow into building (position PFTs in soil if viable). No consensus on this issue.
- Soil air permeability in surrounding native soil, around foundation, and below slab.
- Multi-tracer gas test of interzonal flows with and without HVAC operation.
- Sampling of mold in the air – too many would be required, interpretation could be difficult, cost would be high
- Develop protocol for using dehumidifier during study - recommendation is to not use a dehumidifier during the study.

<u>ASD System Design and Operation</u>

A straw protocol for ASD system diagnostics, design, and installation is attached. Other comments from the panel include:

- Systems should preferably be routed through the heated space and exhaust above the roof, although this requirement may not be necessary for fan-driven systems
- There was disagreement on whether to simplify system design vs. performing

comprehensive design diagnostics (note: the attachment outlines the latter)

- Information on system performance should be collected so as to provide guidance for future ASD system designs for controlling moisture entry.
- Differential pressures should be measured at all corners and every wall during system cycling
- Perform suite of measurements with sealed and unsealed slab while system is cycled.

Estimated Costs for an Initial Limited Field Study

A limited field study outline should at least include the items listed below. Some activities can be conducted simultaneously.

Prepare QA/QC Plan
Equipment Identification, Procurement and Costs
Develop Conceptual Model(s) and Calculate Boundary Conditions
Select Three Houses
Collect Structure and Occupant Information
Select One House for Initial Evaluation of Testing and Measurement Protocols
Modify Model(s), and Test and -Measurement Protocols in field/bench tests
Begin Extended Monitoring in One House
Design and Install ASD in One House
Continue Monitoring as ASD System is Cycled
Begin Extended Monitoring in Two Additional Houses.
Design and Install ASD in Two Additional Houses.
Continue Monitoring in All Houses as ASD Systems are Cycled.
Reporting of Results and Recommendations of Future Steps

Estimated Total: $100,000 - 175,000

Straw ASD Diagnostic/Design Protocol (Jack Hughes)

General system performance requirements

ASD systems intended to depressurize under slabs shall be capable of producing a sub-slab pressure field with a minimum of 5 Pa (0.020" WC) negative pressure relative to the basement with the basement pressure neutral to outside.

ASD systems intended to depressurize soil adjacent to basement walls shall be capable of producing the required negative pressure field (minimum pressure to be determined) without adversely impacting the minimum required performance of any sub-slab depressurization systems present which may need to be operated simultaneously. [i.e., if combination sub-slab/outside-the-wall systems are installed, the system must have the capacity to adequately depressurize both areas simultaneously. A dedicated system(s) for each area may be necessary to meet this requirement.]

General system configuration requirements

Each suction point leg shall be equipped with a valve which, when fully closed, reduces the air flow from that suction point effectively to zero, and which, when fully open, does not offer resistance sufficient to reduce the air flow below the required minimum.

Each suction point leg shall be equipped with a manometer installed to continuously monitor read the indoor-to-pipe pressure differential in the pipe leg below the above-mentioned valve.

Provision shall be made for continuous air flow measurement in each suction point leg.

Diagnostic Procedures

Quantitative ASD diagnostic procedures sufficient to ensure that installed systems meet minimum performance requirements shall be performed. These procedures shall include, but shall not be limited to:

- -- basic communication testing at each proposed suction point;
- -- quantitative determination of resistance characteristics at each installed suction point and calculation of friction loss in proposed pipe run from that suction point;
- -- quantitative prediction of pressure/air flow at each suction point for any proposed system configuration (pipe runs and fans), including multiple suction point systems;
- -- simulation of operation of any proposed system to verify its capability to meet minimum performance (pressure field) requirements;
- -- verification of extent and strength of pressure field by measurement of pressure differential across slab at holes located so as to provide adequate pressure field

profile, particularly near known potential soil gas entry points, but not less than one hole per 200 square feet of slab area. Additional characterization of pressure field extent and strength can be achieved by use of chemical smoke at existing openings. Pressure fields outside walls can be similarly characterized.

Expert Panel

Terry Brennan
Camroden Associates
7240 East carter Road
Westmoreland, NY13490
ph. 315-336-7955
terrycam@twcny.rr.com

Bill Brodhead
2844 Slifer Valley Road
Riegelsville, Pennsylvania 18077
ph. 610-346-8004
wmbrodhead@hotmail.com

Jack Hughes
7197 Highway 75 A
Helen, Georgia 30545
ph. 404-625-5399
maxgarlic@aol.com

Phil Morey
2245 Baltimore Pike
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325
Air Quality Sciences Inc.
1337 Capital Circle
Atlanta, Georgia 30067
ph. 770-933-0638
pmorey@aqs.com

McGregor Pearce
Environmental Health Consultant
P.O. Box 14481, St. Paul, MN 55114
ph. (651) 646-4513
pearc010@tc.umn.edu

Ali M. Sadeghi
USDA Environmental Quality Laboratory
10300 Baltimore Ave.
BARC-West, Building 007, Room 224
Beltsville, MD 20705 . Ph.301-504-6693
SadeghiA@ba.ars.usda.gov

John Straub
165 Albert St.
Waterloo, Ontario, CA 1NL3T2
ph. 519-741-7920
jfstraube@uwaterloo.ca

Brad Turk
Environmental Building Sciences, Inc.
PO Box 1364
Las Vegas, NM 87701
ph. 505-426-0723
TurkMWTA@aol.com

EPA Participants

Gregory Brunner
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Indoor Environments Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6609J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9052

Patsy Brooks
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 4
Radiation and Indoor Air Program
61 Forsythe St. SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3104
404-562-9145

Eugene Fisher
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Indoor Environments Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6609J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9418

John Girman
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Indoor Environments Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6609J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9370

Diane Hamilton
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Indoor Environments Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6609J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9427

Larainne Koehler
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 2
290 Broadway
NY, NY10007
212-637-4005

Ron Mosley
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
Research Triangle Park, NC
919-541-3006

David Rowson
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Indoor Environments Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6609J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9370

Henry Schuver
United States Environmental Protection Agency
MC530
703-308-8656

Susie Shimek
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Indoor Environments Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6609J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9054

Ron Wilhelm
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Radiation Protection Division
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue MC6608J
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-9379

APPENDIX B
Forms, Logs, and Checklists

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

The following documents were used during the project to gather information, report on conditions, or to document house visits.

- □ Participant Application Checklist
- □ Phone Interview Form
- □ Walk-through Checklist
- □ Building Moisture Log
- □ Temporary Use Permit
- □ Sensor Wiring Datalogger Log
- □ Event & Activity Log
- □ House Visit Log (PA03)
- □ Grab Sample / Radon Sniffing Form
- □ Mitigation Cycling Log (PA03)
- □ Ventilation Log
- □ PFE Form

Moisture Study
Participant Application Checklist

Name ______________________ Date ______________

Address ______________________ Surveyor ______________

____

Home Phone: ______________________

Other Phone: ______________________

Critical Criteria

1	Do you own the home that you occupy?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
2	Is the home a single-family dwelling?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
3	Is the home detached from other dwellings?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
4	Is there a basement beneath the entire house?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
5	Are all of the basement walls surrounded by soil?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
6	Do you expect to move in the next 18 months?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
7	Is there a dampness problem in the basement?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
8	Describe the dampness in the basement:	______		
9a	Apparent source of the dampness	______		
9b	When does the dampness occur?	______		
10	Does the basement flood or have liquid water entry?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
11	Is the basement occupied?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
12	Is the basement finished?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
13	Is there floor covering on the basement floor? (If yes, list)	☐ Yes	☐ No	List: ______
14	Are there stairs between the upstairs and the basement?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
14a	Is there a door between the basement and the upstairs?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
15	What is the construction of the basement exterior walls (poured, hollow block, filled block, etc.)?	______		
16	What is the age of your home?	______		Comments: ______

Negotiable Criteria

17	Are there moldy, musty, or earthy odors in the basement?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
18	Have you measured the radon levels in your home and basement?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Don't Know
18a	If so, do you know the levels?	______		
19	Is a radon control system installed in your home?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
20	Is there a forced air furnace, air conditioner, or ducting in the basement (if yes, circle all that apply)?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______
21	Is there gravel below the basement floor?	☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Don't Know
22	Is there a sump to collect water in the basement?	☐ Yes	☐ No	Comments: ______

23 Other Comments: ______________________

____

____

____

Phone Interview

Occupant Name _________________________
Date ________________

Intro to Project

- Partnership with PADEP, USEPA, and Auburn Univ. to study moisture reduction in basements using standard radon control systems
- Study length 12 - 18 months
- No cost to occupants
- Intensive monitoring of moisture, radon, temp, weather and others with installed instrumentation
- 3-day set-up of instrumentation, most in basement some outside and upstairs
- Will require putting small temporary holes in walls and floor of basement; running cables, hanging instruments
- Periodic visits to home by PADEP staff member (max: 1 to 2 times per week) to check instruments, conduct other tests and measurements
- Occupants will be asked to keep a diary of activities and unusual conditions
- Installation of an active soil depressurization (ASD) radon control system (2-3 days) to reduce indoor radon and moisture levels. Requires installing 3-4" PVC pipe through floors/walls and routing to a small fan in the attic or garage
- System will be turned on and off on a schedule ranging from 12 hrs to 2 or 3 weeks during the project
- At conclusion of project, all instrumentation will be removed, holes will be repaired
- Control system will remain with the house (unless occupants prefer it to be removed)

Additional Information

- Verify questionable data

- Home Construction
- Approximate size
- Number of stories

- Elaborate on dampness problem in basement

- Basement Details
- Occupancy patterns and activities
- Pets
- Storage
- Wall and floor finishes

- Name of builder

- Days/Hours of access to home

- Radon testing

- Walk-through schedule

WALK-THROUGH CHECKLIST
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSES

Name:___________________________________ House ID ___________

Address: ___________________________________ Date ________________
___________________________________ Time ________________
____

Technician(s): _________________________________

Occupant Information

1. Occupants
   a. Number of occupants _______________ [no. of children _______]
   b. Number of smokers _________________ [type of smoking & frequency _________________________]

2. General Indoor Environmental Quality:
   a. Complaints about the air (stuffiness, odors, respiratory problems, watery eyes, etc.):

   b. Any indications of mold, moisture problems, humidity, or condensation:

   c. Do the windows fog during the heating season:

   d.. Has home experienced flooding, water leaks, or sewage backup from inside or outside that caused standing water damage:

