UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PLAINTIFF,

V. : C.A. NO. 98-1232

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.,

PLAINTIFFS,

V. : C.A. NO. 98-1223

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

DEFENDANT.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF, :

V.

DENNIS C. VACCO, ET AL.,

VOLUME 37-B

TRANSCRIBED DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

COURT REPORTER:

DAVID A. KASDAN, RMR

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC.

507 C STREET, N.E.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003

(202) 546-6666

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT

(DEPOSITION EXCERPTS OF CHRISTOPHER JONES.)

Q. LET ME GO BACK AND TRY TO ASK MY
ORIGINAL QUESTION AS BEST I CAN WITH THAT
EXPLANATION IN MIND. AND IF THE WAY I DO IT
DOESN'T WORK, LET ME KNOW.

RELATIVE TO WHAT YOU UNDERSTAND--LET ME
FIRST ASK YOU THE WAY THAT YOU HAVE THE MOST
INFORMATION TO ANSWER IT. IF I WERE TO ASK YOU
THE DIFFERENCES IN INTERNET EXPLORER IN
WINDOWS 98 AS IT'S CURRENTLY CONTEMPLATED
SHIPPING, WHATEVER RELEASE CANDIDATE VERSION IS
OUT, IF I WANTED TO COMPARE THAT TO INTERNET
EXPLORER SEPARATE FROM WINDOWS 98, WOULD YOUR
KNOWLEDGE BE BEST COMPARING IE 4.01 TO WINDOWS 98
OR SERVICE PACK 1 TO WINDOWS 98?

- A. I COULD COMPARE SERVICE PACK 1 TO WINDOWS 98.
- Q. TELL ME WHAT, IF ANYTHING, IN INTERNET EXPLORER 4 THAT WILL SHIP WITH WINDOWS 98 IS DIFFERENT FROM WHAT IS IN SERVICE PACK 1.
- A. IT'S--THE COMPONENTS THEMSELVES ARE
 BASICALLY THE SAME. THE ONLY EXCEPTION THAT I
 KNOW OF--AND AGAIN, THIS IS TO THE BEST OF MY
 KNOWLEDGE--THE ONLY EXCEPTIONS THAT I KNOW OF ARE
 HOW THE DLL'S ARE PERFORMANCE-TUNED. THERE IS A

WAY THAT YOU CAN REARRANGE CODE SEGMENTS TO
MINIMIZE WORKING SET AND TO MAXIMIZE--ACTUALLY,
YOU DON'T WANT TO--TO MAKE AS SMALL AS POSSIBLE
THE START TIME AND THE MEMORY ALLOCATIONS. SO,
FOR DIFFERENT SYSTEMS, WE OCCASIONALLY DO
DIFFERENT TYPES OF TUNING.

THE MAIN DIFFERENCE, THOUGH, IS THAT
WINDOWS 98--A LOT OF THE OTHER PARTS OF
WINDOWS 98 RELY HEAVILY ON THE INTERNET EXPLORER
TECHNOLOGIES TO DELIVER WHAT THEY WANT TO
CUSTOMERS. AND SO IT'S LESS A DIFFERENCE OF WHAT
IS DELIVERED IN WINDOWS 98 FROM MY TEAM AND MORE
A DIFFERENCE OF WHAT ELSE IN WINDOWS 98 DEPENDS
ON WHAT IS DELIVERED FROM MY TEAM.

- Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT
 PARTS OF WINDOWS 98 USE OR RELY ON INTERNET
 EXPLORER TECHNOLOGIES THAT DON'T EXIST WHEN
 INTERNET EXPLORER 4 IS RUNNING ON WINDOWS 95?
- A. THE TWO FEATURES I'M MOST FAMILIAR
 WITH--AND THERE ARE PROBABLY MORE--ONE IS THE
 WINDOWS UPDATE TECHNOLOGIES, AND THE SECOND IS
 THE HTML HELP AND EXTENDED HELP SERVICES.
- Q. OKAY. LET ME JUST ASK YOU A BIT ABOUT EACH OF THOSE. CAN YOU DESCRIBE VERY GENERALLY WHAT THE WINDOWS UPDATE FEATURE IS.

- A. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THE WINDOWS UPDATE FEATURE IS A WAY FOR WINDOWS 98 USERS TO GET CONSISTENT UPDATES TO THEIR SYSTEM WHEN BUG FIXES AND SECURITY FIXES AND NEW FUNCTIONALITY BECOMES AVAILABLE THAT THEY CAN TAKE ADVANTAGE OF.
- Q. AND IN JUST SORT OF THE BARE OUTLINE FORM, WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW IT WORKS?
- A. MY UNDERSTANDING OF HOW IT WORKS IS
 THERE'S A WEB SITE WHICH IS ABLE TO ASK YOU FOR
 INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR MACHINE AND THEN REGISTER
 THAT, AND THEN AS YOU CONNECT TO THAT WEB SITE TO
 LOOK UP IN A DATABASE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT HAS
 CHANGED ABOUT ITS COMPONENTS THAT MIGHT BE OF
 INTEREST TO YOU AND PRESENT THOSE CHOICES TO YOU.
- Q. AND THE HTML HELP FEATURE THAT YOU DESCRIBED, CAN YOU TELL ME A LITTLE MORE GENERALLY ABOUT IT.
- A. HTML HELP IS AN ENGINE FOR DISPLAYING
 HELP TOPICS AND HELP INFORMATION THAT ANYBODY CAN
 GO AND USE TO TARGET AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL
 DOCUMENTATION ABOUT THEIR APPLICATION IN
 ELECTRONIC FORM.
- Q. AND WHAT IS THE ROLE OF INTERNET EXPLORER, OR WHAT YOU REFER TO AS INTERNET

	33*
1	EXPLORER TECHNOLOGIES, IN WORKING OF THE HTML
2	HELP FEATURE?
3	A. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT HTML HELP
4	HOSTS THE SHDOCVW COMPONENTS AND USES THAT TO
5	DISPLAY TOPICS AND INFORMATION.
6	(EXCERPT.)
7	Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, IS THE MSHTML.DLL
8	THAT IS IN IE 4.01 DIFFERENT IN ANY WAY FROM THAT
9	DLL IN WINDOWS 98?
10	A. YES, IT IS. I DON'T KNOW THE
11	SPECIFICS. WE DID MAKE, IN PARTICULAR, SOME
12	FIXES FOR CUSTOMERS WHO WANT TO HOST TRIDENT AS
13	AN EDITOR.
14	Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY "HOST TRIDENT AS AN
15	EDITOR," CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN.
16	A. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THIRD-PARTY ISV'S
17	THAT INSTEAD OF HAVING TO WRITE THEIR OWN HTML
18	EDITOR, THEY ACTUALLY CAN HOST MSHTML.DLL, AND IT
19	PROVIDES EDITING CAPABILITIES INTO THEIR
20	APPLICATION.
21	Q. AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, IS DIFFERENT
22	ABOUT THAT CAPABILITY IN WINDOWS 98 VERSUS
23	IE 4.01?
24	A. BUG FIXES. AGAIN, TO THE BEST OF MY
25	KNOWLEDGE, BUG FIXES.

1	Q. AND TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WILL THOSE SAME
2	BUG FIXES REFLECTED IN WINDOWS 98 BE INCLUDED IN
3	WHAT IS IN SERVICE PACK 1?
4	A. YES, THEY WILL BE. AGAIN, TO MY
5	KNOWLEDGE.
6	Q. DOES MICROSOFT, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE,
7	CURRENTLY MAKE AVAILABLE TO OR ALLOW ISV'S TO
8	REDISTRIBUTE MSHTML.DLL WITH THEIR APPLICATIONS
9	WHICH MIGHT CALL ON IT IN SOME WAY?
10	A. WE DO ALLOW REDISTRIBUTION. WE ONLY
11	ALLOW THAT REDISTRIBUTION WITHBASICALLY, YOU
12	HAVE TO INSTALL THE INTERNET EXPLORER 4 UPDATE
13	PACK IN ORDER TO GET THAT CAPABILITY ONTO A
14	SYSTEM.
15	Q. WHEN YOU SAY THE UPDATE PACK, WHAT DO
16	YOU MEAN?
17	A. IT'S JUST THE COLLECTION OF COMPONENTS
18	THAT IS INTERNET EXPLORER 4. WE PROVIDE IT IN A
19	SELF-EXTRACTING EXECUTABLE FORMAT THAT THEY CAN
20	INVOKE THAT WILL MAKE THE RIGHT SETUP CHANGES AND
21	MAKE SURE THE SYSTEM RUNS EFFECTIVELY AND
22	FUNCTIONS.
23	Q. SO, IS IT ACCURATE TO SAY IN ORDER TO
24	REDISTRIBUTE MSHTML.DLL MSHTML, AN ISV HAS TO
25	ESSENTIALLY REDISTRIBUTE ALL OF IE 4?

MR. HOLLEY: OBJECT TO THE QUESTION AS VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.

GO AHEAD AND ANSWER IT.

THE WITNESS: THEY HAVE TO DISTRIBUTE ALL THE COMPONENTS THAT MY GROUP BUILDS, PLUS SOME OTHER ONES.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. DO YOU KNOW, WAS THERE A VERSION OF WINDOWS 98 THAT WAS RELEASED TO AT LEAST SOME DEVELOPERS, SOME PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF MICROSOFT, BEFORE AN OFFICIAL BETA VERSION WHICH DID NOT HAVE THE INTERNET EXPLORER COMPONENT ACTUALLY IN THERE WITH THE REST OF WINDOWS 98?
 - A. MY RECOLLECTION IS THERE WAS.
- Q. TELL ME GENERALLY WHAT YOU RECALL ABOUT THAT.
- A. WHAT I RECALL IS THEY SHIPPED A VERSION
 TO HARDWARE MANUFACTURERS TO GET THEM THE ABILITY
 TO GET DEVICE DRIVERS UP AND RUNNING. AND THEY
 NEEDED TO GO GET THAT OUT QUICKLY.
- Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY WHAT VERSION WAS SHIPPED?
- A. NO, I DON'T. I'D HAVE TO GO BACK AND FIGURE IT OUT.
 - Q. WHY--AGAIN, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, WHY DID

THAT--WHY WAS THAT VERSION SHIPPED WITHOUT
INTERNET EXPLORER ALONG WITH IT, AS PART OF IT?

- A. BECAUSE WE WEREN'T READY.
- Q. TELL ME A LITTLE BIT ABOUT--JUST SO I UNDERSTAND THE DEVELOPMENT TRACKS THAT INTERNET EXPLORER WAS ON VERSUS THE REMAINDER OF WINDOWS 98, THE PART THAT GOT RELEASED, HOW WERE THOSE DIVIDED UP, AND WHAT WERE THE TWO TEAMS DOING?
- A. I STARTED ON INTERNET EXPLORER 4

 ACTUALLY FAIRLY LATE, SO I'M PROBABLY NOT THE

 BEST TO ASK THAT QUESTION TO, AND I HAVE VERY

 LITTLE KNOWLEDGE OF THE WINDOWS 98 DEVELOPMENT

 PROCESS CERTAINLY BEFORE NOVEMBER OF '96. BUT

 EVEN AFTER THAT, MUCH OF MY FOCUS WAS GETTING THE

 COMPONENTS I WAS WORKING ON STABLE AND IN A HIGH

 QUALITY ENOUGH STATE THAT THEY COULD BE

 INTEGRATED. SO, IT'S HARD FOR ME TO COMPARE THE

 PARALLELS BETWEEN THE TWO VERY MUCH AT ALL.

 (EXCERPT.)
- Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAS THERE BEEN ANY
 DEVELOPMENT WORK DONE ON WINDOWS 98 TO POSSIBLY
 DEVELOP A VERSION IN WHICH ANY PORTION OF
 INTERNET EXPLORER IS EITHER REMOVED OR HIDDEN IN
 ANY WAY?

1	A. THERE'S BEEN NO DEVELOPMENT WORK DONE
2	ON THAT.
3	Q. DO YOU KNOW, HAS THERE BEEN ANY TESTING
4	OF ANY VERSION OF WINDOWS 98 WHERE INTERNET
5	EXPLORER HAS, IN ANY WAY, BEENANY PARTS OF IT
6	HAVE BEEN REMOVED OR HIDDEN, IN ANY WAY?
7	A. NOT RECENTLY, NO.
8	Q. WAS THAT DONE AT SOME POINT IN THE
9	PAST?
10	A. AT SOME POINT IN TIME, THEY HAD A BUILD
11	THAT RAN WITH THE OLD COMPONENTS, BUT THEY
12	HAVEN'T DONE INTEGRATED TESTING ON ANYTHING WITH
13	THE OLD COMPONENTS FOR, GOSH, WELL OVER A YEAR, I
14	THINK.
15	Q. IN THE BUILD THAT RAN WITH THE OLD
16	COMPONENTS, IS THAT WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT
17	EARLIER WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT A VERSION RELEASED
18	TOI THINK YOU SAID HARDWARE MANUFACTURERSTHAT
19	DID NOT YET INCLUDE THE NEW INTERNET EXPLORER 4?
20	A. THAT'S AN EXAMPLE OF ONE. AGAIN, YOU
21	SHOULD PROBABLY ASK BILL VEGHTE THOSE QUESTIONS
22	BECAUSE HE WOULD KNOW MORE DETAILS.
23	Q. SO, AS FAR AS YOU KNOW, NO ONE HAS BEEN
24	ASKED TO TEST OR EVALUATE A VERSION OF WINDOWS 98

WHERE, JUST TO TAKE AN EXAMPLE, THE INTERNET

25

1	
1	EXPLORER ICON HAS BEEN REMOVED?
2	A. TEST OR EVALUATE. THAT'S A TOUGH
3	QUESTION. I'M SURE THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO REMOVED
4	THE INTERNET EXPLORER ICON AND THEIR SYSTEM STILL
5	WORKS, BUT IN TERMS OF A FORMALIZED MECHANISM FOR
6	DOING THAT, NO.
7	Q. WHEN YOU SAY YOU'RE SURE PEOPLE HAVE
8	DONE THAT AND IT STILL WORKS, WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
9	A. I IMAGINE THERE IS A TEST CASE
10	SOMEWHERE THAT SOMEBODY DOES THAT RUNS, BUT I
11	DON'T KNOW. I'VE DELETED THE INTERNET ICON FROM
12	MY DESKTOP OCCASIONALLY AND PUT IT BACK.
13	Q. BUT NOT FOR ANY FORMAL TESTING
14	PURPOSES?
15	A. NOT FOR ANY FORMAL TESTING PURPOSES,
16	RIGHT.
17	CAN I CLARIFY THAT LAST ANSWER?
18	Q. PLEASE.
19	A. INFORMALLY, WE HAVE DONE SOME THINGS,
20	AND THERE WERE LAWYERS IN THE ROOM, SO I CAN'T
21	REALLY SPEAK TO THAT.
22	(EXCERPT.)
23	Q. RIGHT BEFORE THE BREAK, YOU MENTIONED
24	SOME WORK OR SOMETHING THAT WAS DONE IN THE
25	PRESENCE OF ATTORNEYS. OTHER THAN AT THE REQUEST

25l

OF ATTORNEYS--I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR. OTHER
THAN AT THE REQUEST OF ATTORNEYS, TO YOUR
KNOWLEDGE, HAS ANYONE AT MICROSOFT TESTED OR
EVALUATED THE EFFECT OF REMOVING OR ALTERING PART
OF INTERNET EXPLORER ON THE WORKINGS OF
WINDOWS 98?

