ftp.fcc.gov



Heavel Communications Smission 1918 M Stroet, J.W Washington, D. C. 20554

This is an unofficial announcement of Commission action. Release of the full text of a Commission order constitutes official action. See MCI v. FCC. 515 F 2d 385 (D.C. Circ 1974).

Report No. IN 98-18

INTERNATIONAL ACTION

April 24, 1998

COMMISSION DECLARES COMSAT NON-DOMINANT IN COMPETITIVE MARKETS; ISSUES NPRM ON TRANSITION FROM RATE OF RETURN REGULATION TO ALTERNATIVE INCENTIVE-BASED REGULATION IN NON-COMPETITIVE MARKETS AND COMMITS TO EXAMINE DIRECT ACCESS IN FUTURE PROCEEDING (IB DOCKET 98-60)

The Commission has granted the request of Comsat Corporation for reclassification as a non-dominant common carrier in five product markets, which account for approximately 85% of Comsat's INTELSAT revenues. Specifically, the Commission found Comsat non-dominant in the provision of INTELSAT switched voice, private line, and occasional-use video services to markets that it determined to be competitive. It also found Comsat non-dominant in the provision of full-time video and earth station services in all markets. In the markets where Comsat has been reclassified as non-dominant, Comsat will be allowed to file tariffs on one day's notice, without economic cost support, in the same form as filed by other non-dominant common carriers, and the tariffs will be presumed lawful. By virtue of finding Comsat non-dominant in these markets, the Commission is eliminating rate of return regulation in these markets.

The Commission also indicated it expeditiously would initiate a proceeding to explore the legal, economic and policy implications of enabling users to have direct access to the INTELSAT system. Approximately 94 other countries permit direct access to the INTELSAT system.

The Commission denied Comsat's non-dominant reclassification request with respect to switched voice, private line and occasional-use video services to non-competitive markets where it found that Comsat remains dominant. It also denied Comsat's request that the Commission forbear under Section 10 of the Communications Act from enforcing the Commission's dominant common carrier tariff rules in non-competitive markets. The Commission considered but rejected Comsat's three-year "price cap" and "uniform pricing" proposals for these markets, and found that Comsat did not satisfy the statutory requirements for forbearance relief under the circumstances. The Commission indicated, however, that it would favorably consider in its analysis of any forbearance request a commitment by Comsat to (a) allow U.S. carriers and users to obtain Level-3 direct access to the INTELSAT system and (b) make an appropriate waiver of its INTELSAT derived immunity from suit and legal process. Such actions would promote competitive market conditions in the INTELSAT markets in which Comsat remains dominant.

The Commission also indicated that it will consider replacing rate of return regulation for Comsat's dominant markets with an alternative form of incentive-based regulation and, as part of its reclassification decision, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeking public comment on its tentative conclusions that any alternative incentive-based regulation plan

to be adopted should (a) enable users on non-competitive routes to benefit from competitive rates; (b) remain in effect indefinitely; and (c) allow users to benefit from reduced rates due to increases in efficiency and productivity. Comsat will be subject to alternative incentive-based regulation once such regulation is adopted in this proceeding.

Finally, the Commission found that Comsat's continued dominance in the provision of switched voice, private line and occasional-use video services to non-competitive markets was an insufficient basis for continuing to require structural separation between Comsat's INTELSAT services and other activities. It concluded that the costs of imposing such a requirement would exceed any potential benefits to competition. The Commission granted Comsat's request for the elimination of structural separation for its INTELSAT services because structural separation is no longer necessary to safeguard Comsat's competitors from Comsat leveraging its monopoly jurisdictional services to gain an advantage in competitive markets in which it is operating.