3. Number of plants in the home:

4. Other:
   a. Photographs of the house during construction.

   b. Unique features of the house.

   c. Hours during which house is available for visitations.
      - Alternative phone numbers:

   d. Consent to drill inspection holes and install instrumentation

EPerm Radon Measurements

1. Test No. 1
   Sampling dates ____
   Sampling location ____
   Radon concentration (pCi/l) ____

2. Test No. 2
   Sampling dates ____
   Sampling location ____
   Radon concentration (pCi/l) ____

Temperature / RH Measurements

First Floor Location: ____ Temp ______ RH ______
Basement Location: ____ Temp ______ RH ______
Outdoor Location: ___________________________ _________________Temp ______ RH ______

BASIC HOUSE INFORMATION

1. Year house built __________ [remodeling date ________________]

2. Domestic water source:
   ☐ municipal surface
   ☐ municipal well
   ☐ private on-site well
   ☐ other: ____

3. Building construction [complete drawings of site, floor plans, and elevations]

   Superstructure
   a. Number of stories above grade: ______________________
   b. Construction type and materials: ____
   c. Estimated leakiness of shell: ☐ tight ☐ moderate ☐ leaky
   d. Other features: ____

   Substructure
   ☐ Full basement (basement extends beneath entire house)
   ☐ Full crawlspace (crawlspace extends beneath entire house)
   ☐ Full on-grade (floor extends beneath entire house)
   ☐ House elevated above ground on piers
   ☐ Combination basement and crawlspace
   ☐ Combination basement and on-grade
   ☐ Combination on-grade and crawlspace
   ☐ Combination on-grade, basement, and crawlspace
   ☐ Other -- specify: ____

4. Mechanical and combustion appliances (type, fuel, location)
   a. exhaust fans ____
   b. clothes dryer (vent location)____
   c. clothes washer ____
   c. forced air furnace ____
   d. domestic hot water heater ____
   e. air conditioning ____
   f. woodstove/fireplace ____
   g. whole house/attic fans ____

5. Existing radon control measures
   Type and description: ____
   ____
   Date installed: _________________________________

6. Other moisture producing equipment (humidifier, steam room, etc.): ____

7. Signs of mold or moisture damage indoors: ____

8. Condition of gutters and downspouts: ____

9. Drainage and grading around house: ____

10. Signs of water damage on outside of building: ____

11. Location for instrumentation: ____

BASEMENTS

1. Usage: [occupied, unoccupied] ____

2. Access to basement: [door, hatch, etc.] ____________________________________

3. Depth of basement floor below grade ______________________________________

4. Accessibility to floors and walls: ____
   a. Storage or other items in basement: _____________________________________

5. Basement Walls:
   a. Foundation materials
      ☐ hollow block [filled ____]
      ☐ poured concrete
      ☐ solid block
      ☐ field stone
      ☐ other: ____

   b. Exterior/interior insulation: ____

   c. Finish materials (frame, stucco, etc.): _____________________________________

   d. Interior load-bearing walls: ____

   e. Visible openings to soil ____
      ____

   f. Signs of moisture/mold: ____
      ____

   g. Windows: ____

6. Basement Floor:
   a. Materials
      ☐ poured concrete slab [aggregate layer _____________________________________]
      ☐ block, brick, stone: ____
      ☐ exposed soil
      ☐ other: ____

   b. Finish materials (paint, carpet, linoleum, etc.): ________________________________

   c. Visible openings to soil ____
      ____

   e. Signs of moisture: ____
      ____

7. Tightness of floor between basement and first floor: ☐ tight ☐ moderate ☐ leaky

8. Fireplace structure: ____

9. Forced air HAC system or ductwork in basement: ___________________________________

10. Water Drainage:
    a. sump (pump: yes/no): ____
    b. footer drain [exterior, interior, location ______________________________________]
    c. perimeter (french) drain
    d. floor drains

11. Dehumidifier usage and information: ____

CRAWLSPACES

1. Usage: ____

2. Access to crawlspace (door, hatch, etc.): ____

3. Accessibility to floors and walls: ____

4. Depth below grade ______________ft. [headroom ___________in]

5. Crawlspace Walls:

   a. Foundation materials
      ☐ hollow block [filled ____]
      ☐ solid block
      ☐ poured concrete
      ☐ field stone
      ☐ other: ____

   b. Finish materials ____

   c. Support piers in crawlspace: ____

   d. Visible openings to soil ____
      ____

6. Crawlspace Floor:

   a. Materials
      ☐ poured concrete slab [aggregate layer ____]
      ☐ plastic sheet or other membrane: ____
      ☐ block, brick, stone: ____
      ☐ exposed soil
      ☐ other: ____

   b. Visible openings to soil ____
      ____

7. First Floor :
   a. Materials: ____
   b. Tightness of floor between crawlspace and first floor: ☐ tight ☐ moderate ☐ leaky

8. Forced air HAC system or ductwork in crawlspace ______________________-____________________________

9. Crawlspace vents [number _________________, location ____]

ON- OR NEAR-GRADE FLOORS

1. Usage: ____

2. Accessibility to floor/walls from inside: ____
   outside: ____

3. Floor

   a. Materials
      ☐ poured concrete slab [aggregate layer ____]
      ☐ block, brick, stone: ____
      ☐ exposed soil
      ☐ other: ____

   b. Elevation of floor relative to surrounding soil: ____

   c. Insulation around perimeter of floor: ____

   d. Visible openings to soil ____
      ____

   e. Describe floor/wall interface: ____
      ____

4. Interior load-bearing walls: ____

5. Location of forced air HAC system ductwork: ____

6. Fireplace structure: ____

7. Water Drainage:
   a. footer drain [exterior, interior, location ____]
   b. floor drains

Building Moisture Log

Occupant Name: ______________________________

Study House ID: ____________

Visit Description: ____________

Date: ____________

Person(s) Performing Measurement and Assessment: ______________________________

Measurement Instruments: ______________________________

Test Location	Approx. Size	Measurement			Type of Material	Appearance of Surface	Possible Moisture Source(s)	Other Comments/ Observations
		Time	Type (Survey/Pin)	Reading				

TEMPORARY USE PERMIT

For purposes of this agreement:

1) An "occupant" is a person legally entitled to possession of the premises.

2) An "investigator" is an employee or representative of: the Southern Regional Radon Training Center (Auburn University) or the State of Pennsylvania under the sponsorship of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

The occupant of the premises located at
____,
grants permission to the investigator to enter such premises from (date) __________________ to (date)_________________, between the hours of _________________ and _________________, for the purpose of conducting research on the entry and accumulation of moisture and radon in dwellings, and on innovative methods to reduce indoor concentrations of these pollutants.

The occupant understands that the work is experimental in nature, that testing or installation of equipment may cause a temporary increase in moisture or radon concentrations and that the investigators cannot promise the success of any method to reduce indoor moisture or radon concentrations.

Any data developed from research conducted on the occupant's premises will be the property of the investigators and may be made available to the public in statistical form, without the occupant's name and address. Upon request, the investigators shall give the occupant a copy of the data. The investigators assume no responsibility to provide information at any particular time or in any specific manner. The occupant understands that the investigators make no warranty, express or implied, that the information provided to the occupant or developed by the research is accurate, complete, or useful.

Any system installed to control indoor pollutant levels will be at no cost to the occupant and will remain with the residence upon project completion. Installation is subject to prior approval by the occupant.

The occupant understands that the investigators will exercise reasonable care: (1) not to injure the occupant, the occupant's guests, the occupant's property, or the premises; and (2) not to interfere with the occupant's use of the premises except as necessary to undertake the actions provided in this agreement. The investigators will make a reasonable effort to repair damage to the premises caused by the testing or installation work.

The occupant shall indemnify, hold harmless and defend the investigators from any and all claims and suits for any reason whatsoever arising out of the actions permitted herein.

Dated this __________ day of _________________, 20___

By ____

____

Occupant(s)

____

Investigator

SENSOR, WIRING, and DATALOGGER LOG

Data Logger Description & Serial Number ______________________ House ID _________________
Multiplexer Description & Serial Number _______________________
Location ____

Channel No.	Sensor Description	Serial No.	Sensor Location	Wire No.	Date Installed	Installer Initials	
DATALOGGER							
P1							
P2							
P3							
P4							
1H							
1L							
2H							
2L							
3H							
3L							
4H							
4L							
5H							
5L							
6H							
6L							
7H							
7L							
8H							
8L							

Data Logger Description ___________________________ House ID ________

Page __/__

Channel No.	Sensor Description	Serial No.	Sensor Location	Wire No.	Date Installed	Installer Initials	
MULTIPLEXER							
1H							
1L							
2H							
2L							
3H							
3L							
4H							
4L							
5H							
5L							
6H							
6L							
7H							
7L							
8H							
8L							
9H							
9L							
10H							
10L							
11H							
11L							

Data Logger Description ___________________________ House ID ________

Page __/__

Channel No.	Sensor Description	Serial No.	Sensor Location	Wire No.	Date Installed	Installer Initials	
12H							
12L							
13H							
13L							
14H							
14L							
15H							
15L							
16H							
16L							
17H							
17L							
18H							
18L							
19H							
19L							
20H							
20L							
21H							
21L							
22H							
22L							
23H							
23L							
24H							
24L							

Data Logger Description ___________________________ House ID ________

Page __/__

Channel No.	Sensor Description	Serial No.	Sensor Location	Wire No.	Date Installed	Installer Initials	
25H							
25L							
26H							
26L							
27H							
27L							
28H							
28L							
29H							
29L							
30H							
30L							
31H							
31L							
32H							
32L							

EVENT AND ACTIVITY LOG

HOUSE ID __________
OCCUPANT NAME _____________________

Examples of Important Events or Activities to Record:

- *Heavy Rain or Snow or Stormy Conditions*
- *Flooding*
- *Power Outages*
- *Fireplace Use*
- *Extended Use of Exhaust Fans*
- *Carpet or Rug Cleaning*
- *Many Open Windows or Doors*
- *Parties (or other large gathering of people)*

Questions or Problems? *Call Bob Lewis, PADEP, 783-4870, or Brad Turk, EBSI, 866-426-0723*

DATE	TIME	DESCRIPTION OF EVENTS OR ACTIVITIES

House Visit Log
EPA Moisture Study

House PA-03

Name________________
Address______________________
Address______________________
Phone___________________ (hm)
Phone___________________ (wk)

Date/Arrival time: ____________/______

Download info:

Data Logger #	Download time	Time Difference PC vs Station	Initials
1	____________	___________	_____
2	____________	___________	_____

Pump info:

Pylon AB-5/PRD Serial #	Air Pump Serial #	Location	Flow Rate current (cc/min)	Flow Rate last week (cc/min)	Initials
429 /	9	Floor C1	__________	__________	_____
694 /	5 (258)	Wall W14	__________	__________	_____
441 / 372	6	ASD Exhaust	__________	__________	_____

Comments/Observations: ____
____
____
____
____
____
____
____

Grab Samples

Residence: ____________________ Date:_____________

Sample each unique building zone to determine if any building zones have relatively high indoor radon that would help identify a predominant area of radon entry. Sample under normal house conditions, i.e. no increased house depressurization.