- A. TO MY KNOWLEDGE, NO. (EXCERPT.)
- Q. RIGHT BELOW THE SENTENCE, THERE ARE
 THREE BULLET POINTS. THE LAST LINE OF THE FINAL
 ONE IS, "ACHIEVE OUR GOALS FOR IE (CUSTOMERS,
 TEAM AND MARKET SHARE)."

WHAT'S MEANT HERE BY MARKET SHARE AS A GOAL FOR IE?

- A. IT'S A METRIC I USE TO--HOW WE'RE
 RESOLVING CUSTOMER PROBLEMS. IF YOU GO BACK TO
 THE DAYS OF WORD OR WORDPERFECT, THEY ALL MEASURE
 THEMSELVES BASED ON MARKET SHARE. IT'S A WAY TO
 MEASURE HOW YOU'RE MEETING YOUR OBJECTIVES WITH
 CUSTOMERS.
- Q. AND THE MARKET SHARE REFERRED TO HERE
 IS BROWSER MARKET SHARE PRIMARILY COMPARED TO
 NETSCAPE?
 - A. IT'S BROWSER USAGE, YEAH.
 - Q. AND THE PRIMARY COMPARISON THERE, I

1	TAKE IT, WOULD BE TO NETSCAPE; IS THAT ACCURATE?
2	A. IT'SWHEN YOU GO DO BROWSER USAGE
3	STUDIES, NETSCAPE COMES UP FAIRLY FREQUENTLY.
4	SO, WHEN I THINK ABOUT WHO IS THE MOST IMPORTANT
5	PERSON MEETING THOSE CUSTOMER NEEDS BETTER THAN I
6	AM, IT'S NETSCAPE.
7	Q. AND THERE ARE A VARIETY OF OTHER PEOPLE
8	WHO MIGHT SHOW UP ON THAT LIST, BUT THEY'RE ALL
9	RELATIVELY MUCH, MUCH SMALLER COMPARED TO
10	MICROSOFT AND NETSCAPE?
11	A. CORRECT.
12	(EXCERPT.)
13	Q. ON THE THIRD PAGE, "CHALLENGES AND
14	BLOCKING ISSUES, " THERE IS A REFERENCE TO
15	CROSS-PLATFORM.
16	DO YOU SEE THAT?
17	A. YES.
18	Q. IT SAYS, "MANY CORPORATIONS AND ISP'S
19	WILL NOT DEPLOY OUR PRODUCTS UNTIL THE
20	CROSS-PLATFORM PRODUCTS HAVE SHIPPED."
21	WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THAT
22	REFERS TO?
23	A. WHEN A CORPORATION OR INTERNET SERVICE
24	PROVIDER CHOOSES TO ROLL OUT, BASICALLY, INTERNET
25	ACCESS TO THEIR CUSTOMERS, THEY WANT A CONSISTENT

TRAINING EXPERIENCE FOR CUSTOMERS ACROSS A

VARIETY OF DIFFERENT PLATFORMS FOR THE SUBSET OF

STUFF THAT MAKES SENSE ON THOSE PLATFORMS. AND

THOSE CUSTOMERS GAVE US VERY SPECIFIC FEEDBACK

THAT SAID, "WE WOULD RATHER HAVE LESS (SIC)

FEATURES AND MORE TIMELINESS ON YOUR

CROSS-PLATFORM PRODUCTS."

(EXCERPT.)

Q. LET ME DROP DOWN TO THE FINAL HEADING HERE, "OUR STRATEGY."

- A. YES.
- Q. FIRST BULLET POINT IS, "SPLIT THE BROWSER FROM THE SHELL TO GAIN FOCUS. BROWSER TEAM HEADED BY CHRISJO"--WHICH I ASSUME IS YOU?
 - A. YES.
- Q. AND "SHELL TEAM HEADED BY JONT/JOEB."

 THE REFERENCE TO SPLIT THE BROWSER FROM

 THE SHELL TO GAIN FOCUS, WHAT IS THAT TALKING

 ABOUT HERE?
- A. ONE OF THE CRITICAL PROBLEMS WE HAD ON IE 4 WAS CLEAR RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPONENTS, AND NOBODY KNEW WHERE THEY FIT IN AROUND DIFFERENT THINGS. AND THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO DID KNOW AND SOME PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T. THE SPLIT IS REALLY

AROUND FOCUS.

THESE GUYS OWN THE WINDOWS USER

EXPERIENCE END TO END. THEY DEFINE A SET OF

REQUIREMENTS ON HOW BROWSING FITS INTO THAT

EXPERIENCE. THEY DEFINE A FRAME WINDOW ON HOW

NAVIGATION WORKS, AND THEY DELIVERED SHARED USER

INTERFACE COMPONENTS. THAT'S THEIR JOB, SO THEY

OWN THAT, AND NOBODY ON MY TEAM GETS A VOTE ABOUT

THAT. WE CAN HAVE OPINIONS, BUT WE DON'T GET A

VOTE.

WE OWN THE CONTENTS OF THE BROWSER
FRAME AND CUSTOMIZATIONS, AND WE OWN THE BROWSING
TECHNOLOGIES AND COMPONENTS THAT OTHER TEAMS USE.
WE'RE CONSUMERS OF THEIR TECHNOLOGY, AND IT'S
VERY CRISP WHO OWNS THAT. IT HELPS PEOPLE FOCUS.
AND THAT WAS THE MAIN REASON TO SPLIT THE TEAMS,
WAS TO GIVE EACH TEAM FOCUS AND GIVE PEOPLE
MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES THAT THEY COULD ACHIEVE.

- Q. LOOK AT PAGES 4725, AND THEN I'LL ASK
 YOU TO LOOK AT 4726, IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE.
 - A. OKAY.
- Q. ON 4725, IF YOU LOOK TWO-THIRDS OF THE WAY DOWN UNDER THE BOLD HEADING "GROUP 4:

 ANTI-BLOAT," AND UNDER THE SMALLER HEADING

 "SIZE/FOOTPRINT," IT SAYS, "HOW DO WE REDUCE

1	DOWNLOAD SIZE? SUCCESS METRIC; SIX MB DOWNLOAD."
2	A. YES.
3	Q. IF YOU LOOK AT THE TOP OF THE NEXT
4	PAGE, "DISCUSSION," FIRST BULLET HAS
5	"BRAD C"WHICH I ASSUME IS BRAD CHASE?
6	A. YES.
7	Q. "FIFTY PERCENT OF USERS DOWNLOAD STUB
8	BUT DON'T DOWNLOAD OTHER PARTS. NEED TO THINK
9	ABOUT HOW TO MAKE THIS EASIER. ONE REASON IS IT
10	IS TOO BIG. THE OTHER IS THAT IT IS TOO
11	CONFUSING. NEED TO GET CALL DOWN DATA."
12	WITH BOTH OF THOSE IN MIND, GOING TO
13	THE REFERENCE TO REDUCING DOWNLOAD SIZE, FIRST OF
14	ALL, THIS IS DOWNLOAD OF WHAT?
15	A. THIS IS THE DOWNLOAD OF THE BROWSER
16	COMPONENTS.
17	Q. AND WHY IN THIS DISCUSSION WAS IT
18	THOUGHT IMPORTANT TO REDUCE DOWNLOAD SIZE?
19	A. TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR PEOPLE TO GO GET
20	THE SET OF UPDATES.
21	Q. BASED ON THE DISCUSSION REFLECTED HERE
22	OF WHAT THEWHAT WERE PEOPLE SAYING ABOUT THE
23	EASE OR DIFFICULTY OF DOWNLOADING IE 4, GIVEN ITS
24	PARTICULAR SIZE?
25	A. THERE REALLY ARE TWO REASONS: SIZE AND

INSTALLATION CONFUSION.

O. OKAY.

A. THE INSTALLATION CONFUSION, ONE IS A TOTALLY SOLVABLE PROBLEM THAT WE DROPPED THE BALL ON COMPLETELY AND WE NEED TO GO FIX. BUT IT TURNED OUT THAT PEOPLE WOULDN'T EVEN FIGURE OUT HOW BIG IT WAS, THEY WOULD JUST DOWNLOAD THIS LITTLE STUB AND NOT GO TO THEIR HARD DISK TO RUN IT OR RUN IT AND NOT UNDERSTAND THEY NEED TO RECONNECT.

SO, THAT IS SOMETHING WE ARE SPENDING A LOT OF TIME THINKING ABOUT, BUT IT'S REALLY COSMETIC CHANGES. THE SIZE ITSELF IS CERTAINLY A BLOCKER FOR PEOPLE, AND THE MORE WE CAN MAKE IT SMALLER, THE BETTER IT IS FOR PEOPLE. PEOPLE, OF COURSE, ALWAYS WANT SMALLER, FASTER, LESS (SIC) BUGS, MORE FEATURES. IT'S A TRADEOFF YOU MAKE.

- Q. WHEN YOU SAY THE SIZE OF IE 4 WAS CERTAINLY A BLOCKER FOR PEOPLE, WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
- A. THE BIGGER THINGS ARE, THE HARDER THEY
 ARE TO GO GET TO. IT TAKES A LOT OF TIME. AND
 DEPENDING ON YOUR SERVER, IT CAN TAKE A REALLY
 LONG TIME TO GET THINGS DOWNLOADED. DO I HAVE
 SPECIFIC DETAILS ON WHETHER IT WAS A BLOCKER?
 MOST OF MY INFORMATION IS ANECDOTAL.

l	546
1	(EXCERPT.)
2	BY MR. MALONE:
3	Q. THIS APPEARS GENERALLY TO BE AN AUGUST
4	6, 1997, E-MAIL FROM DAVID COLE TO A WHOLE
5	VARIETY OF PEOPLE WITH THE SUBJECT, "POST IE 4
6	THINKING"; IS THAT CORRECT?
7	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q. AND THERE'S A REFERENCE ON HERE UNDER
9	THE "TO" LINE. IN ADDITION TO A LOT OF SPECIFIC
10	INDIVIDUALS NAMED, IT SAYS, "JOHN LUDWIG'S AND
11	DAVID COLE'S DIRECTS."
12	WOULD THAT INCLUDE YOU?
13	A. YES.
14	Q. AS ONE OF DAVID COLE'S DIRECTS?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. DO YOU RECALL, GENERALLY, RECEIVING
17	THIS E-MAIL?
18	A. VAGUELY.
19	Q. I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT A FEW THINGS
20	HERE. ABOUT TWO-THIRDS DOWN THE FIRST PAGE THERE
21	IS AN ITEM TWO, HELP THE CROSS-PLATFORM IE
	II.

DO YOU SEE THAT?

Α. YES.

TEAMS."

22

23

24

25

AND THE LAST SENTENCE SAYS, "GETTING Q.

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002
(202) 546-6666

THE CROSS-PLATFORM VERSIONS DONE IS KEY TO MARKET SHARE ON ALL PLATFORMS, INCLUDING WIN32."

AT OR AROUND THE TIME YOU READ THIS, WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THAT STATEMENT?

- A. IT'S TOUGH FOR ME TO EXTRAPOLATE WHAT DAVID MEANT BY HIS STATEMENT, SO I'D KIND OF HAVE TO PUT WORDS INTO HIS MOUTH.
- Q. RATHER THAN FOCUSING ON WHAT HE MEANT,

 DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS STATEMENT AS PHRASED?

 MR. HOLLEY: DID HE AT THE TIME, OR

BY MR. MALONE:

DOES HE NOW?

- O. DID YOU AT THE TIME?
- A. YES, I DO. OR I DID.
- Q. AND IN YOUR MIND, AS YOU UNDERSTAND IT,
 WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO SAY, "GETTING THE
 CROSS-PLATFORM VERSIONS DONE IS KEY TO MARKET
 SHARE ON ALL PLATFORMS, INCLUDING WIN32"?
- A. MY UNDERSTANDING OF THAT IS CUSTOMERS

 DON'T CHOOSE TO ROLL OUT SOLUTIONS UNTIL THEY

 HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE SOLUTION THAT MEETS THEIR

 NEEDS, AND FOR SOME CUSTOMERS THE SOLUTIONS

 INCLUDES SUPPORT FOR THE SYSTEM TECHNOLOGIES THAT

 WE PROVIDE ON OTHER PLATFORMS.
 - O. AND WHAT IS THE LINK BETWEEN BEING ABLE

TO DELIVER THAT AND MARKET SHARE ON ALL PLATFORMS?