The 63 countries in which Comsat will continue to be considered dominant for switched voice and private line services are:

- 1. Algeria
- 2. American Samoa
- 3. Angola
- 4. Armenia
- 5. Azerbaijan
- 6. Benin
- 7. Bolivia
- 8. Bosnia & Herzegovina
- 9. Botswana
- 10. Burkina
- 11. Cameroon
- 12. Cape Verde
- 13. Central African Republic
- 14. Chad
- 15. Congo
- 16. Cote d'Ivoire
- 17. Estonia
- 18. Ethiopia
- 19. French Polynesia
- 20. Gabon
- 21. Ghana
- 22. Guinea
- 23. Iran
- 24. Iraq
- 25. Jordan
- 26. Kenya
- 27. Lesotho28. Libya
- 29. Lithuania
- 30. Malawi
- 31. Mali
- 32. Maritime -Atlantic
- 33. Maritime -Pacific
- 34. Mauritania
- 35. Mauritius

- 36. Micronesia, Federated States of
- 37. Midway Atoll
- 38. Moldova
- 39. Mozambique
- 40. Namibia
- 41. Nauru
- 42. New Caledonia
- 43. Nicaragua
- 44. Niger
- 45. Northern Mariana Islands
- 46. Pacific Islands (Palau)
- 47. Paraguay
- 48. Rwanda
- 49. Saint Helena
- 50. Senegal
- 51. Sierra Leone
- 52. Somalia
- 53. Sudan
- 54. Suriname
- 55. Swaziland
- 56. Tanzania
- 57. Togo
- 58. Tonga
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- 60. Uganda
- 61. Western Samoa
- 62. Zaire
- 63. Zambia

The 142 countries in which Comsat will continue to be considered dominant for occasional-use video service are:

South America	Malta	Eq. Guinea
Calumbia	Norway	Ethiopia
Columbia Franch Guiana		Gabon
French Guiana	E4 E	Gambia
Guyana	Eastern Europe	Ghana Guinea
Paraguay	A Un amin	Cumuu
Suriname	Albania	Guinea-Bissau
Trinidad & Tobago	Belarus	Ivory Coasat
	Bulgaria	Kenya
	Czech Republic	Lesotho
Central America/	Estonia	Liberia
Caribbean	Lithuania	Libya
	Macedonia	Madagascar
Anguilla	Moldova	Malawi
Antigua	Russia	Mali
Aruba	Serbia	Mauritania
Bahamas	Slovenia	Mauritius
Belize		Morocco
Bermuda	Middle East	Mozambique
British Virgin Islands		Namibia
Cayman Islands	Bahrain	Niger
Chagos Archipelago	Iran	Nigeria
	Israel	Rwanda
Costa Rica	Jordan	Saint Helana
Dominica	Kuwait	Sao Tome
Dominican Republic	Lebanon	Senegal
El Salvador	Oman	Sierra Leone
Gibraltar	Qatar	Somalia
Grenada	Saudi Arabia	South Africa
Guadeloupe	Syria	Sudan
Guatemala	United Arab Emirates	Swaziland
Haiti	Yemen	Tanzania
Honduras	Telliell	
Martinique		Togo Tunisia
Montserrat	Africa	
Netherlands Antilles	Airica	Uganda Zaire
	Alexade	
Panama	Algeria	Zambia
Saint Kitts & Nevis	Angola	Zimbabwe
Saint Lucia	Benin	
Saint Vincent	Botswana	a
Turks & Caicos	Burkina Faso	Central Asia
	Burundi	
	Cameroon	Afghanistan
	Cape Verde	Armenia
	Central African Republic	Azerbaijan
Western Europe	Chad	Georgia
	Congo	Kazakhstan
Cyprus	Dem Rep Congo	Kyrgystan
Greenland	Djibouti	Mongola
Iceland	Egypt	Myanmar

Tajikistan Turkmenistan Uzbekistan

South Asia

Bangladesh India Maldives Nepal Pakistan Sri Lanka

Far East

Brunei Cambodia Laos Malaysia North Korea South Korea Thailand Vietnam

Pacific Rim

American Somoa Fiji French Polynesia Macau Marshall Islands Micronesia Midway Islands Nauru New Caledonia New Zealand Palau Papua New Guinea Tonga Vanatu

Western Somoa

Action by the Commission April 24, 1998, by Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 98-78). Chairman Kennard, Commissioners Ness, Furchtgott-Roth, Powell and Tristani.

- FCC -

News Media contact: Rosemary Kimball at (202) 418-0500. International Bureau contacts: Jim Ball - (202) 418-0427 Peter Pappas - (202) 418-0746 Dan Connors - (202) 418-0755