House

Location	Cell S/N	Stop Time	Result
Basement			
First Floor			
Second Floor			
Garage			
Crawl Space			
Slab-on-grade			
Over Crawl Space			

To simulate maximum heating season depressurization, use fan to depressurize basement to about –10 Pa. This will encourage more rapid radon entry and swamp variable environmental effects (wind).

Test Holes

Location	Cell S/N	Stop Time	Result
F1			
F2			
F3			
F4			
F5			
F6			
F7			
F8			
F9			
F10			
W1			
W2			
W3			
W4			
W5			
W6			

Grab Samples, Cont.

Suspected Entry Points

Location	Cell S/N	Stop Time	Result

Miscellaneous

Location	Cell S/N	Stop Time	Result

If grab sample results are greater than room air samples and pressure field at that point is positive, then system performance should be boosted.

Mitigation Cycling Pattern Log

PA03

ON	OFF
• Fully Open 3 Valves	• Open Sump Lid
• Turn Fan On	• Turn Fan Off
• Close Sump Lid	• Completely Close 3 Valves
• Record Date/Time	• Record Date/Time

24-hour Cycling -- 4 Repetitions (8 days)

	On #1	Off #1	On #2	Off #2	On #3	Off #3	On #4	Off #4
Scheduled: Date								
Time								
Actual: Date								
Time								
Name								

3-day Cycling - 4 Repetitions (24 days)

	On #1	Off #1	On #2	Off #2	On #3	Off #3	On #4	Off #4
Scheduled: Date								
Time								
Actual: Date								
Time								
Name								

7-day Cycling - 4 Repetitions (56 days)

	On #1	Off #1	On #2	Off #2	On #3	Off #3	On #4	Off #4
Scheduled: Date								
Time								
Actual: Date								
Time								
Name								

Questions?
Bob Lewis & Matt Shields, PADEP: 783-4870
Brad Turk, EBSI: 1-866-426-0723

Ventilation Measurement Log

Technicians: ______________________________ House ID: ____________

House Conditions & Notes: ______________________________ Test Set-up Date/Time: ____________

______________________________ ASD Condition (Off/On): ____________

______________________________ Test Stop Date/Time: ____________

Tracer Sources

Heater ID	Vial ID	Location	Heater Temp Setting	Hobo Clock OK?	Hobo LED On?	Download		Comments
						Date / Time	File Name	

Samplers

Sampler Case ID	Sample Bag ID	Calib Sample?	Sample Location	Pump Flow OK?	Timer Clock OK?	Timer Program OK?	Sample Start Day / Date / Time	Sample Stop Time	Comments
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		
							/		

Pressure Field Extension Measurements

Technician(s): ______________________________ House ID: ____________________

Date: ____________________

Description of House/Mitigation Conditions: ____

____

____

	HVAC On ΔP (Pa) or Smoke Movement Bsmt Ref		HVAC Off ΔP (Pa) or Smoke Movement Bsmt Ref	
Test Location/ID	**ASD On**	**ASD Off**	**ASD On**	**ASD Off**
Basement-1st Flr				
Basement-Outdoor				

____

____

____

APPENDIX C
House Selection Criteria

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

The following list of house selection criteria was included in a flyer to solicit participation in the study. In addition to the prioritized list of criteria, the rationale for requiring/including are provided.

U.S. EPA/Auburn University Moisture Study

The U.S. EPA and Auburn University are conducting a 2-year field study to evaluate the use of radon mitigation techniques to control moisture entry and accumulation in basement houses. Research has linked dampness in houses with a number of debilitating health effects, including asthma. The most common and successful mitigation system, active soil depressurization, will be used in three homes to study moisture movement through basement walls and floors as the system is re-configured and cycled on/off. Measurements of environmental conditions, air pressure and flows, and house conditions will be performed in each house for the duration of the study. If this approach is successful in reducing moisture levels, it may have broad application for improving indoor air quality in many homes nationwide.

Because of the complexity in conducting accurate measurements, houses participating in this study must meet the following criteria, grouped by priority:

House Selection Criteria

<u>Critical Criteria (participating houses must meet these criteria)</u>

- *Owner-Occupied (or Unoccupied) Single-Family, Detached Residence* - It is important to simplify occupancy conditions and agreements/understandings with the occupants

- *Full-depth Basement Beneath the Entire House* - Basement homes have greater surface contact with the soil and tend to be influenced more by conditions in the soils and materials around the building. Full basements buried to depth of 5 to 6 feet below grade on all sides are simpler to study and understand. Foundations that also include crawlspaces, slab-on-grade, and walk-out basements are much more complicated constructions to understand and analyze. Houses with an attached garage having a slab-on-grade are acceptable.

- *Expected Residency of 18 Months* - Residents that move during the period of active monitoring and measurements may significantly disrupt data collection during this important phase of the project.

- *Evidence of Persistent Moisture Entry (Dampness) into the Basement* - Short-term variations in moisture entry can confound analysis of the effectiveness of the intervention technique. Therefore, homes that appear to have less fluctuation in moisture entry would be better candidates for this study.

- *No Liquid Water Entry or Unusual Moisture Sources* - Homes with significant liquid water entry due to leaks, major drainage problems, or very high water tables should not be selected since ASD is unlikely to be successful in these conditions. Houses where the water table is greater than 25 feet below the basement slab are preferred.

- *Unoccupied and Mostly Unfinished Basement* - The requirement for an unoccupied and minimally finished basement reduces variability in moisture response due to occupant activities and different finishes and furnishings. An unfinished basement also affords better access to basement surfaces for investigators. Basements must be able to be isolated from upper levels of the building, for example by a door.

- *Poured Basement Walls and Floor* avoid the complicated air flow pathways in blocks. At least one study house must meet this criteria. However, two houses with open core block walls will probably also be selected into the study to avoid excluding construction that may be more susceptible to moisture entry.

- *Older than Three Years of Age* - The structures should be between three and ten years of age. Homes newer than three years of age may have residual moisture from construction still stored in concrete and other materials. If this moisture is being released during the study period, moisture measurements will be affected. For more consistency in construction, homes less than ten years of age are preferred, but this is not a strict criteria for selection.

- *No Karst-like Features Affecting Basement Floors or Walls* - Solution cavities and other interconnected, below-ground voids or cavities that are in contact with the basement foundation create in-homogeneities that complicate our understanding of the surrounding soils.

<u>Negotiable Criteria (while important and desirable, strict compliance with these criteria is not required)</u>

- *Musty, Moldy, or Earthy Odors in the Basement* - An indicator of existing moisture problems.

- *Buildings Without an ASD Installed* are preferred, although homes with an installed passive stack could be considered. Homeowners must be willing to have an ASD system installed, or a passive system activated. They must also be willing to have the system cycled on and off for certain periods.

- *No HVAC or Ducts in Basement* - To isolate the basement air from the upstairs air, the basement should not contain HVAC equipment or ducts.

- *Gravel that Forms a Capillary Break Below the Slab Floor* - As with permeable soils, a gravel layer generally results in more uniform conditions below the floor.

- *No Sumps* - Sumps connected to an encircling drain pipe alter the movement of soil air below and around a building in complex ways.

- *Elevated Pre-mitigation Basement Radon Levels* - Basement radon levels should be greater than 4 pCi/L and less than 10 pCi/L, while upstairs levels are no more than 4 pCi/l. Radon concentrations and entry rates may be useful as an approximate indicator for soil gas (and soil gas-borne water vapor) movement into a building while ASD systems are cycled on and off. Radon levels must be sufficiently elevated to indicate changes in soil gas entry rates, yet must be low enough in occupied areas so that exposure is minimized when the ASD systems are cycled off.

- *Permeable Soils Around the Building* - Permeable native soils (e.g., glacial tills) tend to have better uniformity in radon levels surrounding the substructure and have more consistent air flow pathways.

- *Geographical Location* - To reduce climatic variability, buildings should all be located in close proximity

APPENDIX D
ASD System Diagnostics, Design, and Description

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

ASD Diagnostic and System Design Procedures

The diagnostic procedures employed in this study include the measurement of air flow and pressure at suction points to enable quantitative characterization of 'sub-slab' resistance, and calculation of pipe run resistance, or 'friction loss'. These two components comprise the total resistance to air flow in an ASD system, which determines the performance (air flow produced) by a particular fan. This process serves as the basis for the system component selection portion of system design.

Air flow and pressure measurement

An apparatus constructed of PVC pipe and a shop-size vacuum cleaner was used for field measurements of air flow and pressure. A Pitot tube was constructed using 2" PVC pipe and 1/8" brass pipe fittings. This device was calibrated against a commercial Pitot tube to derive a flow vs velocity pressure curve for the device. Static pressure was measured in a 4" PVC pipe sanitary "Tee" adapted to seal into a suction hole in a slab or other suction point, and connected to the 2" PVC pipe Pitot tube. The velocity pressure from the Pitot tube and the static pressure in the pipe apparatus were measured with an electronic digital micromanometer.

'Friction loss' calculation

Resistance to air flow in plastic pipe was previously determined by 'bench' testing 2", 3" and 4" schedule 40 PVC pipe and assorted common fittings. Using these values, the pipe run resistance or 'friction loss' was calculated for proposed pipe runs.