- A. FOR SPECIFIC CUSTOMERS LIKE INTERNET
 SERVICE PROVIDERS OR CORPORATE ACCOUNTS, THEY
 WILL NOT CHOOSE--THEY HAVE A VERY SET SET OF TIME
 LINES WHERE THEY CHOOSE TO ACTUALLY ROLL OUT OR
 CHANGE SYSTEMS ON THEIR NETWORK FOR SUPPORT
 REASONS. COST OF MACHINES IS VERY HIGH. THAT
 SET OF CUSTOMERS AND SOME SET OF THEM WILL NOT
 CHOOSE TO ACTUALLY DEPLOY UNTIL THEY HAVE
 EVERYTHING THEY NEED, INCLUDING TOUCHING DOWN
 LEVEL PLATFORMS WIN31, A MACINTOSH OR UNIX
 PLATFORM.
- Q. AND BEING ABLE TO LET THEM DO THAT HAS WHAT EFFECT ON MARKET SHARE?
- A. IF THEY ROLL OUT, THERE ARE MORE
 BROWSERS THAT ARE IE BROWSERS, WHICH MEANS THERE
 ARE PEOPLE RUNNING INTERNET EXPLORER, WHICH MEANS
 MORE MARKET SHARE.
- Q. THE ITEM BELOW THAT NUMBERED THREE,
 SECOND SENTENCE SAYS--AND THIS IS DAVID COLE--"I
 WILL BE BOLD AND SAY NEW WINDOWS SHELLS WILL NOT
 COME WITH FUTURE VERSIONS OF IE, SO THE SHELL
 TEAM WILL ALSO BE WORKING ON ANY ARCHITECTURE
 CHANGES WHICH LET US EASILY UPDATE THE BROWSER

INDEPENDENT OF THE SHELL."

DO YOU SEE THAT?

- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. WHAT, IF ANY, UNDERSTANDING DO YOU HAVE
 OF WHAT THIS STATEMENT MEANT AT THE TIME IT WAS
 MADE?
- A. AGAIN, THIS GETS BACK TO THE QUESTION
 OF FOCUS AROUND THE TEAMS, AND THE SHELL TEAM IS
 GOING TO BE COMPLETELY FOCUSED AROUND DELIVERING
 A GREAT NT 5 SOLUTION AND NOT DO THE ADDITIONAL
 WORK TO MAKE SURE THEIR COMPONENTS RUN ON
 EXISTING VERSIONS OF WINDOWS, BUT YOU CAN ONLY
 GET THOSE COMPONENTS AS PART OF NT 5.

DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

- Q. AND THE REFERENCE TO "THE SHELL TEAM WILL ALSO BE WORKING ON ANY ARCHITECTURE CHANGES WHICH LET US EASILY UPDATE THE BROWSER INDEPENDENT OF THE SHELL," WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
- A. AS PART OF THAT, THERE'S A FACTORING
 YOU CAN DO SO YOU CAN CHANGE THE BROWSING
 TECHNOLOGIES WITHOUT AFFECTING THE USER INTERFACE
 OF THE PRODUCT SO YOU CAN SHIP LATER VERSIONS OF
 THE BROWSER WITHOUT CHANGING THE WHOLE USER
 INTERFACE FOR THE PRODUCT.
 - SO, THESE ARE THE ARCHITECTURE CHANGES

1	I
	ı
2	

IN QUESTION.

Q. LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THOSE CHANGES IN A MINUTE, BUT CAN YOU GENERALLY TELL ME WHAT PLANNING OR THINKING WAS GOING ON AT THE TIME THIS WAS WRITTEN ABOUT BEING ABLE TO DO THAT; THAT IS, ARCHITECTURE CHANGES THAT WOULD ALLOW MICROSOFT TO UPDATE THE BROWSER INDEPENDENT OF THE SHELL AS YOU JUST DESCRIBED?

MR. HOLLEY: I'LL JUST OBSERVE FOR THE RECORD THIS RELATES TO WINDOWS NT 5.0, NOT TO THE SUBJECT OF THIS INVESTIGATION.

BUT YOU CAN ANSWER.

BY MR. MALONE:

- Q. I'LL ASK YOU ABOUT THAT IN A MINUTE.
- A. OKAY. CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION? SORRY, I GOT SIDETRACKED.
 - O. SURE, ME TOO.

WAS THIS SOMETHING AT THE TIME--AROUND AUGUST '97, WAS THERE DISCUSSION OR PLANNING GOING ON AT MICROSOFT ABOUT ARCHITECTURE CHANGES THAT WOULD ALLOW UPDATING THE BROWSER INDEPENDENT OF THE SHELL, AS REFERRED TO HERE?

- A. FOR THE IE 5 AND NT 5 TIME FRAME, YES, WE'VE BEEN HAVING THOSE DISCUSSIONS.
 - O. AND JUST GENERALLY, WHAT IS THAT TIME

7	ı
1	1

FRAME?

- A. THE IE 5, NT 5 TIME FRAME?
- O. RIGHT.
- A. AGAIN, IE 5, I SAID, LATE SUMMER, EARLY FALL. BUT IF YOU ASKED ME TO PUT MONEY ON A DATE, I COULDN'T DO THAT RIGHT NOW. NT 5, I BELIEVE, WILL BE LATER THAN THAT, BUT AGAIN, I'M NOT SURE ON THE DATES.
- Q. AS YOU UNDERSTOOD THE THINKING OR THOSE DISCUSSIONS, WAS THE CONTEMPLATION OF ARCHITECTURE CHANGES THAT WOULD ALLOW UPDATING THE BROWSER INDEPENDENT OF THE SHELL LIMITED TO NT 5, OR WAS THAT SOMETHING THAT WOULD APPLY TO IE 5 AND WINDOWS 98 AS WELL?
- A. WELL, IT'S SOMETHING THAT WOULD APPLY
 TO IE 5 UPDATING THE INTEGRATED SHELL SERVICES
 THAT SHIP AROUND--THAT SHIPPED AS PART OF IE 4
 AND LATER AS PART OF OSR2.5 AND LATER AS PARENT
 OF WINDOWS 98.
- Q. WOULD IT APPLY--WAS THE THOUGHT THAT

 IE 5 WOULD ALLOW MICROSOFT TO EASILY UPDATE THE

 BROWSER INDEPENDENT OF THE SHELL FOR WINDOWS 98?
- A. WITH THE IE 5 RELEASE, WE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE HURDLE OF ADOPTING BROWSER TECHNOLOGIES
 AS LOW AS POSSIBLE SO THE CUSTOMERS DID NOT HAVE

TO CHANGE THEIR USER INTERFACE TO DO SO, THAT'S CORRECT. TURNS OUT TO BE INCREDIBLY COMPLICATED TO DO THAT, AND IT'S NOT CLEAR WE'RE GOING TO BE SUCCESSFUL.

- Q. BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT THE COMPLICATION

 SPECIFICALLY FOR WINDOWS 98, A LITTLE MORE DETAIL

 ABOUT--AND I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN YOUR

 MOUTH. RATHER THAN PARAPHRASE, IF YOU CAN TELL

 ME WHAT THE PLAN IS FOR WHAT ESSENTIALLY WHAT

 SOMEONE WOULD BE ABLE TO DO TO UPDATE THE BROWSER

 INDEPENDENTLY OF THE SHELL.
- A. IT TURNS OUT YOU CAN'T--THERE'S

 MULTIPLE LEVELS TO THINK ABOUT. THE IMPORTANT

 THING IS FOR CUSTOMERS, THAT YOU DON'T MAKE THEM

 RETRAIN THEIR EMPLOYEES AND THAT YOU CHANGE--YOU

 LET THEM CHANGE AS SMALL A SYSTEM STATE AS

 POSSIBLE TO GO AND GRAB THAT SET OF TECHNOLOGIES.

TURNS OUT WE DO HAVE TO CHANGE A WHOLE BUNCH OF THINGS YOU CONSIDER THE SHELL OR THE BROWSER OR THE OPERATING SYSTEM. WE HAVE TO CHANGE THOSE. THE KEY IS TO MAKE OUR CUSTOMERS TO SAY, "HEY, I JUST WANT TO GO GET THE LATEST BROWSING TECHNOLOGIES. I WANT MY START MENU AND TASK BAR TO REMAIN THE SAME."

Q. AND IS THAT A GOAL THAT YOU THINK YOU

WILL BE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH IN IE 5?

A. I THINK SO. I'M CONTINUALLY PUSHING TO MAKE US ACHIEVE THAT GOAL. BUT, I MEAN, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE AS PART OF THIS THAT A CRITICAL PART OF MY TEAM'S MISSION IS THAT THE BROWSER WILL BE WELL INTEGRATED WITH THIS SHELL AND THE NT 5 SERVICES THERE. EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO REV INDEPENDENTLY FOR FUTURE VERSIONS OF THE SHELL AND THE SYSTEMS SERVICES PROVIDED THERE, YOU CAN'T DELIVER THOSE WITHOUT NEW CAPABILITIES IN THE BROWSER COMPONENTS. IT'S NOT POSSIBLE TO DO THAT.

SO, IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT, "HEY, WE CAN GO AND UPGRADE THE BROWSER WITHOUT CHANGING THE USER INTERFACE OF THE SHELL," BUT EVERY TIME WE CHANGE THE USER INTERFACE OF THE SHELL AND THE SHELL COMPONENTS, IT REQUIRES THE CHANGES TO THE BROWSER COMPONENTS THAT MY TEAM IS MAKING TODAY.

- Q. SO, IS IT THE CASE, ESSENTIALLY, YOU

 CAN DO IT SEPARATELY ONE WAY BUT NOT THE OTHER?
 - A. CORRECT.
- Q. POINT NUMBER FOUR HERE, "DEFINE, BUILD AND DELIVER IE 5," AND THE FIRST SENTENCE IS, "WE SHOULD BEGIN BY MAY '98 A COMPETITIVE BROWSER THAT WILL GAIN US THE NEXT BIG BUMP IN BROWSER

DO YOU SEE THAT?

- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. WHAT IS THE "COMPETITIVE BROWSER THAT WILL GAIN US THE NEXT BIG BUMP IN BROWSER SHARE" THAT'S REFERRED TO HERE?
- A. IT'S THE SET OF TECHNOLOGIES THAT WE DELIVER THAT ISV'S, ICP'S, INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS AND END USERS CAN USE AND GO AND DELIVER BETTER SOLUTIONS ON THE WEB, ON THE INTERNET AND INTRANETS AND USE TO BROWSE AND ACCESS THOSE SERVICES FROM AN END-USER PERSPECTIVE.
- Q. IS IT--CERTAINLY IN THIS CASE HERE, IS THAT IE 5?
- A. THE IE 5 PACKAGE IS WHAT ALLOWS PEOPLE TO UPDATE TO THAT, CORRECT.
- Q. BUT SPECIFICALLY WHEN THERE IS A REFERENCE HERE TO COMPETITIVE BROWSER, WHAT PRECISELY IS THAT?

MR. HOLLEY: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE WITNESS: AGAIN, WHEN YOU SAY
"COMPETITIVE BROWSER," IT MEANS A LOT OF
DIFFERENT THINGS. SPECIFICALLY, WHAT

"COMPETITIVE BROWSER" MEANS TO ME IS THERE IS A
SET OF COMPONENTS AND TECHNOLOGIES MY TEAM
DELIVERS. SOME OF THOSE COME FROM MY GROUP, SOME
OF THEM COME FROM OTHER GROUPS. WE BUNDLE THEM
INTO A PACKAGE AND CALL THAT "IE 5."

BY MR. MALONE:

- Q. WITH THAT DEFINITION OF IT, WOULD THAT APPLY TO IE 5 DEVELOPED FOR OTHER PLATFORMS FOR THE MAC OR UNIX?
- A. SOME CASES, YES. SOME CASES, NO. WE
 CAN'T ACTUALLY DELIVER ALL THE PLATFORM VALUE ON
 THE MACINTOSH OR WIN16, SO IT'S A SUBSET OF THAT
 TECHNOLOGY. SOMETIMES IT'S EVEN JUST A SUBSET OF
 THE EXPERIENCE AND NOT EVEN THE TECHNOLOGY
 DEPENDING ON OUR STRATEGY FOR GOING AND
 DELIVERING THAT TECHNOLOGY ON THE OTHER
 PLATFORMS.
- Q. HOW, THEN, WOULD YOU DEFINE WHAT COMPETITIVE BROWSER FOR OTHER PLATFORMS, NON-WIN32 PLATFORMS, WHAT THAT MEANS?
- A. IT'S A VERY INTERESTING CONVERSATION.

 IN SOME SENSES, IT'S JUST WHAT OUR CUSTOMERS

 EXPECT AND WANT FROM US. IT'S THE THING THAT

 WILL LET THEM GO AND DEPLOY AND TAKE ADVANTAGE OF

 THE SERVICES ON THE INTERNET AND COMPUTING ON THE

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

25

INTERNET.

SO, WHAT DOES COMPETITIVE BROWSER MEAN?

IF YOU ARE ASKING ME WHAT PACKAGE WE SHIP ON THE

MACINTOSH, WE'LL SHIP A PACKAGE IE 5 THAT

CONTAINS A SET OF FEATURES THAT PEOPLE CAN USE TO

BROWSE THE WEB, THAT ISV'S CAN TARGET AND ICP'S

CAN TARGET.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. LET ME SHOW YOU SOMETHING WHICH HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN MARKED AS EXHIBIT 54. IT'S DOCUMENTS MS7 005332 THROUGH 73.
 - A. OKAY.
- Q. I'LL JUST NOTE THIS APPEARS TO BE A SERIES OF SEVERAL E-MAIL MESSAGES FROM JULY 22ND AND JULY 23RD, 1997.