Fan performance determination

The 'sub slab' resistance added to the pipe run resistance at a particular air flow yields the total system resistance at that air flow. At least two of these total system resistance values were plotted on log-scale paper with air flow plotted against system static pressure (resistance) on the axes. Already plotted on the graph paper were the performance curves for several common radon fans. These curves were derived by 'bench' testing the fans mounted on 4" PVC pipe, with the air flow and static pressure measured in the pipe using the method described above. The intersection of the total system resistance curve and a fan curve indicates the operating point (pressure and air flow) for that fan on that system.

Fan selection

The air flow through the diagnostic apparatus was adjusted to produce the desired degree of depressurization under the slab and/or in the block walls. At that operating level, the air flow or static pressure in the apparatus was used to locate that point on the total system resistance curve. Any fan whose curve crosses the total system resistance curve at or above that operating level will move enough, or more than enough air to produce that level of depressurization. For the purposes of this study, fans were selected which produced more robust depressurization than would commonly be deemed necessary for radon control.

ASD System Description

General considerations

As mentioned elsewhere, the ASD systems for the houses in this study were designed to have more robust performance than would usually be considered necessary or desirable simply for radon control. The major reason for this design decision was that optimal ASD operating parameters for moisture control were not known, and the investigators wanted the greater-than-normal performance capability available. A Fantech HP220 fan was selected for all three houses. The intent was to start the systems at full capacity and reduce the extent and strength of the systems' impact by reducing the number of active suction points and the total system air flow.

In every leg (save one) of each system, a T/RH sensor was installed in the pipe within one foot of the slab or wall penetration. Another T/RH sensor was installed within 2 feet of the discharge end of the pipe in each system.

A condensate drain was installed in each system so that most, if not all, of the condensate draining back down the pipe could be intercepted and re-routed to a sub-slab location rather than allowed to drain back to a suction point. Each drain was equipped with a valve so that the condensate could be directed to either location.

PA01

This house was built with a passive radon vent consisting of 3 inch PVC pipe originating at a "T" in a perforated flexible interior sub-slab drain tile loop located near the wall. The drain tile loop entered a sump from both directions approximately 8 feet from the "Tee." The PVC vent pipe extended up the basement wall and up through the wall between the garage and the house interior into the attic. A horizontal run of approximately 20 feet terminated approximately 8 feet from the back wall of the house, where the pipe turned up and penetrated the roof. The fan for the study was installed in this last vertical section. The sump was sealed with a gas-tight cover.

The investigation team installed a second suction point directly under the top basement stair landing, and ran the pipe to just below where the original vent pipe turned to enter the wall of the garage. The two pipes were joined at that point with a sanitary "Tee." Both suction legs had gate valves installed upstream from the junction point and Pitot tubes were installed upstream from the gate valves.

Diagnostic procedures indicated that friction loss in the rather lengthy 3 inch pipe run, although substantial, did not restrict air flow enough so that substitution of larger pipe was required.

PA02

A partial passive radon vent system was installed during construction of this house, but it was terminated where the 3 inch PVC pipe was stubbed up through the slab from an interior flexible perforated drain tile loop. The pipe was capped at this point, which was directly adjacent to a sump in one corner of the basement. A 3 inch rigid PVC perforated pipe entered the sump after passing through/under the footer from outside the wall, where it connected to a "Tee" in what appeared to be an exterior footer drain. The sump bucket was not perforated to communicate with the sub-slab, although sub-slab water could enter the bucket through the hole for the pipe from the exterior drain tile, or through the pipe itself as it was oriented with the holes down. This pipe passed through approximately 8 inches of sub-slab aggregate between the footer and the sump bucket, and was located just below the interior drain tile.

Investigators installed a 3 inch PVC pipe riser on the stub from the interior tile loop, including a Pitot tube and gate valve. They also installed a gas-tight cover on the sump and a 3 inch riser from the cover, also with a Pitot tube and gate valve. At approximately 4 feet above the floor, both risers were connected into a 4 inch PVC manifold which exited the house through the rim joist. The fan was mounted directly outside the wall, and the discharge continued up to above the roof.

The diagnostic and system performance simulation procedures indicated that the sub-slab pressure field would adequately depressurize the interior of the block walls around the entire perimeter of the structure, obviating the need for direct depressurization of the walls themselves. It proved necessary to seal the wall/floor joint, however, as one-half inch polystyrene bead board had been used as expansion joint which allowed unacceptably large air leakage.

PA03

No 'radon-resistant' features were originally incorporated into this house, but it did have a retro-fit water control system consisting in part of a perforated drain tile buried in aggregate under the slab within one foot of the back wall. This tile terminated in the gravel in which the perforated sump bucket was set, but did not penetrate the bucket itself. A gas-tight cover was installed on the sump. A sub-slab suction point was installed adjacent to the back wall, with the radon vent pipe almost touching the sub-slab drain tile. The diagnostic procedures had indicated that even a very robust sub-slab pressure field would not produce adequate depressurization in the block walls except at a few places in the back wall. Thus, direct block wall depressurization was utilized, with two suction points on one leg to the front wall, and one suction point on another leg to the back wall. It was diagnostically determined that both wall suction legs operating simultaneously would produce adequate, if not very robust, depressurization in the walls all around the perimeter.

The air flows required for the system to perform adequately necessitated the use of 4 inch pipe in the system, including all three suction legs. Each leg was equipped with a Pitot tube and gate valve as previously described. The main suction pipe exited the structure through the rim joist on an end wall near the back corner, the fan was mounted directly outside and the discharge terminated above the roof.

APPENDIX E
Monitoring and Testing Techniques and Instrumentation

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

Parameter	Location		Estimated Range of Values	Instrument Technology
Temp. & water vapor content	Outdoor Air	T	-30 – 35°C (-22 – 95°F)	Thermistor
		RH	10 – 100%	Thin film capacitance
	Basement Air	T	10 – 30°C (50 -- 86°F)	Thermistor
		RH	10 – 90%	Thin film capacitance
	Microclimate Air	T	10 – 30°C (50 -- 86°F)	Thermistor
		RH	10-100%	Thin film capacitance
	Upstairs Air	T	10 – 35°C (50 – 95°F)	Thermistor
		RH	10 – 90%	Thin film capacitance
	Soil Air	T	5 – 28°C (41 – 82°F)	Thermistor
		RH	30 – 100%	Thin film capacitance
	ASD Air	T	10 – 20°C (50 -- 68°F)	Thermistor
		RH	20 – 90%	Thin film capacitance
Moisture storage	Walls		0.1 to 6% MC	Wood sensor / heated RH
	Floor		0.1 to 6%MC	Wood sensor / heated RH
	Soil		0.1 to 10%MC	Gypsum block
	Finishes		5 to 25% MC wood	Moisture pin
	Furnishings		5 to 25% MC wood	Moisture pin
Diffusion	Walls		10-90%/5 to 25C	RH/T – Δ P_v only
	Floor		10-90%/5 to 25 C	RH/T – Δ P_v only
Radon	Basement air		0.5 - 2000 pCi/L 18 - 74000 Bq/m^3	Pulse ion chamber
	1st & 2nd floor air		"	Pulse ion chamber
	ASD exhaust		10 – 100,000 pCi/L 370 – 3,700,000 Bq/m^3	Scintillation cell, PMT
	Sub-slab		"	Scintillation cell, PMT
	Outside wall		"	Scintillation cell, PMT
Wind speed	Outside house 1		0 - 50 m/s	Anemometer-AC generator
Wind direction	Outside house 1		0 - 360 degrees	Vane-potentiometer
Precipitation	Outside house 1		0 - 3"/hr	Tipping bucket rain gage
Δ P, continuous	Various (see meas. descriptions, above)		From +/- 0.1"WC to 5"WC (25 - 1250 Pa)	Variable capacitance transducer
Δ P, periodic	Pressure field mapping; multiple locations		+/- 1"WC (250 Pa)	Variable capacitance transducer (hand-held digital micromanometer)
House air leakage			0.1 – 15 ACH50	Blower door
Soil gas entry potential (flow & pressure)	Various; (see meas. descriptions, above)	Flow	0 - 1500 f/m (0 - 7 m/s)	Hot wire anemometer
		Pressure	0 - 1"WC (0 - 250 Pa)	Digital micromanometer (above)
ASD system diagnostics & design: Δ P and P_v	Slab, wall (TBD on-site)	Flow	0 – 200 cfm	Pitot tube/digital micro-manometer Hot wire anemometer
		Pressure	0 – 3"WC	Digital micromanometer

APPENDIX F
Description of Electronic Data Files

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

Project Final Report with Appendices (Microsoft Word [doc] and Adobe Acrobat Reader [pdf])

Following are the files comprising the final report:

- Moisture Project Final Report
- Appendix A - Forms
- Appendix B - House Selection Criteria
- Appendix C - ASD Diagnostics Design & Description
- Appendix D - Monitoring & Testing
- Appendix E - Description of Electronic Data
- Appendix F - Conceptual Model
- Appendix G - 14-day Moisture Analysis
- Appendix H - Surface Moisture Measurements

Project Data Files (Microsoft Excel [xls])

PA01_ConvertedData_Final.xls: Data collected and recorded by the data loggers on site at house PA01 that has been screened, filtered, converted, and processed. Some invalid or erroneous data values may remain.

PA02_ConvertedData_Final.xls: Data collected and recorded by the data loggers on site at house PA02 that has been screened, filtered, converted, and processed. Some invalid or erroneous data values may remain.

PA03_ConvertedData_Final.xls: Data collected and recorded by the data loggers on site at house PA03 that has been screened, filtered, converted, and processed. Some invalid or erroneous data values may remain.

Pressure_Field_Extension_Data.xls: All pressure differential data recorded during tests of the extent of pressure field caused by the ASD systems at each house.

Floor_Wall_Joist_Surface.xls: All measurements of surface moisture from all houses using handheld instruments, conducted periodically throughout the study.

Ventilation_Interzonal.xls: Laboratory results of tracer gas concentrations for test from four seasons, along with calculated and summarized ventilation and interzonal flow measurements at all houses.

Harrisburg_Weather_Data.xls: Meteorological data recorded at the Harrisburg, PA airport that covers the field testing period of this study. These data were used as a comparison with on-site measurements made at one of the houses (PA01).

APPENDIX G
Impact of ASD Operation on Basement Moisture Conditions

A Conceptual Model

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

Impact of ASD Operation on Basement Moisture Conditions

A Conceptual Model

March 1, 2006

John F. Straube
Balanced Solutions
Waterloo, Ontario Canada

Bradley H. Turk
Environmental Building Sciences, Inc.
Las Vegas, New Mexico USA

Introduction

The EPA has been aware of anecdotal information on the perception of moisture reduction as a result of ASD operation since the beginning of residential radon mitigation in the mid-1980s. Typical comments from occupants of houses with ASD installed pointed out that musty odors in basements were reduced, dehumidifiers operated less frequently, and wood in paneling, furniture and cabinets had shrunk.

Also, researchers conducting mitigation field studies during this period discovered that certain soils below concrete slabs were drying out from continuous operation of ASD systems. In many situations the drying of soil under slabs created void spaces which enhanced the pressure field extension of the ASD system, the differential pressures across the slab and the overall performance of the system.

A simple, conceptual model is needed to describe the flow of water vapor and the air which carries it through the soil near a building and around the basement structure induced by sub-slab depressurization. The general goal of the model in this study is to help understand and predict the impact of sub slab depressurization on the moisture regime within and immediately around a basement. The model will also be used to estimate boundary conditions so that experimental procedures can be developed and instrumentation specified for the field monitoring phase of the project. A fully-developed model is not in the scope of this project.

Moisture Storage and Transport

The flow of moisture through soil has been extensively studied by many researchers. The flow of gases (particularly unhealthy vapors from man-made organic compounds) has received great attention in the last few decades in response to industrial waste transport. These flows have been driven by natural forces of gravity, capillarity, and concentration gradient. Some research has been conducted on the flow of air and radon gas due to sub slab depressurization. All of this research has concluded that the soil and basement structure has very complex, almost random, variations in properties that result in flow potential variations in the range of two or three orders of magnitude on any given site and as much as 5 or 6 orders of magnitudes between different sites.

Table 1: Moisture Storage Mechanisms

Moisture Form	Storage Location
Free water vapor	In pore volume (porosity)
Adsorbed water vapor	On pore walls (specific surface area)
Capillary condensed water	Held in very small pores
Capillary bound liquid water	Held by surface tension in pores
Unbound liquid water	Held by containment

The moisture content of the soil around a home can vary dramatically with soil type, time of year, site conditions, and basement design. Significant quantities of moisture from all sources can be stored in the soil, and porous building materials such as concrete, wood, and gypsum, by a number of mechanisms. These are summarized in Table 1.

Moisture Storage in Soil and Porous Building Materials

To understand these mechanisms it is important to understand the nature of porous building materials and soil. The pores in these materials range in size from a few mm (between crushed stone) to a few nanometers (between gel sheets within hardened cement paste.). Figure 1 provides some definitions used in describing moisture within porous materials. In general, we apply macroscopic material properties to such porous materials by defining a representative elementary volume (REV) that has similar properties regardless of where the boundaries are drawn.



Figure 1: Micro-porous material containing some water

In almost all cases, the relative humidity is nearly 100% in the soil around a house, since the moisture from precipitation and ground water are distributed by either vapor or capillary flow.

The moisture storage function of a typical porous material is shown in Figure 2. Water vapor is stored in the pores (a small quantity) and adsorbed to the surface of the porous material. This is the primary storage mechanism up to a moisture content in equilibrium of relative humidity of about 95%. Above this, capillary condensation within pores becomes important and then near 100% capillary storage dominates. From the critical moisture content (W_{crit}) to capillary saturation (W_{cap}) the relative humidity is essentially 100%. Soil is within the range of partially saturated to capillary saturated most of the time in essentially all climates.



A: Single-layer of adsorbed molecules
B: Multiple layers of adsorbed molecules
C: Interconnected layers (internal capillary condensation
D: Free water in Pores, capillary suction
E: Supersaturated Regime

Figure 2: Moisture Storage Function for hygroscopic porous material

Moisture Transport through Soil and Building Materials Systems

Moisture is transported by four primary mechanisms:

1. Liquid flow driven by gravity. Flow is in the vertical direction, but significant deviations can occur when very different liquid flow permeabilities are encountered. Significant pressures are required to drive this flow (gravity head provides the pressure) and flow rate is significant in large pored materials. In most cases gravity flow drives surface and ground water to drains around a home. Gravity flow tends to be sporadic (during and shortly after rainfall and snowmelt events), and when it stops, a significant amount of water remains in the smaller pores of the soil.

2. Capillary flow driven by suction gradients. At lower moisture contents, flow occurs between pores driven by differences in suction pressure. This generally means that water will "wick" from areas of high moisture content to low moisture content, but it also means that materials with fine pores (clay soil, concrete foundations) will exert a strong suction and drive water into the small pores. The smaller the pores, the slower the flow. In the case of clay and concrete capillary flow is quite slow.

3. Vapor diffusion driven by vapor pressure gradients. Water and ice will evaporate into unfilled pores. The gas will diffuse through the open pore spaces along a concentration gradient (again, more to less). This process can dominate in large pored materials such as crushed stone since there is little or no capillary suction.
4. Vapor carried along with convective air flow driven by air pressure differences. The air permeability of soil can range over five orders of magnitude, but even small amounts of airflow can transport significant quantities of moisture in vapor form.



Figure 3: Capillary, diffusive, and convective moisture flows in a porous material

As air flows in close proximity to materials, moisture can diffuse as vapor from the surface of the material and from within small pores to the moving air, provided the water vapor pressure of the air is lower than that of the material's surface. The more surface area exposed to the air flow, the more moisture is transported. Hence, air that is drier than the materials (e.g., soil) through which it flows has the potential to provide excellent drying. If the air is drier than the materials, however, the same mechanism will ensure that the air gains moisture from the material.

Hypotheses

It is hypothesized that the drying observed during operation of ASD systems may be attributed to one, or a combination, of several mechanisms. The operation of an ASD may cause three classes of effects due to air flow:

Class 1. Increase the rate of airflow from outdoors to the basement via either the upper levels of the house (including through the rim joist), or through the soil.

Class 2. Increase the rate of airflow from the basement to the soil.

Class 3. Increase the rate of airflow from the outdoors to the vent stack without interacting with the basement air (i.e., air flows only through the soil directly to the ASD suction point).

Class 1

Within Class 1, two practical cases exist (Figure 4). ASD operation may alone, or in combination:

1-a) Reduce the basement air relative humidity (and vapor pressure) by increasing the ventilation rate of the basement with drier air that is indirectly pulled by the ASD from outdoors during dry weather, or from dehumidified interior spaces during hot-humid conditions. This mechanism acts by reducing the indoor basement water vapor concentration, and hence increasing the magnitude of the vapor diffusion rate from furnishings, interior finishes, and foundation materials (increased rate of drying). The ventilation also acts as a sink for the moisture removed. This mechanism could act quickly, in a matter of days to weeks, as it increases the drying capacity and reduces the indoor humidity within hours.

The additional ventilation air would also have the benefit of diluting the airborne concentrations of bio-contaminants and odor-causing metabolites from microbiological infestation, but has the disadvantage of increasing space conditioning energy.

If the source of ventilation air is the outdoors, it is quite possible to cause wetting of interior finishes and an increase in RH during hot humid weather. Although this possibly damaging scenario must be addressed, in many climates, drying will be predominately outward for many months.

The influence on the swing-season RH inside a basement is a function of outdoor air change rate, moisture production rate, and moisture ad/desorption to building materials within the basement.



Figure 4: Class 1 Airflows – Air from outdoors enters the basement by several pathways and is then is exhausted by ASD

1-b) Dry the soil and materials near to foundation walls by increasing the flow rate of dry outdoor air through porous soils and through shrinkage/settling gaps commonly found adjacent to foundation walls. Diffusion and capillary movement of moisture into the foundation from soil surrounding the foundation near the surface would be reduced as the soil moisture content is reduced. Moisture content of interior materials would reduce much more slowly due to this mechanism, as it reduces the wetting potential indirectly (by reducing the moisture content of the source: the soil).

This mechanism may theoretically allow the moving air to collect radon gas or other contaminants (such as water vapor, bio-contaminants) and reduce the basement air quality. However, experience with ASD has not shown a reduction in IAQ, in fact, the opposite is observed. This improvement in IAQ could be due either to the fact that flow scenario 1 b) is not occurring, or that the flow is high enough to dilute and remove indoor air pollutants. Investigations of ASD performance show that, in some cases, radon concentrations in the soil near the building are reduced, presumably by dilution with additional outdoor air drawn through the soil (or by Class 3 flows, below) or with basement air pulled out of the building (2d, below).

Class 2

The natural pressure gradient across the basement walls and floors is from outdoors to indoors for much of the year in many climates. By reversing this natural air pressure gradient ASD operation encourages basement air to flow out through the foundation and into the surrounding materials/soil (Figure 5). This air flow reversal should:

2-a) retard entry of nearly saturated soil air that increases the vapor pressure of the basement air (and hence the RH near the surfaces of basement walls, slabs, and finishes). By reducing this moisture source, a source of wetting is removed, and the interior space RH would drop (as in 1-a) above).



Figure 5: Class 2 Airflows – Basement air is pulled into the surrounding soil, then is exhausted by the ASD.

2-b) inhibit the transport into the basement of biocontaminants and odor-causing metabolites from microbes that are formed in the soils and materials surrounding the basement.

2c) dry basement materials, interior surfaces, construction assemblies (e.g., furred wall cavities), finish materials, furnishings, and other 'microclimates' close to exterior walls and floors as drier basement air passes through them and along side them.

2 d) dry the soil surrounding the exterior of the basement with drier interior air. Diffusion and capillary movement of moisture from these materials into the basement walls and floor would therefore be reduced.

Class 3

Finally, in Class 3 airflows (Figure 6), ASD operation would draw air from outdoors through the soil and to the vent stack without interacting with the basement air. This flow mechanism could dry the soil next to the basement wall and slab, and hence reduce basement wetting.



Figure 6: Class 3 Airflows – Outdoor air is pulled directly to the ASD suction point through the surrounding soil and is then exhausted by the ASD.