IF I COULD FOCUS YOU ON THE MESSAGE AT
THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE FROM PAUL MARITZ TO
A NUMBER OF PEOPLE, INCLUDING YOU, THE SUBJECT IS
MEMPHIS AND IE 4 SHELL, DATED JULY 22ND, 1997.

DO YOU SEE THAT MESSAGE?

- A. YES.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL, GENERALLY, RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE?
 - A. THE ONE AT THE BOTTOM?
 - Q. YES.

1
_

A. VAGUELY, YES.

Q. TAKE A MINUTE TO LOOK AT THAT PART OF IT, THE THREE PARAGRAPHS IN THAT MESSAGE, AND I WANT TO ASK YOU GENERALLY ABOUT IT.

A. OKAY.

- Q. TELL ME, IF YOU CAN, WHAT YOU RECALL

 ABOUT THE OVERALL ISSUE THAT IS BEING DISCUSSED

 HERE, THE QUESTION OF--AND IF I GET THIS WRONG OR

 DIFFERENT THAN YOU RECALL, TELL ME, BUT THE

 QUESTION OR POSSIBILITY OF GIVING AWAY THE SHELL

 FOR 90 DAYS AND THEN CHARGING FOR IT AFTERWARDS.

 GENERALLY, WHAT IS BEING TALKED ABOUT THERE?
- A. GENERALLY, SHOULD WE SHIP THE SHELL AS PART-THE SHELL TECHNOLOGY WE DEVELOPED AS PART OF THE INTERNET EXPLORER 4 PRODUCT OR AS PART OF MEMPHIS, AND HOW DO WE FACTOR THAT.
- Q. WHEN YOU ARE REFERRING TO SHELL IN THIS CONTEXT, WHAT SPECIFICALLY DO YOU MEAN?
- A. WELL, REMEMBER THAT IE 4 HAD BOTH A
 BROWSER ONLY, QUOTE-UNQUOTE, INSTALLATION AS WELL
 AS AN INTEGRATED SHELL INSTALLATION. IT'S THAT
 INTEGRATED SHELL INSTALLATION THAT WE COULD
 BASICALLY CHOOSE NOT TO DISTRIBUTE AS PART OF
 IE 4 OR CHARGE FOR, TO HAVE IT ONLY BE AVAILABLE
 IN MEMPHIS OR BE AVAILABLE FOR A LIMITED TIME.

AND MEMPHIS BEING THE CODE NAME AT THAT TIME FOR WINDOWS 98.

- Q. AND AS YOU UNDERSTAND IT, WHAT WAS THE--WHY WAS THERE CONSIDERATION BEING GIVEN TO THE POSSIBILITY OF CHARGING FOR THE SHELL OR SHIPPING THE SHELL ONLY WITH WINDOWS 98 OR MEMPHIS?
- A. TO PRESERVE VALUE FOR THE WINDOWS 98

 UPGRADE AND MAKE SURE THERE WAS A COMPELLING

 REASON--WELL, TWO-FOLD. I MEAN, REALLY IT'S JUST

 ABOUT FOR ALL THE TECHNOLOGY THAT WE HAVE, WHICH

 DO WE CHARGE FOR AND MAKE A NEW PURCHASE AND WHEN

 DO WE PROVIDE AS EXISTING VALUE TO CUSTOMERS THAT

 ENHANCES THE PURCHASE THEY'VE ALREADY MADE. AND

 IT WAS DEBATE ABOUT WHAT TO DO WITH THE SHELL IN

 REGARD TO THAT RESPECT.
- Q. THE LAST SENTENCE OF--AGAIN, FOCUSING
 ON THE PAUL MARITZ MESSAGE, LAST SENTENCE OF THE
 FIRST PARAGRAPH SAYS, "AND WE CAN'T PULL
 IT"--WHICH I BELIEVE IS REFERRING TO THE
 SHELL--"COMPLETELY FROM IE 4 AT THIS POINT. WE
 WILL TAKE TOO MUCH OF A HIT TO THE IE 4 MOMENTUM
 WE HAVE."

DO YOU SEE THAT?

A. YES.

- Q. WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME OF WHAT MR. MARITZ WAS REFERRING TO IN THAT SENTENCE?
- A. I ACTUALLY DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS OF
 WHAT PAUL WAS THINKING ABOUT BECAUSE IT'S SORT OF
 HIS MESSAGE TO ME, SO I'D JUST BE SPECULATING ON
 WHAT HE WOULD BE SAYING.
- Q. DID YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING AROUND THIS TIME, GENERALLY, OF WHETHER PULLING THE SHELL FROM IE 4 COMPLETELY AT THAT POINT WOULD CAUSE A HIT TO THE IE 4 MOMENTUM?
- A. WE HAD SET EXPECTATIONS WITH CUSTOMERS
 AND PARTNERS THAT IT WOULD BE AVAILABLE AS PART
 OF THE IE 4 DISTRIBUTION AND FREE FOR PEOPLE.
 AND THOSE EXPECTATIONS WERE VERY HARD TO
 EXTRICATE OURSELVES FROM. AND THAT WAS--THAT'S
 MY UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME IS THAT WE'D GONE
 AND DEMONSTRATED THE PRODUCT AND MADE VERBAL
 COMMITMENTS TO PEOPLE ABOUT DISTRIBUTING SUCH A
 PRODUCT, AND CUSTOMERS AND PARTNERS PLANNED THEIR
 BUSINESSES ON THAT PRODUCT, AND GOING AND MAKING
 A CHANGE WAS A PRETTY BIG PROBLEM FOR THEM.
- Q. AGAIN, THAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME, BUT WAS THE CONCERN, THE HIT THAT IS REFERRED TO HERE THAT IF YOU, IN FACT, MADE THE

	•
	_
	3
	4
	5
	ϵ
	7
	8
	9
1	C
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	
1	9
2	C
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

SHELL AVAILABLE ONLY SEPARATELY FOR A CHARGE,
THAT THAT WOULD SLOW THE ADOPTION OF IE 4?

- A. I ACTUALLY PERSONALLY WAS NOT CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.
- Q. DID YOU BELIEVE THAT WOULD HAPPEN, OR DID YOU JUST NOT FOCUS ON IT?
- A. I ACTUALLY DID NOT BELIEVE THAT WOULD HAPPEN. I BELIEVED THAT WE WOULD HAVE GOTTEN SUBSTANTIAL ADOPTION OF IE 4 WITHOUT THE SHELL.
 - Q. WHY DID YOU THINK THAT?
- A. BECAUSE WE SHIPPED A GREAT PRODUCT,
 BECAUSE DYNAMIC HTML IS VERY COOL AND MEETS
 CUSTOMER NEEDS. THERE IS A LOT OF VALUE IN THE
 PRODUCT.
- Q. AND WHEN YOU REFER TO THE PRODUCT THERE
 AS SEPARATE FROM THE SHELL, WHAT ARE YOU
 REFERRING TO?
- A. I'M REFERRING TO THE SET OF COMPONENTS
 THAT IS THE BROWSER-ONLY INSTALLATION THAT PEOPLE
 COULD CHOOSE.
- Q. AND AGAIN, AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT--AND

 I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO PUT YOURSELF IN OTHER

 PEOPLE'S MINDS, BUT AS YOU UNDERSTOOD THE CONCERN

 THAT WAS DIFFERENT FROM YOURS, WHAT WAS THE

 CONCERN THAT SEPARATING THE SHELL FROM THIS GREAT

IE--THIS GREAT BROWSER INSTALLATION, BROWSER-ONLY INSTALLATION PORTION OF THE PRODUCT, WOULD CAUSE A HIT ON IE ADOPTION?

- A. AGAIN, I CAN'T SPECULATE ABOUT WHAT
 PAUL WAS THINKING. MY BELIEF IS--AND REALLY, YOU
 KNOW, WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT WAS THE COMMITMENTS WE
 HAD MADE TO PARTNERS AND THE CUSTOMERS. AND
 THAT'S WHAT WE WERE PRIMARILY FOCUSED ON.
 EXTRICATING FROM THE COMMITMENTS THAT PEOPLE BET
 THEIR BUSINESSES ON IS NOT SOMETHING YOU WANT TO
 DO.
- Q. JUST SO WE HAVE A COMMON FRAME OF
 REFERENCE HERE, WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE SET OF
 COMPONENTS THAT IS THE BROWSER-ONLY INSTALL OF
 IE 4, WHAT ELEMENTS OR WHAT COMPONENTS IN THERE
 ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
- A. IT INCLUDES SHDOCVW, MSHTML AND WININET. IT INCLUDES ALMOST EVERYTHING. I MEAN, THE THINGS THAT--EXAMPLES OF THINGS IT DOESN'T INCLUDE ARE EXPLORER.EXE AND SHELL32.DLL, AND I DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE MORE THAN THAT OR NOT.
- Q. AND SO, AT THE TIME, IN YOUR MIND, AT LEAST, RECOGNIZING OTHERS SAW IT DIFFERENTLY, BUT IN YOUR MIND, AT LEAST, NOT SHIPPING AS PART OF IE 4 EXPLORER.EXE AND SHELL32, AND WHATEVER ELSE

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WAS IN THE SHELL PART, YOU BELIEVED WOULD NOT SUBSTANTIALLY IMPACT THE ADOPTION OR CUSTOMER ACCEPTANCE OF IE 4?

- THAT WAS MY BELIEF. Α.
- AND WHY DID YOU THINK THAT? I MEAN, I Ο. KNOW YOU'VE EXPLAINED IT GENERALLY, BUT WHY DO YOU THINK NOT HAVING EXPLORER.EXE AND SHELL32 WOULDN'T AFFECT THAT?
- PEOPLE MAKE DIFFERENT CHOICES, CUSTOMERS MAKE DIFFERENT CHOICES, AND I THINK THAT WE HAD -- INDEPENDENTLY OF THE SET OF TECHNOLOGIES WE PROVIDED FOR INTEGRATION, WE HAD A SUPERIOR PRODUCT THAT CUSTOMERS WOULD HAVE CHOSEN, PERIOD. WE WON ALL THE REVIEWS, NOT EVEN COUNTING THE INTEGRATION POINTS THAT WE GOT IN THE REVIEWS. WE DELIVERED A SUPERIOR PRODUCT, AND WE SHIPPED IT IN A TIMELY FASHION WITH GREAT COVERAGE AND GREAT PARTNERSHIPS.
- ON THE SECOND PAGE, FINAL PARAGRAPH, Ο. THE FIRST SENTENCE SAYS, "THE OTHER OPTION IS TO PUT NETMEETING FROM STANDARD IE AND INCLUDE IT IN THE IE PLUS/MEMPHIS."

- A. YES.
- Q. WHAT IS NETMEETING, GENERALLY?

	1
:	2
	3
	4
ļ	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	6 7 8
1	8
1	9
2	0

22

23

24

25

A. IT'S A SET OF SERVICES THAT LET (SIC)
YOU DO VIDEOPHONE AND VIDEOCONFERENCING. AGAIN,
LIKE MOST OF THE STUFF THAT'S DELIVERED, IT'S
BOTH A USER EXPERIENCE FOR DOING VIDEOPHONE AND
VIDEOCONFERENCING PLUS A SET OF TECHNOLOGIES THAT
ALLOW OTHER PEOPLE TO PLUG IN AND HOOK AND EXTEND
THAT WITH THEIR OWN PEER-TO-PEER OR
POINT-TO-POINT VIDEO OR CONFERENCING OR WHATEVER
SOLUTIONS.

- Q. AND WHEN THERE IS A REFERENCE HERE TO
 PULL NETMEETING FROM STANDARD IE, WHAT WAS YOUR
 UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME OF WHAT WAS BEING
 REFERRED TO THERE, WHAT THE POSSIBILITY WAS?
- A. ACTUALLY, I WASN'T INVOLVED IN THE SPECIFICS OF THIS CONVERSATION. IF YOU SAID TO ME, "IF PAUL ASKED ME TO PULL NETMEETING FROM STANDARD IE, WHAT WOULD THAT MEAN?" IT WOULD MEAN WE WOULD NOT MAKE IT AVAILABLE FOR DOWNLOAD AND NOT INCLUDE IT IN OUR RETAIL PACKAGE.
- Q. IF YOU WOULD LOOK BACK UP TO NEAR THE TOP OF THE FIRST PAGE, WHAT IS IN ORDER, THE SECOND MESSAGE FROM BILL VEGHTE TO MOSHE DUNIE, IT BEGINS BY SAYING, "I SAT DOWN WITH CHRIS J TODAY TO START EXECUTING THIS PLAN."

1	
_	

A. YES.

- Q. DO YOU RECALL GENERALLY DISCUSSING THIS SUBJECT WITH HIM AROUND THIS TIME?
 - A. YES, I DO GENERALLY.
- Q. ITEM A HERE JUST UNDER SHELL32, HE SAYS, "THERE ARE MANY PIECES IN SHELL32 THAT ARE OF VALUE TO FOLKS THAT WILL NOT DOWNLOAD IN THE FIRST 90 DAYS. IF ACTIVE DESKTOP/WEBVIEW IS THE STICKING POINT, THEN LEAVE THAT IN IE 4. HOWEVER, THINGS LIKE THE DESKTOP BRING TO FRONT BUTTON, THE QUICK LAUNCH BAR, DRAG AND DROP IN THE START MENU WOULD ADD UNIQUE VALUE-ADD FOR MEMPHIS LONG AFTER THE FIRST 90 DAYS OF IE 4 AVAILABILITY HAS PASSED. WITH A LITTLE WORK, WE COULD DO THESE AS MEMPHIS-ONLY THINGS IN SHELL32."