In all seven possible mechanisms described above the flow paths are generally complex, mostly accidental and unintended, and the pressures driving the flows are very small (that is, less than 10 Pa) and intermittent, depending on weather conditions. It is likely that many of these mechanisms work in combination, to varying degrees, depending on many house, soil, and meteorological conditions.

It is important to recognize that the ASD is only one mechanical air moving appliance involved in most house systems. The operation of forced air conditioning equipment (air handling units for furnaces and air conditioning) combined with leaky ducts and the operation of unsealed combustion appliances can, and often do, induce significant flows (measured in the 10 to 100 liters per second) and pressures (often 10 to 100 Pa). These flows and pressures are, by their very nature, intermittent and their frequency and duration is weather and system dependent.

Figure 7 shows a range of plausible potential flow paths and directions in and around a basement system. The number of flow paths that can exhibit airflow in either direction should be noted.



Airflow Paths

Figure 7: Potential airflow paths and likely direction (ASD on)

Figure 8 shows the mechanisms other than airflow usually at work moving moisture around a basement. It should be noted that moisture is transported from outside to inside below grade. This is the case since the soil almost always has a higher vapor content than indoor levels. Although this is not always true, the exceptions are rare, especially in mixed or warm climates. Moisture flow by diffusion is typically a very small proportion of the total moisture flow across the above-grade enclosure – airflow almost completely dominates the moisture transport.



Figure 8: Moisture transport due to non-convective flows

A Simple Model

Given some knowledge of the outdoor conditions, transport mechanisms, flow paths, and magnitudes, a simplified model can be used to predict the interior basement water vapor content and RH.

The interior humidity level in a building is in constant flux with the interaction of indoor moisture production, the vapor stored and released from building materials and drying, and the incoming flow of air. The interior vapor pressure, and hence RH, of the basement air can be calculated from the following approximate equation:

$$P_{v,base} = \frac{P_{v,out} \cdot Q_{out} + P_{v,soil} \cdot Q_{soil} + P_{v,up} \cdot Q_{up} + \left(462 \times [t_i + 273] \times G_W\right)}{Q_T} \qquad [1]$$

where:

$P_{v,base}$, $P_{v,out}$, $P_{v,soil}$, and $P_{v,up}$ are the basement, outdoor, soil, and upstairs air vapor pressures respectively [Pa],

G_w is the rate of moisture supply to the basement [kg/hr] due to occupancy and diffusion from the surfaces lining the basement,

t_i is the indoor basement temperature [Celsius], and

Q_T, Q_{out}, Q_{soil}, and Q_{up} are the volumetric flow rates of all incoming, outdoor, soil, and upstairs air (m^3/hr), respectively.

Moisture will desorb or adsorb to the surface materials in the basement in response to the vapor content of the interior air (not the RH).

For water vapor driven by vapor pressure gradients along one dimension, Fick's law can be written as:

$$\frac{dw_x}{d\theta} = -\overline{\mu} \cdot A \cdot \frac{dP_w}{dx} \qquad [2]$$

The quantity of water vapor w_X (ng) per unit time (dw_X) is water vapor flow in the x direction (m) through an area A (m^2) perpendicular to the flow, is equal to the product of the vapor pressure gradient dP_w (Pa/m) and the coefficient, μ (ng / m · Pa · s). This coefficient is defined as the average vapor permeability. The negative sign is a consequence of the fact that vapor flows from high vapor pressures to low vapor pressures. The same equation can be rewritten for the other two Cartesian directions, in three dimensional vector notation, or, if useful, in polar coordinates.

Fick's equation can be simplified to give the rate of vapor flow per unit area, the vapor flux, q_v ($ng/m^2 \cdot s$) as:

$$q_d = h_m \cdot (P_1 - P_{v,base}) \qquad [3]$$

where:

h_m is the surface mass transfer coefficient (about 15,000 $ng/Pa \cdot s \cdot m^2$), and

P_1 and $P_{v,base}$ are the vapor pressure of a surface (one of many) and the basement vapor pressure (Pa).

Although the vapor permeance varies with temperature and RH, an average vapor permeability, μ, can be assumed for many practical building science situations, and Fick's law written as:

$$Q_v = A \cdot \frac{\mu}{l} \cdot (P_{w,1} - P_{w,2}) \qquad [4]$$

where Q_v is the time rate of vapor flow, l is the length of the flow path or thickness of the material, and P_1 and P_2 are the vapor pressures on either side of the material of interest.

It can be observed that the form of Fick's Law for diffusive vapor flow is exactly the same as Fourier's Law for conductive heat flow. In fact, on a general level, conductive heat flow is a diffusive flow process, just like vapor flow, and water and air flow in porous media. Therefore, all of the same forms of equations can be used with different variable names

The vapor pressure of the surface of a material can be found from its RH and temperature. The moisture content of each material is a specific function of relative humidity (see Figure 4??????) and the vapor pressure calculated from

$$P_1 = RH(w) \cdot P_{ws}(T) \quad [5]$$

where:

RH(w) is the relative humidity as a function of moisture content (w),

$P_{ws}(T)$ is the saturation vapor pressure (Pa).

A useful approximate equation for saturation vapor pressure (Pa) over water at a temperature T (in Kelvin) is:

$$P_{ws}(T) = 1000 \cdot e\left(52.58 - \frac{6790.5}{T} - 5.028 \ln T\right) \quad [Pa] \quad [6]$$

where T is the temperature (Kelvin).

The RH of the soil can often be assumed to be at an RH near 100%.

Because a rigorous and reliable theory has yet to be developed, unsaturated flow is often modeled using a phenomenological approach using a moisture content dependent moisture diffusivity, i.e.:

$$m_l = -D_l(w) \cdot \nabla w + D_{T,l}(w) \cdot \nabla T \quad [7]$$

where:

m_l is the liquid moisture mass flux density (kg/m^2·s),

$D_l(w)$ is the moisture content dependent liquid moisture diffusivity (m^2/s),

$D_{T,l}(w)$ is the moisture content dependent thermal liquid diffusivity (m^2/ (K·s)), and

w is the moisture content (kg/m^3).

As for pure Fickian diffusion, the second term (called thermal diffusion or Soret effect) is usually ignored because its effect is one to several orders of magnitude smaller than the isothermal liquid diffusivity. The thermal diffusivity should not be confused with the very significant effects of temperature on vapor and adsorbed moisture flow and the somewhat important impacts of temperature on viscosity and surface tension.

Flow by capillarity and vapor diffusion through solid materials to their surfaces is complex, and dynamic, but this can be simplified by lumped capacitance models for specific circumstances. Computer models such as WUFI have been field verified to have most of the proper physics and numerical capability to predict heat and moisture fields due to liquid transport and vapor diffusion.

Model Results: Example Outputs

Based on Class 1 air flows and the above relationships, basement moisture levels have been modeled for a hypothetical structure in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania (Appendix A). Meteorological data are from Typical Meteorological Year (TMY2) for Harrisburg, summer and fall indoor temperatures and RH are from preliminary monitoring in three Harrisburg study houses, while other data are best estimates (Table 2).

The model does not account for storage, and hence is not dynamic. However, Class 1 airflows are not sensitive to storage, and longer term (weeks) outdoor average conditions were used to "smear" short term variations. The airflow is driven by a number of forces, and has been left as a primary variable. The other important variable is the moisture from other sources, including evaporation from wet materials, human use or occupancy, and diffusion through the wall and floor.

The model assumes that diffusion into the basement is restricted by a one perm resistance. This resistance could be provided by a poor quality poured concrete wall or a block wall. This source of moisture is considered in separate calculations, and is generally not an important source of moisture.

Figure 9 shows the resulting equilibrium RH in the basement air during January for four indoor moisture production rates (including diffusion, occupancy, etc.), and assumes that all air entering the basement is from outdoors. In this representation, additional dry (low absolute humidity ratio) outdoor air during the winter creates a large reduction in basement RH. Adding warm, humid (high absolute humidity ratio) outdoor air in the summer months has less of an impact. In general, these same seasonal differences cause the equilibrium RH in the basement to be lower in the winter and higher in the summer.



Figure 9. Basement equilbrium RH for four moisture production rates, while rates of outdoor air supply are varied during January (Harrisburg, PA). Air flow from other areas is not included.

If 100% of the air entering the basement were from outdoors, the soil, or upstairs (in the absence of other moisture sources), the resulting basement moisture levels can be estimated and are shown in Figure 10. These data indicate that all three air flow sources can produce elevated basement RH, especially for outdoor air during the summer months and air from the soil for all seasons. Conditioned air from upstairs causes slightly elevated basement RH principally due to the cooling of the air when it enters the basement. Air passing through the soil can pick up and deliver to the basement significant amounts of moisture over long periods in the Harrisburg climate – moisture supply rates may be many times greater than 1.0 kg/day (Appendix A).



Figure 10. Basement RH if all entering air originated from one of three areas, for four different months in Harrisburg, PA.

Since the previous two analyses are limited to single sources of air flow, the more likely scenario of multiple air flow sources was explored (Equation1). In this exercise, diffusion through basement walls and floor was assumed to be 0.6 kg/day, with moisture from other sources incorporated into the air flows entering from the soil, upstairs, and outdoors. Three rates of total air flow (3 L/s – 0.06 ACH, 35 L/s – 0.70 ACH, and 100 L/s – 2.0 ach) were studied while the fraction of air entering from the soil (5%, 20%, 50%), outdoors (10 – 95%), and upstairs was varied. Results for January and July are shown in Figures 11a and 11b.

As in Figure 9, increasing the fraction of outdoor air will tend to dry the basement in the winter and add moisture during periods of warm, humid weather. In addition, an increasing fraction of soil air raises basement moisture, regardless of season. Boosting the total ventilation rate of the basement causes a slight drop in basement moisture as the moisture from diffusion is diluted.

Not only do these data illustrate the relative impacts of varying the incoming air flows, but they also hint at the effects of an operating ASD system. By depressurizing the surrounding soil and possibly further depressurizing the basement, ASD may reduce the fraction of air from the soil and increase the fraction of air from the upstairs and outdoors. ASD systems typically exhaust between 25 cfm (11.8 L/s) and 100 cfm (47.2 L/s) to the outdoor air. Anecdotal information from early radon studies suggests that 5-80% of this air originated in the basement, and was pulled out of the building through cracks and openings in the foundation, into the soil, collected by the ASD suction pipe. This gives a range of 1.25 cfm (0.59 L/s) to 80 cfm (37.8 L/s) of basement air that is exhausted. It is likely that this was made up by unknown fractions of air entering from the outdoors and upstairs (Class 1 flows).

To estimate a possible reduction in basement moisture levels due to operation of the ASD system, a pre-mitigation condition of 3 L/s total entering air flow, comprised of 20% soil air/50% outdoor air/30% upstairs air, was assumed. The ASD system was assumed to increase total ventilation to 35 L/s, eliminate entry of soil air, with the incoming air being equally split between the outdoors and upstairs. The humidity ratio dropped from 6.3 to 4.3 g/kg, while the RH declined from 52 to 35% for January. Calculated reductions were also significant in July: the humidity ratio went from 12.9 to 10.4 g/kg, and the RH from 83 to 67%. The data are also displayed in Figure 11 by the '+' and '×' symbols. These results show the potential for ASD to significantly reduce basement moisture levels under the right circumstances – other starting air flow conditions could diminish or enhance the reductions. While moisture reductions in the basement air during ASD operation have been calculated, drying of the materials in close proximity to the foundation may be even more dramatic and important to indoor environmental quality.

These simple modeling exercises do not account for many of the real-world complexities. e.g.:

- Diffusion rates, although typically small, vary as moisture levels in the indoor air change;
- Moisture levels in the basement and upstairs, and to some extent soil, air are interdependent;
- Outdoor air entering through the surrounding soil may not equilibrate at 100% RH after drying of the soil has begun to occur.

In addition, different structures and finishes on the interior of the basement will change both the airflow and vapor diffusion modes of moisture transport. Concrete block walls are suspected more open for air leakage and vapor diffusion. The addition of interior finishes will generally reduce the airflow and diffusive flow of moisture across the basement. The interior finishes will also tend to increase the moisture storage capacity and change the temperature of the soil around the basement. All of these factors are poorly characterized but likely to change the response of a basement to ASD operation.

(a)

(b)



Figure 11(a and b). Basement humidity ratio and RH while fraction of entering air flow from soil, upstairs, and outdoors is varied for three different total air flow rates. '×'s and '+'s symbolize examples of pre- (3 L/s) and post- ASD (35 L/s) operation on basement HR

Implications for an Experimental Program

This modeling exercise has focused on modeling moisture entry, accumulation, and removal in basements where the moisture source is not due to bulk entry of liquid water that could be caused by high water tables, floods, or poorly-designed drainage from and around the building. Development of the model has outlined the possible mechanisms for ASD control of moisture problems in basements, and highlighted that the interactions of house, ASD, and the surrounding environment are complex. While the relative importance of the mechanisms involved in actual houses is not known, the model has established a framework for understanding and interpreting results as data are collected by field measurements.

Application of the model was not extended to examine the sensitivity of basement moisture levels to the many permutations of the interacting factors. Some of these factors include:

- Air Flow and Ventilation
  - Occupant activities and usage: door and window openings, operation of the HVAC and other equipment such as exhaust fans, clothes dryers, fireplaces, and radon control systems
  - Air leakage characteristics of the building envelope, substructure surfaces, and surrounding soils and materials
- Construction Characteristics:
  - Size and number of stories,
  - Construction materials,
  - Drainage,
  - Wall/floor/roof design and construction,
  - Floor separations,
  - Finishes
- Climate and Weather
  - Wind, precipitation, relative humidity, temperature, snow cover
- Other Moisture Sources/Sinks
  - Occupant activities and usage: cooking, showers, furnishings, number of occupants, humidifiers/dehumidifiers

While the ranges of parameter values, that are surrogates for the above factors, have been estimated based on the authors' experience (Table 2), field measurements in houses are lacking and necessary. Therefore, the experimental phase of this study is exploratory: there is little available quantitative data on the response of air flows and moisture in basements to ASD operation. As a result, experimental protocols must be developed and validated, key parameters must be identified and measured, and the impacts of ASD operation on air flows and basement moisture levels quantified.

The key parameters to be measured probably are moisture levels in air and materials, air flows and pressure differences, and indoor radon concentrations. The value of each of these variables will change in space and time, and will respond differently as the ASD is turned on and off. As indicated by the example outputs of this simple model, identification and quantification of interzonal air flows is of vital importance. These input and data were not emphasized during a planning session by experts, but will provide vital information regarding the supply and removal of moisture with the movement of air.

Response times for air pressure (and air movement) and radon levels are reasonably well-characterized. For example, changes in ASD operation typically causes air pressure changes within seconds to minutes, and changes in radon levels usually within 24 hours. Other effects, such as changes in barometric pressure and outdoor temperature, usually cause responses within minutes to days. Response times in moisture levels due to ASD operation have not been measured, but are expected to vary from hours to months, depending on the materials and the actual airflow paths and rates. The moisture content of the air and at the surface of unfinished wood exposed to the basement air should change quickly, whereas the wood in the center of a stud behind a panel finish may take weeks to react to a significant change in interior air moisture levels. Soil and concrete walls and floors have an even longer time constant, and moisture changes will usually require months or even years to be significant.

It is anticipated that monitoring and analyzing these moisture responses will provide important data on the response behaviors of the assembled building components, and offer insights into the dominant mechanisms for moisture control by ASD. For instance, a very rapid change in air moisture levels probably indicates that drier ventilation air has been introduced. Quick changes in the moisture level of soil or foundation materials will suggest that other air flow paths are participating in the drying.

Table 2: Key Model Parameters and Estimated Range of Values

Key Parameters			Related Parameters	Estimated Range of Values	Test Procedures/Device(s)
Air Flow In/Out of Basement:	Outdoor			0.03 – 2.0 L/s-m^2 * (0.01 -- 0.40 cfm/ft^2) (0.05 – 3.0 ach)	• Tracer Gas • Air Leakage Area - Blower Door • Diff. Pressures – Transducer
	Upstairs			0.03 – 2.0 L/s-m^2 * (0.01 -- 0.40 cfm/ft^2) (0.05 – 3.0 ach)	• Tracer Gas • Air Leakage Area - Blower Door • Diff. Pressures – Transducer
	Soil:			0.003 – 0.17 L/s-m^2 ** (0.7x10^{-5} – 0.03 cfm/ft^2) 10^{-10} – 10^{-5} m^3/Pa-s‡	• Diff. Pressures - Transducer • Effective Resistances (floor, soil) • Soil Gas Entry Potential
			ASD Air Flow	0 – 50 L/s (0 – 100 cfm)	• Velocity Pressures - Transducer • Diff. Pressures - Transducer • Radon Concentrations - CRM • Tracer Gas
			Wind Speed	0 – 30 m/s (0 – 67 mph)	Cup Anemometer
			Wind Direction	0 – 360	Wind Vane
			Barometric Pressure	98 – 104 kPa (29 – 31 in Hg)	Pressure Transducer
			Soil Air Permeability	10^{-14} – 10^{-8} m^2	Soil Air Permeameter
Temperature & Water Vapor Content:	Outdoor Air:	T		-30 – 35°C (-22 – 95°F)	Thermistor
		RH		10 – 100%	Thin film capacitance
	Basement Air:	T		10 – 30°C (50 – 86°F)	Thermistor
		RH		10 – 90%	Thin film capacitance
	Microclimate Air:	T		10 – 30°C (50 -- 86°F)	Thermistor
		RH		10-100%	Thin film capacitance
	Upstairs Air:	T		10 – 35°C (50 – 95°F)	Thermistor
		RH		10 – 90%	Thin film capacitance
	Soil Air:	T		5 – 28°C (41 – 82°F)	Thermistor
		RH		30 – 100%	Thin film capacitance
	ASD Air:	T		10 – 20°C (50 -- 68°F)	Thermistor
		RH		20 – 90%	Thin film capacitance
Moisture Storage:	Walls			0.1 to 6% MC	Wood sensor / heated RH
	Floor			0.1 to 6% MC	Wood sensor / heated RH
	Soil:			0.1 to 10% MC	Gypsum block
			Precipitation	0.25 – 250 mm/day (0.01 – 10 in/day)	Tipping Bucket Rain Gage
	Finishes			5 to 25% MC wood	Moisture pin
	Furnishings			5 to 25% MC wood	Moisture pin
Diffusion:	Walls			10-90%/5 to 25C	RH/T – delta P_v only
	Floor			10-90%/5 to 25 C	RH/T – delta P_v only

* Based on 140 m^2 (1500 ft$^{2)}$ basement with 2.44 m (8 ft) ceilings
** Assuming 1 to 50% of incoming ventilation air, at 0.05 to 0.5 ach, is from the soil
‡ Soil gas entry potential

Appendix A: Inputs to Simple Model

EPA Simple Model of a Ventilated Basement

Basement Data

Length	9	m	29.5 ft		
Width	8	m	26.2 ft		
Height	2.5	m	8.20 ft		
Permeance of interior	60	ng/Pa s m^2		1.05	US Perms Kraft paper is around 1 perm
	Jan	Apr	Jul	Oct	
Temperature, C	17	17	21	18	
Temperature, F	62.6	62.6	69.8	64.4	
calculated values					
Saturation, Pa	1928	1928	2474	2053	
Area	72	m^2	775 ft^2		
Volume:	180	m^3	6366 ft^3		
Wall:	85	m^2	915 ft^2		
Floor	72	m^2	775 ft^2		
Surface Area:	157	m^2	1689 ft^2		

Upstairs Air Conditions - Estimated

	$P_{v,out}$ (Pa)	Temp (C)	RH	$P_{v,out, sat}$ (Pa)	W (g/kg)	Temp (F)
January	990	21	40%	2474	6.1	69.8
April	990	21	40%	2474	6.1	69.8
July	1336	24	45%	2969	8.3	75.2
October	1184	22	45%	2631	7.4	71.6

Weather Conditions, Harrisburg, PA - Outdoor Air

	$P_{v,out}$ (Pa)	Temp (C)	RH	$P_{v,out, sat}$ (Pa)	W (g/kg)	Temp (F)
January	337	-1.0	59.6%	566	2.1	30.2
April	726	9.7	60.6%	1197	4.5	49.5
July	1928	24.4	63.6%	3033	12.1	75.8
October	1070	12.6	73.6%	1455	6.6	54.7