- A. YES, I DO.
- Q. THE FINAL SENTENCE THERE, "WITH A LITTLE WORK, WE COULD DO THESE AS MEMPHIS-ONLY THINGS IN SHELL32," BASED ON YOUR DISCUSSIONS, WHAT DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT TO MEAN?
- A. BILL AND I NEVER DISCUSSED THAT. I MEAN, CERTAINLY BILL AND I DISCUSSED FEATURES THAT COULD OR COULD NOT BE DONE, BUT WE NEVER

DISCUSSED TECHNICALLY HOW THEY COULD BE IMPLEMENTED OR WHY.

- Q. DID YOU DISCUSS GENERALLY WITH BILL

 THAT THINGS LIKE THE ONES REFERRED TO HERE,

 DESKTOP BRING TO FRONT BUTTON AND THE OTHER

 THINGS COULD BE MOVED SO THAT THEY WERE AVAILABLE

 SEPARATELY ONLY IN MEMPHIS AND WERE NOT PART OF

 IE 4?
 - A. SURE, WE DID.
- Q. DID YOU DISCUSS EVEN GENERALLY WHETHER
 THAT WAS TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE WITHOUT GETTING
 INTO THE PRECISE DETAILS OF HOW?
- A. WE DISCUSSED IF IT WAS POSSIBLE. A LOT OF THINGS ARE POSSIBLE, SO YES, WE DID DISCUSS THAT.
- Q. WHAT, IF ANY, VIEW DID YOU HAVE ABOUT WHETHER THOSE THINGS WERE POSSIBLE AT THIS TIME?
- A. AT THIS TIME. THE TIME WE HAD THE CONVERSATION WAS--
 - Q. JULY '97.
- A. MY PERSPECTIVE WAS IT'S NOT WORTH IT.

 YOU EITHER INCLUDE THE WHOLE INTEGRATED THING OR
 YOU DON'T. TAKE YOUR PICK. GOING AND DOING THE
 WORK TO HAVE TWO DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF THIS THING
 IS JUST WEIRD, AND IT'S A WASTE OF TIME.

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

3

Q. TO USE YOUR EXAMPLE FROM A SECOND AGO,
IF BILL VEGHTE SAID, "I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING,
NEVERTHELESS I WANT TO DO THIS, I WANT TO OFFER
THINGS LIKE THE ITEMS WE HAVE HERE ONLY IN
MEMPHIS AND NOT IN IE 4," DID YOU HAVE A VIEW
ABOUT WHETHER THAT WOULD BE--HOW FEASIBLE,
TECHNICALLY, IT WOULD BE TO DO THAT?

A. OH, SURE, IT'S FEASIBLE TECHNICALLY.

WE ADDED THOSE FEATURES TO SHELL32; WE CAN TAKE

THEM ALL OUT. BUT YOU HAVE TO TEST TWO DIFFERENT

VERSIONS. YOU HAVE TO DO TWO DIFFERENT SETS OF

BUILDS ON IT. YOU HAVE TWO DIFFERENT SOURCE

TREES YOU'RE MANAGING FOR IT. IT'S A VERY

EXPENSIVE PROCESS TO HAVE TWO VERSIONS OF

COMPONENTS.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. WHEN YOU'RE OPENING A WINDOW BY

 EXECUTING IEXPLORE.EXE, IN THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND

 CORNER OF THAT WINDOW THERE APPEARS A LOWER CASE

 E; IS THAT CORRECT?
 - A. THAT'S--DEPENDS.
 - O. WHAT DOES IT DEPEND ON?
- A. THE PRODUCT CAN BE BRANDED. THAT WINDOW CAN BE BRANDED.
 - Q. AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN THE PRODUCT CAN BE

_	н		
1	П		
1	П		
_	П		

- `

BRANDED?

- A. I MEAN THAT AS PART OF REDISTRIBUTING
 THE PRODUCT OR SIGNING UP FOR AN ONLINE SERVICE,
 FOR EXAMPLE, THE ONLINE SERVICE PROVIDER OR ISP
 CAN GO AND ACTUALLY INSTALL THEIR OWN--AND I
 THINK IT'S CALLED AN ANIMATED GIF, BUT A LOGO
 THAT GETS DISPLAYED AND SPINS AROUND AND DOES
 OTHER THINGS IN THAT AREA.
- Q. WOULD THAT ALSO--IF A THIRD PARTY WERE
 TO BRAND IN THAT WAY, WOULD THAT ALSO AFFECT A
 WINDOW THAT WAS OPENED BY EXPLORER.EXE?
- A. IT AFFECTS WINDOWS OPENED BY EXPLORER.EXE THAT BROWSE OUT TO HTTP NAME SPACES.
- Q. SO, TO THE EXTENT IT WAS BROWSING ON A HARD DRIVE OR INTERNAL NETWORK, IT WOULDN'T AFFECT--
 - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. WHAT WOULD BE DISPLAYED IN THAT
 INSTANCE? LET'S SAY, FOR INSTANCE, YOU WERE
 BROWSING ON YOUR HARD DRIVE.
- A. DEPENDS ON THE PRODUCT. IF YOUR

 QUESTION IS SPECIFIC TO IE 4 INSTALLATION ON TOP

 OF WINDOWS 95, THE ANSWER IS YOU GET THE E IN ALL

 THE OTHER WINDOWS AND THEN YOU GET WHATEVER THE

 CUSTOM BRANDING IS IN THE HTTP WINDOW.

1	Q. HOW ABOUT FOR WINDOWS 98?
2	A. GOSH, YOU KNOW, I'M NOT SURE WHAT
3	EXACTLY THEY DO, QUITE FRANKLY. I THINK THEY'RE
4	USING A WINDOWS FLAG FOR THE BRANDING INSTEAD OF
5	THE E, BUT I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE CUSTOM BRANDING
6	RULES AND PROVISIONS ARE.
7	(EXCERPT.)
8	Q. DID YOU, BEFORE YOU BECAME GROUP
9	PROGRAM MANAGER, ATTEND ANY NETSCAPE MEETING?
10	A. YES, I DID.
11	Q. HOW MANY DID YOU ATTEND?
12	A. ONE.
13	Q. DO YOU RECALL APPROXIMATELY WHEN THAT
14	WAS?
15	A. I DON'T. EARLY SUMMER, MAYBE.
16	Q. OF '95?
١7	A. OF '95.
18	Q. SO, THE MEETINGS YOU ATTENDED WITH
19	REPRESENTATIVES OF NETSCAPE, WHERE DID THAT
20	OCCUR?
21	A. I GUESS I'M NOT SURE HOW THIS IS
22	RELEVANT TO THE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT IE 4,
23	WINDOWS 98, AND I'LL ANSWER THE QUESTION ANYWAY,
24	BUT IT OCCURRED AT NETSCAPE.
25	Q. IN THE MEETING IN THE MEETING WAS

1	THERE A DISCUSSION OF INTERNET EXPLORER OR
2	BROWSERS, GENERALLY, IN THE COURSE OF THE
3	MEETING?
4	A. YES, THERE WAS.
5	Q. AND WHO FROM MICROSOFT ATTENDED, AS
6	BEST YOU CAN RECALL?
7	A. BARB FOXAND THIS IS TO THE BEST OF MY
8	RECOLLECTIONANTHONY BAY, DAN ROSEN, THOMAS
9	REARDON. AND, I THINK, JAY ALLARD MAY HAVE BEEN
10	THERE.
11	Q. WHAT WAS BARB FOX'S JOB AT THAT TIME,
12	IF YOU KNOW?
13	A. I DON'T KNOW. SHE WAS WORKING ON
14	SECURITY STUFF, I THINK.
15	Q. WHAT ABOUT ANTHONY BAY? WHAT WAS HIS
16	JOB GENERALLY?
17	A. I THINK HE WAS WORKING ON SOME STUFF
18	OVER IN MSN WORKING FOR ROSS SIEGELMAN, BUT I'M
19	NOT SURE.
20	Q. AND WHAT ABOUT DAN ROSEN?
21	A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT DAN'S TITLE WAS.
22	Q. DO YOU KNOW GENERALLY WHAT KIND OF WOR
23	HE DID?
24	A. I WANT TO SAY "DEAL GUY," BUT THAT'S A
25	SPECIFIC AND ALSO AS VAGUE AS I CAN GET.

- 4	
2	DEVELOPMENT OR PARTNER DEVELOPMENT?
3	A. AT THAT TIME IT MAY HAVE BEEN. AGAIN,
4	I WAS ASKED TO GO AND ATTEND THE MEETING, BUT I
5	HAD MET MOST OF THOSE PEOPLE FOR THE FIRST TIME
6	AT THAT MEETING, WITH THE EXCEPTIONEVEN THOMAS,
7	WHO ENDED UP WORKING FOR ME LATER.
8	Q. THOMAS REARDON?
9	A. YES. HE WAS WORKING ON INTERNET
10	EXPLORER 1. HE WAS ONE OF THE DEVELOPMENT
11	MANAGERS.
12	Q. AND JAY ALLARD, WHAT WAS HIS JOB?
13	A. HE WAS WORKING ON INTERNET INFORMATION
14	SERVER, I THINK. OR HE MAY HAVE STILL BEEN
15	WORKING ON TCP/IP, ONE OF THOSE TWO.
16	Q. ANYBODY ELSE YOU CAN THINK OF FROM
17	MICROSOFT WHO WAS THERE?
18	A. NOT THAT I CAN THINK OF. I COULD BE
19	MISSING SOMEBODY.
20	Q. WHAT ABOUT RICHARD WOLF? DO YOU
21	REMEMBER HIM?
22	A. YEAH, HE MAY HAVE GONE DOWN.
23	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT HIS JOB WAS AT THE
24	TIME?
25	A. OH, WHAT WAS HIS JOB AT THE TIME? HE

Q. WAS IT ALONG THE LINES OF STRATEGIC

WAS WORKING ON OFFICE.

- Q. AND WHO, AGAIN, AS BEST YOU CAN RECALL, WHO FROM NETSCAPE ATTENDED THE MEETING?
- A. I ONLY REMEMBER--I KNOW JIM BARKSDALE
 WAS THERE. MARC ANDREESSEN WAS THERE. AND THEN
 THERE WERE (SIC) A BUNCH OF OTHER PEOPLE AND--I
 DON'T KNOW--PROBABLY THREE OTHER PEOPLE. I
 HONESTLY SPACED ON THEIR NAMES.
- Q. AND I'M SORRY, DID YOU SAY THE MEETING WAS AT NETSCAPE?
 - A. IT WAS ACTUALLY AT NETSCAPE.
- Q. HOW DID IT COME ABOUT THAT YOU ATTENDED
 THIS MEETING? HOW DID YOU GET INVOLVED IN THAT?
- A. PAUL ASKED ME TO GO DOWN. AND GOD,

 I'LL BE DARNED IF I KNOW WHY. I WAS JUST ASKED

 TO GO DOWN AND UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR BUSINESS WAS

 AND TALK TO THEM. BUT IT WAS REALLY DAN'S

 MEETING. I WAS THERE JUST TO TALK AND LISTEN OR

 LISTEN AND TALK BECAUSE I DIDN'T HAVE MUCH TO SAY

 BECAUSE I WASN'T REALLY DOING ANYTHING.
- Q. DO YOU REMEMBER APPROXIMATELY HOW LONG BEFORE YOU WENT DOWN THERE FOR THE MEETING YOU LEARNED ABOUT IT AND WERE TOLD YOU SHOULD ATTEND THIS?
 - A. I DON'T REMEMBER.

1	Q. WERE THERE ANY PRE-MEETINGS OR
2	DISCUSSIONS AMONG THE MICROSOFT ATTENDEES BEFORE
3	YOU ACTUALLY HAD THE MEETING?
4	A. THE ONE I REMEMBER WASWE FLEW DOWN
5	THERE FOR A DAY, SO IT WAS JUST A DAY TRIP. AND
6	THE ONE I REMEMBER WAS ACTUALLY DOWN IN THE HOTEL
7	ROOM BEFORE WE DROVE UP THERE, BUT I DON'T
8	REMEMBER ANY OUTSIDE OF THAT. IT WAS KIND OF A
9	SPUR-OF-THE-MOMENT THING, AS FAR AS I CAN RECALL.
10	IT WASN'T EXACTLY LIKE 50 DAYS OF PREP AND THEN
11	GO DOWN FOR THE MEETING.
12	Q. GENERALLY, WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT
13	THE PRE-MEETING AHEAD OF TIME IN THE HOTEL ROOM?
14	A. IT WAS REALLY A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT
15	BUSINESS WAS NETSCAPE IN, WHAT BUSINESS DID WE
16	THINK THEY WERE IN, AND WAS THERE AN OPPORTUNITY
17	TO PARTNER WITH THEM, OR WERE THEY REALLY
18	COMPETING WITH US.
19	Q. APPROXIMATELY HOW LONG DID THE
20	PRE-MEETING WHICH YOU HAD LAST?
21	A. THE ONLY OTHERHOW LONG DID THE
22	PRE-MEETING LAST?
23	Q. YES.
24	A. SORRY. MAYBE ABOUT HALF AN HOUR OR AN
25	HOUR. IT'S VAGUE IN MY MIND, SO YOU COULD TELL

-	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	l
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	1000
22	
23	
24	

ME IT WAS FIVE HOURS AND I'D SAY I FIND IT TOUGH
TO BELIEVE WE FIT THAT INTO A DAY, BUT--

- Q. SO, YOUR BEST RECOLLECTION IS AROUND HALF AN HOUR TO AN HOUR?
 - A. YES.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. WHAT ELSE DO YOU RECALL BEING DISCUSSED IN THE PRE-MEETING BESIDES--I THINK YOU SAID THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAYBE PARTNER WITH NETSCAPE OR WERE THEY REALLY COMPETING?
- A. IT WAS REALLY FOCUSED AROUND HOW COULD WE GET A GREAT PARTNERSHIP WITH THESE GUYS. IT'S A VERY GOOD COMPANY, BENEFICIAL TO BOTH, WHAT COULD WE DO TO PROVIDE OR GET THAT PARTNERSHIP, AND EVERYBODY--MY RECOLLECTION OF THAT MEETING WAS EVERYBODY IN THAT ROOM'S GOAL WAS TO WALK OUT OF OUR MEETING WITH NETSCAPE AND GO, "WOW, WE'VE GOT A GOOD PARTNERSHIP, THIS IS GOING TO BE SUPER."
- Q. WHEN YOU SAY YOUR IMPRESSION WAS THAT EVERYBODY'S GOAL WAS TO WALK OUT WITH A PARTNERSHIP, DO YOU MEAN EVERYONE ON YOUR SIDE OR EVERYONE ON--
- A. NO. IT'S THE PRE-MEETING, SO IT'S ONLY MY SIDE.

	Q.	TAHW	WAS	SAID	IN	THE	PRE	-MEE	TING A	ABOUT
TAHW	A GRI	EAT PA	ARTNE	RSHIP	rı,	wot	llD	BE?	TAHW	KIND
OF T	HINGS	WERE	BEIN	IG CON	TEM	רביזקו	red?	•		

- A. WAS NETSCAPE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS
 OR NOT. AND IF THEY WERE NOT IN THE PLATFORM
 BUSINESS, THEN WHAT COULD WE DO TO PROVIDE A
 GREAT PLATFORM FOR THEM TO CREATE A BUSINESS
 UPON. AND IF THEY WERE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS,
 THAT THEY WERE COMPETING WITH US, AND THAT IS
 AN--AND AS PART OF THAT, THAT IS A HUGE THREAT.
- Q. AND AT THE TIME WHEN DISCUSSION WAS WITH NETSCAPE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS, WHAT DID THAT MEAN? IN WHAT SENSE WAS PLATFORM BUSINESS USED OR MEANT?
- A. WERE THEY IN THE BUSINESS OF--DID THEY
 WANT TO BE IN THE BUSINESS OF CREATING AN
 ALTERNATIVE CLIENT EXPERIENCE TO THE CLIENT
 EXPERIENCE OF WINDOWS AND AN ALTERNATIVE
 DEVELOPMENT PLATFORM THAN THE DEVELOPMENT
 PLATFORM THAT IS WINDOWS, OR WERE THEY IN THE
 BUSINESS OF PROVIDING SOLUTIONS AND SERVICES AND
 PRODUCTS THAT LEVERAGED AND ENHANCED THAT
 PLATFORM VALUE IN WINDOWS.
- Q. AND I THINK YOU SAID IF THEY WERE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS, THAT MEANT THEY WERE

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

COMPETING WITH MICROSOFT AND WERE, THUS, A HUGE
THREAT. WHAT WAS MEANT BY THAT AT THE TIME?

MR. HOLLEY: OBJECT TO THE QUESTION AS ASSUMING FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.

THE WITNESS: BACK TO THE STORY I TOLD YOU ABOUT WEBLICATION, THREE YEARS AGO, FOUR YEARS AGO, AS SOON AS THE INTERNET CAME AROUND, IT WAS--NOT AS SOON AS THE INTERNET CAME AROUND, BUT THE RECOGNITION OF THE IMPORTANCE, IT WAS CLEAR THAT YOU COULD TAKE AND CREATE SOMETHING THAT EXTENDED AND ENHANCED WHAT WAS ON THE INTERNET AND A SET OF SERVICES THAT ARE HTML AND CREATE AN ALTERNATE ENVIRONMENT THAT WOULDN'T NEED WINDOWS ANYMORE, THAT WOULD ABSTRACT AWAY ALL THE VALUE THAT WINDOWS PROVIDED AND MAKE IT JUST A GENERAL PURPOSE--TO QUOTE A NETSCAPE VICE PRESIDENT--PARTIALLY DEBUGGED DEVICE DRIVERS. AND BOY, YOU KNOW, I'M NOT IN THE BUSINESS OF SHIPPING PARTIALLY DEBUGGED DEVICE DRIVERS. ISV'S AREN'T TARGETING MY OPERATING SYSTEM, I'M GOING TO DO MY DAMNEDEST TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY DO. THAT'S MY JOB.

Q. YOU MENTIONED--AND I'M PARAPHRASING SO

IF I GET IT WRONG, PLEASE TELL ME, BUT

ESSENTIALLY THAT THE DISCUSSION IN THE

PRE-MEETING WAS YOU WANTED TO FIND OUT WAS NETSCAPE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS AND IF NOT THEN--SOMETHING FROM THERE.

WHAT WOULD THE ALTERNATIVE BE IF THEY
WEREN'T IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS? WHAT WOULD THE
ALTERNATIVE HAVE BEEN?

MR. HOLLEY: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.

BY MR. MALONE:

- O. GO AHEAD.
- A. AGAIN, TO BE BUILDING SOLUTIONS ON TOP

 OF THE PLATFORM THAT DELIVERED VALUE. THE

 PLATFORM ITSELF--GO TAKE WINDOWS 95 AND RUN IT,

 NO APPLICATIONS, NO NOTHING, OUT OF THE BOX. NOT

 VERY INTERESTING. CAN'T DO MUCH. APPLICATIONS

 MAKE IT INTERESTING. APPLICATIONS BUSINESS, HUGE

 BUSINESS.

SO, THE WEB PLATFORM AND THE PLATFORM ENHANCEMENTS ARE THE SAME WAY. WHEN YOU EXTEND AND ENHANCE AND DELIVER A PLATFORM, THERE IS A VALUE IN PROVIDING THE COMPLETE SOLUTION FOR CUSTOMERS, AND YOU CAN OBTAIN A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF REVENUE IN BUSINESS FROM THAT VALUE.

SO I THINK ABOUT, QUOTE-UNQUOTE, NOTES FOR THE WEB. NETSCAPE COULD HAVE BEEN IN THAT

	1	
	2	
	J	
	ر	-
	4	
	_	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	۵	
	J	
	9	
-	_	
Ţ	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
7	-	
1	כ	
1	6	
1	7	
1		
1	9	
2	n	
ىت	_	
2	1	
2	2	
4	4	
2	3	
_	4	
4	4	ı

BUSINESS AND PROVIDED THAT VALUE. AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A GREAT BUSINESS FOR THEM TO GO AND BE IN. MIGHT BE THE BUSINESS THEY WANT. I DON'T GET TO CHOOSE THAT FOR THEM, BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A FINE BUSINESS.

- Q. WHAT DISCUSSION IN THIS PRE-MEETING
 WERE THERE ABOUT HOW YOU WOULD FIND OUT, HOW YOU
 WOULD INQUIRE WHETHER NETSCAPE WAS IN THE
 PLATFORM BUSINESS OR IN THE BUSINESS OF SOLUTIONS
 THAT WOULD RUN ON TOP OF PLATFORMS?
 - A. WE'D ASK THEM.
- Q. OKAY. AND WHAT DISCUSSION WAS THERE
 ABOUT WHAT KIND OF GREAT PARTNERSHIPS WERE
 DESIRED WITH NETSCAPE?
- A. I ACTUALLY DON'T RECALL. I REMEMBER

 THE GENERAL GIST AND THEMES OF IT, BUT DETAILS I

 DON'T REMEMBER.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL GENERALLY WHAT THE IDEA
 WAS BEHIND PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN MICROSOFT AND
 NETSCAPE?

MR. HOLLEY: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED TWICE.

THE WITNESS: AGAIN, I DON'T REALLY
RECALL, EXCEPT THE IDEAS I TALKED ABOUT
PREVIOUSLY. NO SPECIFICS.

BY MR. MALONE:

- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE
 PRE-MEETING ABOUT HOW WHATEVER NETSCAPE WAS DOING
 WOULD IMPACT UPON MICROSOFT'S INTERNET EXPLORER
 EFFORTS?
- A. IF YOU MEAN DID WE THINK THAT THE

 NETSCAPE BROWSER WAS A PLATFORM THREAT, THE

 ANSWER TO THE QUESTION IS YES BECAUSE THE

 SERVICES THAT IT PROVIDED WERE COMPELLING

 ALTERNATIVES TO THE SERVICES ON WINDOWS. IF YOU

 MEAN, YOU KNOW, DID WE THINK THAT THEIR BROWSER

 WAS GREAT AND SUCCESSFUL AND THE PLATFORM THEY

 PROVIDED WAS REALLY GOOD, THE ANSWER TO THE

 QUESTION WAS YES, I DID THINK THAT.
- Q. DID YOU THINK AT THE TIME OF THE PRE-MEETING, BEFORE YOU WENT IN AND TALKED TO THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE, DID YOU THINK THAT THEY WERE PROVIDING THROUGH THEIR BROWSER WAS--WHAT THEY WERE PROVIDING THROUGH THEIR BROWSER WAS A PLATFORM?
 - A. ABSOLUTELY.
- Q. AND SO, IF YOU THOUGHT THAT, WHAT

 EXACTLY WAS IT THAT YOU WERE TRYING TO FIND OUT

 FROM THEM IN THE COURSE OF THE MEETING ABOUT

 WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE IN THE PLATFORM

7
ı
_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BUSINESS?

THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF PEOPLE IN THE WORLD: PEOPLE WHO BUILD PLATFORMS TO DELIVER SOLUTIONS BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO BE IN THE BUSINESS OF MAINTAINING THOSE OVER TIME, AND THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO BUILD PLATFORMS TO ESTABLISH PLATFORMS AND CREATE REVENUE OFF OF THAT PLATFORM ITSELF. AND THE SOLUTIONS IS A SECOND AND THE QUESTION IS WHICH SEPARATE BUSINESS. CATEGORY THEY WERE IN. DID THEY BUILD TO SELL SOLUTIONS OR ESTABLISH A PLATFORM AND CREATE A DOMINANT PLATFORM AND DERIVE REVENUE FROM IT.

- WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE PRE-MEETING OF ANY WAYS IN WHICH MICROSOFT MIGHT BE ABLE TO PERSUADE NETSCAPE, IN THE COURSE OF THIS MEETING, TO MOVE IN THE DIRECTION OF THE--WHAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED AS THE SORT OF BUILDING SOLUTIONS ON TOP OF PLATFORMS AS OPPOSED TO ESTABLISHING A PLATFORM AS A PLATFORM?
- AGAIN, I DON'T REMEMBER THE SPECIFICS, Α. SO IT'S HARD FOR ME TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.
- DO YOU RECALL JUST GENERALLY, WITHOUT REMEMBERING THE DETAILS, WAS THAT SOMETHING THAT WAS DISCUSSED?
 - IT'S SORT OF LIKE A HAZY DREAM. AND

25

CO-BRANDING AND CO-MARKETING, I'M SURE, WERE
PARTS OF THE PLAN, BUT YOU COULD GIVE ME A PIECE
OF PAPER THAT SHOWED TWO COMPLETELY DIFFERENT
THINGS, AND I MIGHT NOD MY HEAD YES AT BOTH OF
THEM, EVEN THOUGH SOME WERE (SIC) OVERLAPPING
BECAUSE I DON'T REMEMBER THE DETAILS OF WHAT WE
DISCUSSED.

MY ROLE WAS TO FIGURE OUT WAS THERE
EVEN A POSSIBILITY TO DRAW UP A PIECE OF PAPER,
HAVE A PARTNERSHIP. ONCE YOU CROSS THAT BRIDGE,
YOU CAN FIND OUT WHAT THE DETAILS OF THE
PARTNERSHIP ARE. MY FOCUS WAS CAN WE EVEN BE
PARTNERS WITH THESE GUYS.

- Q. WHO DID MOST OF THE TALKING IN THE COURSE OF THE PRE-MEETING?
- A. I DON'T REMEMBER. I HAVE A TENDENCY TO SHOOT MY MOUTH OFF A LOT, SO I MIGHT HAVE DONE MOST OF IT.
- Q. I THINK YOU SAID EARLIER THAT THE

 MEETING ITSELF WAS MAINLY OR PRIMARILY DAN

 ROSEN'S MEETING. WAS THAT TRUE FOR THE

 PRE-MEETING? DID YOU HAVE A PRIMARY ROLE, DO YOU

 KNOW?
- A. YES, HE DID. BUT AGAIN--I MEAN, JUST TO GET BACK TO MY EARLIER ANSWER, I DON'T

REMEMBER. WE WERE SEVEN OR EIGHT PEOPLE SITTING AROUND IN A ROOM TALKING.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. IS IT TRUE THAT JUST GENERALLY THERE
 WAS SOME DISCUSSION IN THE PRE-MEETING ABOUT A
 LINE BETWEEN WHAT WAS PLATFORM TYPE OF
 TECHNOLOGY, IF YOU WILL, OR A CORE KIND OF
 SERVICES VERSUS WHAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED AS
 SOLUTIONS THAT RUN ON TOP OF A PLATFORM?
- TALKED ABOUT THAT. WE CERTAINLY TALKED ABOUT
 THERE IS A LINE BETWEEN SOLUTIONS AND PLATFORM
 AND WHERE IS THAT LINE. BUT IT TURNS OUT TO BE A
 BAND THAT IS REAL GRAY. AND, LIKE, YOU GO FROM
 BLACK TO WHITE, AND WHITE IS TOTALLY SOLUTIONS
 AND BLACK IS TOTALLY PLATFORM AND THE MIDDLE IS
 COMPLETELY GRAY. AND PEOPLE ARE GOING TO POKE AT
 DIFFERENT LEVELS AND PICK AT LEVELS OF THE
 PLATFORM YOU CHOOSE TO ADOPT.
- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT TRYING
 TO INFLUENCE NETSCAPE, IN ANY WAY, TO EITHER MOVE
 TOWARD OR STAY ON ONE SIDE OF THE LINE OR THE
 CENTER OF THE GRAY AREA, IF YOU WILL, AS OPPOSED
 TO SIMPLY FINDING OUT WHERE IT WAS THAT THEY
 INTENDED TO DO BUSINESS?