Soil Air Conditions - Estimated

	$P_{v,out}$ (Pa)	Temp (C)	RH	$P_{v,out, sat}$ (Pa)	W (g/kg)	Temp (F)
January	1221	10	100%	1221	7.6	50.0
April	1395	12	100%	1395	8.7	53.6
July	1928	17	100%	1928	12.1	62.6
October	1590	14	100%	1590	9.9	57.2

Soil Air Moisture Contribution

If air flows from outside to the basement through soil and picks up all possible moisture then

Total flow through soil				kg/day of moisture added to outdoor air by passage through soil and heating to soil temp			
cfm	L/s	L/s-m2	ACH	**January**	**April**	**July**	**October**
5	2.4	0.03	0.05	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.8
10	4.7	0.07	0.09	2.7	2.1	0.0	1.6
20	9.4	0.13	0.19	5.4	4.1	0.0	3.2
40	18.9	0.26	0.38	10.8	8.2	0.0	6.4
75	35.4	0.49	0.71	20.2	15.4	0.0	12.0
125	59.0	0.82	1.18	33.7	25.7	0.0	20.0
200	94.4	1.31	1.89	53.9	41.1	0.0	32.1

Hence, air flow through soil has the potential to add large amounts of moisture to basement in some situations -- high flow through soil (over 20 cfm) and cooler weather

APPENDIX H
Summary of 14-Day Mean Daily Moisture Changes

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

14-Day Mean Daily Moisture Changes

A 14-day trend analysis was performed on the moisture data from the basement air and wall and floor clusters at each house. Similar to the 7-day analysis, an auto-regression was performed on the first 14-days of cycles at least 14 days in length. Results are aggregated and reported in Figures H1 – H3. Compared with the 7-day analysis, these data typically show smaller rates of change, both during ASD Off (usually increasing) and ASD On (usually decreasing). This result reflects the pattern of moisture levels changing rapidly immediately after a change in ASD system operation followed by a gradually decreasing change over time as the house and materials try to reach a new moisture equilibrium.

Sealing of the perimeter wall/floor joint at PA01 appears to have diminished the effectiveness of the ASD system in reducing moisture (Figure H1), perhaps by limiting the amount of basement air passing through this crack and diluting the moisture levels in the surrounding materials.



Figure H1. *Summary of arithmetic mean daily change for first 14 days of period in basement moisture levels in the air, walls, and slab floor at house PA01 during ASD cycling. The statistical significance of the difference (p) between 'off' and 'on' is indicated in the box below, along with the number of 'off' and 'on' cycles (out of total) with a rate of change greater than and less than 0, respectively. For walls and floors, data from a number of different locations are aggregated, as reflected in the total number of cycles. Data include summer and non-summer periods from November 2005 through August 2006.*



Figure H2. *Mean daily changes for first 14 days of period in basement moisture at house PA02 for air, block walls, and slab floors. These data are for December 2005 through January 2007, and include periods when the ASD operation was reduced to a single pipe. Note the change in scale for the y-axis as compared with house PA01.*



Figure H3. *Mean daily changes for first 14 days of period in basement moisture at PA03 for December 2005 through January 2007, where single-pipe, reduced ASD operation is included.*

APPENDIX I
Summaries of Handheld Surface Moisture Measurement Data

Exploratory Study of Basement Moisture During Operation of ASD Radon Control Systems

Contractor Report to EPA

December 6, 2007

Surface Measurement Testing Schedules

PA01 Testing Schedule
Baseline (5/9/2005): No ASD operation
4/4/2006: ASD on 14 days prior to measurements
7/21/2006: ASD on 72 days prior to measurements
10/2/2006: ASD off 6 days prior to measurements

PA02 Testing Schedule
Baseline (7/14/2005): No ASD operation
3/28/2006: ASD on 14 days prior to measurements
7/19/2006: ASD on 16 days prior to measurements
11/28/2006: ASD off 14 days prior to measurements
12/19/2006: ASD on 21 days prior to measurements

PA03 Testing Schedule
Baseline (7/18/2005): No ASD operation
4/11/2006: ASD on 14 days prior to measurements
7/20/2006: ASD on 2 days prior to measurements
12/12/2006: ASD off 14 days prior to measurements
01/02/2007: ASD on (modified) 14 days prior to measurements

Basement Floor Measurement Results

Table 1a, Average Basement Floor Moisture (%) Measurements for PA01

Location	Baseline 5/9/2005	4/4/2006	7/21/2006	10/2/2006	Avg
SW Perimeter	4.88	3.97	4.77	4.72	4.58
NW Perimeter	4.65	3.84	4.48	4.41	4.34
NE Perimeter	4.48	3.48	4.72	4.38	4.26
SE Perimeter	4.41	3.8	4.38	4.52	4.27
Perimeter Avg	4.61	3.77	4.58	4.51	---
Slab Center	4.36	3.52	4.33	4.45	---
Center & Perimeter Avg.	4.56	3.72	4.34	4.50	---

Table 1b. Average Basement Floor Moisture (%) Measurements for PA02

Location	Baseline 7/14/2005	3/28/2006	7/19/2006	11/28/2006	12/19/2006	Average
SW Perimeter	3.32	1.48	3.18	2.80	1.99	2.55
NW Perimeter	3.40	1.32	3.08	No Data	1.94	2.44
NE Perimeter	3.58	1.32	3.00	2.17	1.86	2.39
SE Perimeter	2.77	1.34	2.86	2.89	1.81	2.33
Perimeter Avg	3.27	1.37	3.03	2.62	1.90	---
Slab Center	4.68	3.48	4.68	4.10	3.80	---
Center & Perimeter Avg.	3.98	2.43	3.86	3.36	2.85	---

Table 1c, Average Basement Floor Moisture Measurements for PA03

Location	Baseline 7/18/2005	4/11/2006	7/20/2006	12/12/2006	1/02/2007	Avg
SW Perimeter	4.70	3.68	4.46	3.68	3.67	4.03
NW Perimeter	5.06	3.66	4.66	3.84	3.80	4.20
NE Perimeter	4.80	3.56	4.49	3.67	3.70	4.04
SE Perimeter	5.06	4.10	4.96	4.08	4.16	4.47
Perimeter Avg.	4.91	3.75	4.64	3.82	3.83	---
Slab Center	4.03	3.33	3.97	3.50	3.63	---
Center & Perimeter Avg.	4.47	3.54	4.31	3.66	3.73	---

Basement Wall Measurement Results by Height

Table 2a, Average Wall Moisture (%) Measurements vs. Height for PA01

Height from Top of Wall	Baseline 5/9/2005	4/4/2006	7/21/2006	10/3/2006	Avg.
3”	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.35
33”	2.7	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.53
63”	2.7	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.58
93”	2.8	2.2	2.8	2.7	2.63
Avg.	2.65	2.18	2.63	2.63	---

Table 2b, Average Wall Moisture (%) Measurements vs. Height for PA02

Height from Top of Wall	Baseline 7/14/2005	3/28/2006	7/19/2006	11/28/2006	12/19/2006	Avg.
5”	1.66	1.07	1.74	1.33	1.08	1.38
36”	2.95	1.42	2.74	2.55	1.78	2.29
60”	3.21	1.38	2.89	2.57	1.88	2.39
91”	5.75	1.69	4.95	4.06	3.20	3.93
Avg.	3.39	1.39	3.08	2.63	1.99	---

Table 2c, Average Wall Moisture Measurements vs. Height for PA03

Height from Top of Wall	Baseline, 7/18/2005	4/11/2006	7/20/2006	12/12/2006	1/02/2007	Avg.
6”	3.3	2.26	3.3	2.9	3.1	2.35
39”	3.8	2.9	3.7	3.3	3.6	2.53
63”	3.7	3.0	3.9	3.4	3.5	2.58
85”	3.42	3.1	4.1	3.4	3.6	2.63
Avg.	3.75	2.82	3.75	3.25	3.45	---

Basement Wall Measurement Results by Wall Location

Table 3a, Average Wall Moisture (%) Measurements for PA01

Wall ID	Baseline 5/9/2005	4/4/2006	7/21/2006	10/3/2006	Avg.
NW Wall	2.63	2.18	2.67	2.59	2.52
NE Wall	2.68	2.14	2.64	2.62	2.52
SE Wall	2.66	2.16	2.60	2.60	2.51
SW Wall	2.71	2.20	2.64	2.63	2.50
Avg.	2.67	2.17	2.64	2.61	---

Table 3b, Average Wall Moisture (%) Measurements for PA02

Wall ID	Baseline 7/14/2005	3/28/2006	7/19/2006	11/28/2006	12/19/2006	Avg.
NW Wall	3.40	1.32	3.08	No data	1.88	2.42
NE Wall	3.58	1.30	3.00	2.03	1.86	2.35
SE Wall	3.04	1.39	2.86	3.12	1.95	2.47
SW Wall	3.32	1.47	3.20	2.79	1.99	2.55
Avg.	3.34	1.37	3.04	2.64	1.92	---

Table 3c, Average Wall Moisture Measurements for PA03

Wall ID	Baseline 7/18/2005	4/11/2006	7/20/2006	12/12/2006	1/02/2007	Avg.
NW Wall	3.59	2.49	3.53	3.02	3.10	3.15
NE Wall	4.04	3.31	4.11	3.46	3.66	3.72
SE Wall	3.75	2.76	3.78	3.44	3.63	3.47
SW Wall	3.59	2.64	3.67	3.24	3.53	3.47
Avg.	3.74	2.80	3.77	3.29	3.48	---

Basement Ceiling Wood Joist Measurements

Table 4a, Average Basement Ceiling Joist Moisture (%) Measurements for PA01

Date	Avg. Moisture %
5/9/2005	9.4
4/4/2006	8.0
7/21/2006	9.7
10/2/2006	10.3

Table 4b, Average Basement Ceiling Joist Moisture (%) Measurements for PA02

Date	Avg. Moisture %
7/14/2005	10.6
3/28/2006	8.1
7/19/2006	11.4
11/28/2006	9.78
12/19/2006	7.72

Table 4c, Average Basement Ceiling Joist Moisture Measurements for PA03

Date	Avg. Moisture %
7/18/2005	11.1
4/11/2006	8.1
7/20/2006	11.8
12/12/2006	8.1
1/02/2007	9.1