A. IT WAS BOTH. IT WAS TO FIND OUT WHERE THEY INTENDED TO DO BUSINESS AND SAY, "HEY, WE THINK WE COULD HAVE A GREAT BUSINESS IF YOU RUN THE SOLUTIONS SIDE OF THE LINE."

IT'S LIKE ANY BUSINESS NEGOTIATION YOU
HAVE WITH ANY COMPANY. YOU TRY TO FIND OUT IF
THERE IS COMMON GROUND, AND THEN YOU SAY, "HEY,
IF THERE IS COMMON GROUND, THERE IS AN
OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO WORK TOGETHER."

- Q. WHAT ELSE, IF ANYTHING, DO YOU RECALL WAS DISCUSSED AT THE PRE-MEETING?
- A. THAT BASICALLY COVERS MY RECOLLECTION OF THE PRE-MEETING.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT A
 DESIRE OF ANYBODY ON THE PART OF MICROSOFT WHO
 WAS PARTICIPATING TO BE ABLE TO PERSUADE OR
 INFLUENCE NETSCAPE TO NOT COMPETE WITH MICROSOFT?
- A. ABSOLUTELY. BUT AGAIN, PERSUADE IN THE SENSE OF FORCE OR PERSUADE IN THE SENSE OF, "HEY, WE THINK WE CAN HAVE A GREAT BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP TOGETHER." IT'S THE SAME ANSWER AS MY PREVIOUS ONE.
- Q. IN THE SENSE YOU JUST USED IT, "WE COULD HAVE A GREAT RELATIONSHIP," WHAT DISCUSSION WAS THERE ABOUT PERSUADING THEM NOT TO COMPETE

WITH MICROSOFT BUT, INSTEAD, TO PARTNER?

A. I THINK I'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION AS
TO MANY DIFFERENT WAYS AS I CAN. WE WERE GOING
TO FIND OUT WHAT BUSINESS THEY WERE IN. WE WERE
GOING TO ARTICULATE THAT WE THOUGHT THERE WAS AN
OPPORTUNITY TO WORK TOGETHER, AND THERE WAS A
HUGE BUSINESS IN THE SOLUTIONS SPACE AND ASK THEM
IF THEY WERE INTERESTED IN BEING IN THAT, PLAIN
AND SIMPLE.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. OKAY. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE PRE-MEETING WHETHER IN THE ACTUAL MEETING WITH NETSCAPE MICROSOFT SHOULD SUGGEST OR CONVEY THERE WOULD BE ANY CONSEQUENCES TO NETSCAPE OR ITS BUSINESS IF NETSCAPE CHOSE TO GO IN THE PLATFORM DIRECTION YOU'VE DESCRIBED EARLIER AS OPPOSED TO THE SOLUTIONS DIRECTION?
- A. BUSINESS NEGOTIATION--THE CONVERSATION
 WAS SOMETHING LIKE THE FOLLOWING. WE'RE IN THE
 PLATFORM BUSINESS. WE'RE GOING TO INVEST HEAVILY
 IN THIS PART OF THE PLATFORM BECAUSE WE FEEL IT'S
 CRITICAL TO OUR TECHNOLOGIES. THAT'S A DONE
 DEAL. THERE'S NO OUT OF THIS MEETING WE'RE GOING
 TO DO THIS OR NOT DO THIS. THAT WAS OUR
 BUSINESS. AND WE'RE ASKING THEM WHAT IS YOUR

BUSINESS? IS YOUR BUSINESS PLATFORMS OR
SOLUTIONS? IF IT'S PLATFORMS, WE'RE IN THE
PLATFORMS BUSINESS. WE'RE COMPETING. I THINK
THEY WERE PRETTY CLEAR ON THAT AT THE MEETING,
BUT WE WERE VERY EXPLICIT ABOUT WHAT OUR BUSINESS
WAS AND OUR OBJECTIVES WERE.

AND THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM WAS TO DECIDE THEY WERE IN THE SOLUTIONS BUSINESS OR ACTUALLY ARTICULATE TO US THEY ALREADY WERE, AND THEN WE COULD DEVELOP A PARTNERSHIP AROUND THE INCREDIBLE INVESTMENTS WE WERE GOING TO MAKE IN OUR PLATFORM AND THE COMPLEMENTARY INVESTMENTS THEY COULD MAKE IN SOLUTIONS.

- SO, IN CONSEQUENCES LIKE, GEE, IF YOU
 GUYS DON'T GET OUT--WE'RE GOING TO BE IN THE
 PLATFORM BUSINESS. THERE WAS NO CONSEQUENCE. WE
 WERE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS.
- Q. APART FROM MICROSOFT BEING AND STAYING
 IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS, WAS THERE ANY
 DISCUSSION IN THE PRE-MEETING OF ANY OTHER
 CONSEQUENCES THAT MIGHT BE MENTIONED TO NETSCAPE
 IF THEY WENT IN THE PLATFORM DIRECTION?
 - A. NO, NOT THAT I RECALL.
- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE PRE-MEETING OF TALKING TO NETSCAPE ABOUT THE

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

AVAILABILITY OF ANY WINDOWS API'S THAT THEIR PRODUCTS MIGHT NEED?

- ABSOLUTELY NOT. THEY'RE--WE COMPETE Α. WITH LOTUS, AND THEY BUNDLE OUR BROWSER AND SHIP YOU CAN BE COMPETITORS AND STILL HAVE API'S IT. AVAILABLE. IT'S JUST NOT IN OUR BUSINESS INTEREST TO HAVE THOSE API'S BE CLOSED OR HOLD IT'S JUST STUPID. THEM AS HOSTAGE.
- WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE PRE-MEETING WHETHER TO SAY ANYTHING TO NETSCAPE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT INTERNET EXPLORER WAS GOING TO BE CROSS-PLATFORMED OR JUST BE LIMITED TO THE WINDOWS PLATFORM?
- THERE WERE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT -- THERE WERE DISCUSSIONS WE HAD ABOUT HOW BROADLY AVAILABLE WOULD WE MAKE OUR PLATFORM, AND DEPENDING ON IF THERE COULD HAVE BEEN A PARTNERSHIP WHERE ACTUALLY NETSCAPE DISTRIBUTED THE CROSS-PLATFORM VERSIONS OF OUR PLATFORM BECAUSE OUR MONEY AND VALUE IS IN WINDOWS. WE DO THE CROSS-PLATFORM CLIENTS TO ALLOW ENOUGH REACH FOR DEVELOPERS SO THEY CAN TARGET THE WINDOWS WE DO THAT FOR A LOT OF DIFFERENT SERVICES. TECHNOLOGIES. APPLE DOES THAT FOR QUICKTIME, AND THE MAC, FOR EXAMPLE.

SO, THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT, "HEY, YOU GUYS CAN CONTINUE TO DELIVER CROSS-PLATFORMS, BUT TO CLIENTS THAT ARE COMPLEMENTARY TO THE OFFERINGS THAT WE'LL HAVE ON WINDOWS. BUT TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU DELIVER THAT CLIENT ON WINDOWS AND CREATE AN ALTERNATE PLATFORM TO THE ONE WE'RE GOING TO PROMOTE WITH WINDOWS, THEN WE'RE COMPETING.

- Q. WAS THAT SOMETHING DISCUSSED IN THE PRE-MEETING OR IN THE MEETING WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE?
 - A. I ACTUALLY DON'T RECALL.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL ANY DISCUSSION--FOR NOW

 JUST EITHER IN THE PRE-MEETING OR ACTUAL MEETING

 WITH NETSCAPE, ABOUT WHETHER IF--LET ME STAY WITH

 THE PRE-MEETING. ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER IF

 NETSCAPE'S ANSWER WAS WE'RE GOING TO--WE'RE GOING

 TO BE COMPETING WITH YOU ON WINDOWS FOR THE

 PLATFORM, WHETHER MICROSOFT WOULD THEN SAY IN

 THAT CASE WE WILL BE DEVELOPING CROSS-PLATFORM

 VERSIONS OF INTERNET EXPLORER?
 - A. I DON'T RECALL.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL IF THAT WAS DISCUSSED AT ALL DURING THE MEETING WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE?
 - A. TO THE--I DON'T RECALL. IT'S POSSIBLE.

- Q. YOU SAID A MINUTE AGO THAT THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER IF YOU GUYS CAN DELIVER CROSS-PLATFORM CLIENTS THAT ARE COMPLEMENTARY ON WINDOWS--I'M SORRY, I LOST THE LATTER PART OF THAT--
- A. THAT ARE COMPLEMENTARY TO THE WINDOWS PLATFORM OFFERING WE HAVE.
 - Q. RIGHT. IF THAT, THEN--WHAT WAS THE--
- A. THEN AGAIN, WE CAN HAVE A GOOD

 PARTNERSHIP, WE'RE NOT COMPETING, BECAUSE OUR

 BUSINESS IS MAKING WINDOWS THE BEST PLATFORM FOR

 SOLUTIONS THAT DEVELOPERS WANT AND FOR USERS AND

 CUSTOMERS. AND IT IS IN OUR BUSINESS INTERESTS

 TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS A PLATFORM THAT IS

 RELEVANT, THAT PEOPLE CONTINUE TO TARGET AND

 PROVIDES VALUE AND SERVICES.
- Q. AND WHAT WAS THE ALTERNATIVE TO THAT?

 IF THAT'S NOT WHAT NETSCAPE WAS DOING, IF, IN

 FACT--
- A. IT WAS--AGAIN, THAT CONVERSATION WAS

 MUCH MORE OF A, BOY, IF WE CAN BE PARTNERS

 TOGETHER, HERE ARE SOME POSSIBILITIES. WE DON'T

 GO AND DELIVER CROSS-PLATFORM CLIENTS BECAUSE YOU

 GUYS WILL DO THAT, WE DON'T NEED TO DO THAT.

 LIKE PARTNERSHIPS WITH ANY COMPANY THAT SHIPS

SERVICES ON PLATFORMS, TO THE EXTENT--THE

NETMEETING PARTNERSHIP, THERE IS A COMPANY THAT

BUILDS THE UNIX VERSION OF NETMEETING. IT'S A

GOOD PARTNERSHIP. VERY SENSIBLE PARTNERSHIP. TO

THE EXTENT THOSE GUYS BUILT A WINDOWS CLIENT THAT

COMPETED WITH OUR WINDOWS SET OF SERVICES, THAT

WOULD NOT BE A PARTNERSHIP WE'D ENTER INTO

BECAUSE IT'S NOT STRATEGIC FOR OUR COMPANY.

- Q. WOULD IT BE--I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'VE JUST SAID. WOULD IT BE ACCURATE TO DESCRIBE THE PARTNERSHIP CONTEMPLATED IN THE PRE-MEETING PART BEING THAT NETSCAPE WOULD DELIVER THE CLIENT, IF YOU WILL--AND I ASSUME YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE BROWSER CLIENT?
 - A. SERVICES, YEAH.
- Q. NETSCAPE WOULD DELIVER THAT FOR

 CROSS-PLATFORM, THAT IS, NON-WINDOWS PLATFORMS,

 AND MICROSOFT WOULD DELIVER IT FOR THE WINDOWS

 PLATFORM?
- A. IT'S AN UNFAIR CHARACTERIZATION TO SAY
 THAT--I'LL GET BACK TO MY PREVIOUS STATEMENT.
 WE'RE IN THE BUSINESS OF MAKING WINDOWS GREAT.
 WE'RE IN THE BUSINESS OF ENHANCING THE PLATFORM
 OF WINDOWS. WE VIEWED AT THAT TIME AND CONTINUE
 TO VIEW INTERNET TECHNOLOGY AS A CRITICAL PART OF

THAT PLATFORM. THAT IS NONNEGOTIATION BUSINESS
THAT WE'RE GOING TO ENTER INTO, AND WE FEEL IT IS
CRITICAL FOR US TO EXECUTE ON TO BE SUCCESSFUL.
IN THE CONTEXT OF THAT, WE CAN HAVE A
PARTNERSHIP.

AND CROSS-PLATFORM CLIENTS, TO BE
HONEST, FALL OUTSIDE OF THE CONTEXT OF THAT
CONVERSATION, UNLESS THEY'RE NECESSARY TO PROMOTE
THE ADOPTION OF AND TO MAKE SURE THAT DEVELOPERS
CAN EASILY TARGET WHAT IS ON WINDOWS.

SOLUTIONS ALSO IS OUTSIDE THE CONTEXT

OF THAT CONVERSATION. SOLUTION CAN BE A

COMPLEMENT TO THE PLATFORM IF THEY TAKE ADVANTAGE

OF IT.

SO, THE CONVERSATION REALLY FOCUSED

AROUND, AND OUR COMPANY PHILOSOPHY HAS BEEN

AROUND THE SUCCESS OF WINDOWS MOVING FORWARD AND
HOW CAN WE MAKE WINDOWS SUCCESSFUL, CONTINUE TO
PROVIDE COMPELLING VALUE AND CONTINUE TO BE
RELEVANT FOR CUSTOMERS AND DEVELOPERS.

(EXCERPT.)

- Q. DO YOU REMEMBER HOW LONG THE MEETING ITSELF LASTED WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE?
- A. I DON'T RECALL. THREE HOURS, TWO
 HOURS. I'M NOT SURE. SEEMS ABOUT RIGHT. MAYBE

WE GOT THERE AROUND NOON AND LEFT AROUND 4:00. I

- Q. AND WAS THERE ANY SORT OF POST-MEETING
 OF JUST THE MICROSOFT PEOPLE AFTER THE MEETING
 WITH NETSCAPE CONCLUDED?
- A. WE WENT OUT AND HAD BEERS. I'M NOT SURE IF I'D CALL IT A MEETING.
- Q. WAS THERE ANY TIME, LIKE AFTER YOU GOT BACK TO REDMOND, WAS THERE A MEETING TO DISCUSS WHAT HAD HAPPENED OR ANY FALLOUT FROM THE MEETING WITH NETSCAPE?
- A. I THINK DAN WROTE UP MEETING NOTES FROM
 IT, BUT I'M NOT SURE IF ANYTHING ELSE HAPPENED
 AFTER THAT. THE FALLOUT WAS, "HEY, THOSE GUYS
 ARE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS, AND ARE COMPETING,
 AND WE HAVE TO GO EXECUTE ON A PLAN TO COMPETE."
- Q. GENERALLY, WHAT DID IT MEAN TO GO

 EXECUTE ON THE PLAN TO COMPETE? WHAT DID YOU

 HAVE TO DO THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE DONE DIFFERENTLY

 HAD THEY NOT BEEN IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS?
- A. WELL, THERE IS A SET OF STUFF WE HAD TO
 DO INDEPENDENTLY, WHICH IS ALL ABOUT PROVIDING
 VALUE AND DELIVERING ON THE CUSTOMER NEEDS THAT
 PEOPLE HAVE AND REALLY TRIED TO DELIVER ON THIS
 INVESTIGATION OF WEB COMPUTING OR WEBLICATION OR

HOWEVER YOU WANT TO DESCRIBE IT. BUT THEN THERE
IS, JUST AS WITH ANY COMPETITOR, THERE ARE
MARKETING PROGRAMS YOU'VE GOT TO STIR UP. THERE
ARE FEATURE REVIEWS YOU'VE GOT TO WIN AND YOUR
FOCUS ON WHAT YOU DELIVER CHANGES. AND BUILDING
A SUCCESSFUL PRODUCT IS MEETING CUSTOMER NEEDS AT
THE END OF THE DAY, BUT THERE ARE ALSO OTHER
THINGS, LIKE PRESS REVIEWS AND THINGS YOU HAVE TO
WIN THAT YOU'VE GOT TO FOCUS ON AND DO AND
CUSTOMER ADOPTION YOU'VE GOT TO MAKE SURE THAT
YOU HAVE.

- Q. WAS WORK ON CROSS-PLATFORM VERSIONS OF
 IE SOMETHING THAT YOU HAD TO THEN GO AND EXECUTE
 ON THAT YOU WOULD NOT HAVE HAD TO DO HAD
 NETSCAPE, IN THE COURSE OF THE MEETING, SAID
 "WE'RE NOT IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS"?
- A. I'M NOT SURE--AGAIN, I THINK THAT WE
 WOULD HAVE HAD TO GO EXECUTE ON THAT ANYWAY. DID
 THE FACT THAT WE WERE COMPETING WITH NETSCAPE
 MAKE THAT MORE OF A CRITICAL THING FOR US TO GO
 AND DO? PROBABLY THE ANSWER IS YES. BUT
 CUSTOMERS DEMANDED IT INDEPENDENTLY. LET'S SAY
 WE HADN'T GONE AND DONE IT OR NO ONE DID IT.
 CUSTOMERS WOULD HAVE SCREAMED AT US.
 - Q. CORRECT ME IF I MISCHARACTERIZE THIS.

I THOUGHT YOU SAID A LITTLE WHILE AGO THAT YOU HAD REACHED THE KIND OF PARTNERSHIP THAT YOU HAD IN MIND, YOU WOULD NOT--MICROSOFT WOULD NOT HAVE HAD TO DO CROSS-PLATFORM DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNET EXPLORER.

- A. NO, NO, NO. THAT'S--TO CLARIFY, A

 POSSIBLE PARTNERSHIP WOULD HAVE BEEN ONE WHERE WE

 DIDN'T HAVE TO DO IT, IT THAT WASN'T A

 REQUIREMENT FOR A PARTNERSHIP AT ALL.

 (EXCERPT.)
- Q. IN THE MEETING ITSELF WITH NETSCAPE, DO
 YOU RECALL HOW IT BEGAN? DID SOMEONE FROM
 MICROSOFT MAKE SOME SORT OF PRESENTATION ABOUT
 WHAT YOU HAD IN MIND OR WHAT YOU WERE HOPING FOR?
 - A. I DON'T REMEMBER HOW IT BEGAN.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL IF ONE PERSON IN

 PARTICULAR FROM MICROSOFT PRESENTED THE

 PARTNERSHIP THINKING OR OPPORTUNITIES THAT YOU'VE

 BEEN DESCRIBING SO FAR TO THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE?
- A. THE GROUP OF PEOPLE WE HAD WAS VERY
 DEMOCRATIC, SO A LOT OF--MY RECOLLECTION IS A LOT
 OF PEOPLE SAID A LOT OF THINGS. I MEAN, DAN WAS
 CERTAINLY THE CHAIRPERSON, QUOTE-UNQUOTE, IF YOU
 WANT TO DESCRIBE HIM AS THAT, BUT A LOT OF PEOPLE
 HAD A LOT OF DIFFERENT OPINIONS.

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1.	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

25

1

- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE MEETING WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE THAT IF THEY DID NOT ENTER INTO SOME SORT OF PARTNERSHIP WITH MICROSOFT, THAT MICROSOFT MIGHT ENTER INTO A PARTNERSHIP WITH SOME OTHER PRODUCER OF BROWSERS INSTEAD?
 - A. I DON'T RECALL.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL IF IN THE MEETING WITH

 NETSCAPE THERE WAS ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT, IF

 ANYTHING, MICROSOFT WOULD DO DIFFERENTLY IF THERE

 WAS NOT A PARTNERSHIP AND YOU, IN FACT, WERE

 COMPETING WITH THEM THAN IF YOU WERE ABLE TO

 REACH SOME SORT OF AGREEMENT WITH THEM?
- A. ASIDE FROM NOT TALKING VERY MUCH IN

 TERMS OF, YOU KNOW, CO-MARKETING OPPORTUNITIES, I

 DON'T RECALL ANY SPECIFICS ON THAT.

THE ONLY THING I RECALL WITH THAT

MEETING IS JIM BARKSDALE, LOOKING ME IN THE EYE

AND SAYING, "ALL I WANT IS MY GOD-GIVEN RIGHT TO

95 PERCENT OF THE BROWSER MARKET."

(EXCERPT.)

BY MR. MALONE:

- Q. WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU SAY IN RESPONSE TO THAT.
 - A. I KIND OF LAUGHED. I DIDN'T REALLY

HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO SAY.

AND RECALL, AT THAT POINT MY JOB WAS NOT INTERNET EXPLORER. MY JOB WAS BEING TECHNICAL ASSISTANT FOR PAUL MARITZ. I HAD NO ASSOCIATION OR AFFILIATION WITH THE PRODUCT.

- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION AT ALL IN THE MEETING WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE ABOUT AVAILABILITY OF WINDOWS API'S?
 - A. ABSOLUTELY NOT.

I'LL GO BACK. IT'S A STUPID

CONVERSATION TO HAVE. IT'S BAD FOR CUSTOMERS AND

DEVELOPERS. BESIDES BEING JUST--JUST DUMB.

- Q. SO, THERE WAS NO DISCUSSION--AND I
 WASN'T IMPLYING A DISCUSSION ONE PARTICULAR WAY,
 BUT DID THE SUBJECT OF API'S COME UP AT ALL?
 - A. NOT THAT I RECALL, NO.
- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THE MEETING WITH NETSCAPE PEOPLE THAT ESSENTIALLY UNDER SOME FORM OF A DEAL OR PARTNERSHIP, MICROSOFT COULD TAKE--MICROSOFT ESSENTIALLY WOULD TAKE THE PART OF THE BUSINESS THAT RELATED TO WINDOWS 95 AND NETSCAPE COULD HANDLE REMAINING PARTS OF THE BUSINESS, FOR EXAMPLE, THE CROSS-PLATFORM CLIENTS THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT?
 - A. OH, I BELIEVE THERE WAS A DISCUSSION OF

	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	ı
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	,
2	O	}
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

1

THAT NATURE, YES.

- Q. AND AS BEST YOU CAN RECALL, WHAT WAS THAT DISCUSSION?
- A. AGAIN, IT WAS MORE SAYING--I'LL GET
 BACK TO THE PREVIOUS ANSWER I GAVE. WE'RE IN THE
 BUSINESS OF MAKING WINDOWS AND THE WINDOWS
 PLATFORM VERY SUCCESSFUL. TO THE EXTENT YOU'RE
 INVESTING IN THINGS COMPLEMENTARY TO THAT
 BUSINESS. WHETHER THEY BE CROSS-PLATFORM
 TECHNOLOGIES, SOLUTIONS THAT DRIVE AND TAKE
 ADVANTAGE OF WINDOWS SERVICES, WE'VE GOT A GREAT
 OPPORTUNITY.
- Q. AND WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION OF IF YOU'RE NOT IN THAT BUSINESS, IF YOU'RE IN THE BUSINESS OF PLATFORMS--
- A. IF YOU'RE NOT IN THAT BUSINESS, THEN WE'RE COMPETING ON THE PLATFORM BUSINESS AND WE WISH YOU THE BEST OF LUCK.
- Q. DID ANYONE FROM MICROSOFT ACTUALLY SAY, "WE WISH YOU THE BEST OF LUCK"?
- A. NO. I SAY THAT SARCASTICALLY IN THIS DEPOSITION. MAYBE I SHOULDN'T HAVE.
- Q. I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR ON WHAT WAS ACTUALLY SAID.
 - A. WHAT WAS ACTUALLY SAID--I ACTUALLY

DON'T REMEMBER WHAT WAS ACTUALLY SAID, BUT JUST
THAT WE CAN GO AHEAD AND COMPETE. IT WAS A
PLEASURE HAVING CONVERSATIONS WITH YOU ABOUT IT.
TOO BAD WE COULDN'T GET TO A MUTUAL ARRANGEMENT.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ALONG THE LINES OF IF WE GO AHEAD AND COMPETE, IF THERE IS NO PARTNERSHIP, MICROSOFT WILL ESSENTIALLY OWN THE WINDOWS CLIENT MARKET?
 - A. IF--I'M SORRY, IF WE DON'T COMPETE--
- Q. I'M SORRY. IF YOU DO COMPETE.

 WAS THERE DISCUSSION OF IF YOU,

 NETSCAPE, GO AHEAD WITH A PLATFORM APPROACH AND

 WE DO COMPETE, MICROSOFT WILL OWN THE WINDOWS

 CLIENT BUSINESS?
- A. I THINK THE CONVERSATION WAS MUCH MORE IF WE DO COMPETE, WE ARE GOING TO GO AND BE IN THE COMPANY, WE THINK, THAT DELIVERS AND MEETS CUSTOMER NEEDS. INDEPENDENTLY OF WHETHER WE COMPETE, FORGET THAT. WE'RE GOING TO BUILD THE BEST SOLUTION FOR CUSTOMERS, AND WE THINK WE'LL BUILD ONE CUSTOMERS WILL CHOOSE AND LIKE AND DEVELOPERS WILL WANT TO USE, INSTALL, DEPLOY AND BET THEIR BUSINESS ON. YOU HAVE THE OPTION OF COMPETING WITH US IN THAT SPACE OR YOU HAVE THE

OPTION OF NOT DOING THAT. YOUR CHOICE.

- Q. DO YOU RECALL DISCUSSION ALONG THE LINES OF IF WE DO COMPETE, MICROSOFT WILL OWN THE WINDOWS 95 CLIENT SPACE?
- A. THE DISCUSSION BECAME--I MEAN, I DON'T RECALL ANY DISCUSSIONS OF THAT NATURE. I CAN IMAGINE WHAT WAS SAID IS, "HEY, IF WE DO COMPETE, WE THINK WE'RE GOING TO WIN. WE'RE GOING TO BET ON WINDOWS, AND WE THINK WE'RE GOING TO WIN."
- Q. DO YOU RECALL GENERALLY THAT SORT OF THING BEING SAID?
- A. I DON'T RECALL THAT SORT OF THING BEING SAID, BUT IT'S VERY EASY TO IMAGINE WE SAID SOMETHING--I DON'T RECALL. IT'S HARD FOR ME TO SPECULATE.
- Q. WHAT, IF ANY, DISCUSSION WAS THERE IN
 THE MEETING WITH NETSCAPE ABOUT ANY ADVANTAGES TO
 THEM IN THEIR BUSINESS OF ENTERING INTO SOME SORT
 OF RELATIONSHIP OR PARTNERSHIP WITH MICROSOFT?
- A. BOY, MOST OF THE DISCUSSIONS WERE
 AROUND THE HUGE OPPORTUNITY TO GO BUILD SOLUTIONS
 IN THIS SPACE AND THE FACT THEY COULD SPEND ALL
 THEIR RESOURCES INSTEAD OF BUILDING PLATFORM
 TECHNOLOGY THAT WAS COMPETITIVE, LEVERAGING
 PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY THAT WE BUILT. AND THERE

_ _

WOULD BE GREAT FINANCIAL BENEFITS FOR THEM TO DO
THAT. WE THOUGHT THERE WAS A GREAT BUSINESS
OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM TO GO DO THAT.

- Q. AND WHY DID MICROSOFT THINK IT
 WAS--AGAIN, BASED ON YOUR KNOWLEDGE FROM THE
 DISCUSSION, WHY DID MICROSOFT THINK IT WAS IN ITS
 INTERESTS TO HAVE NETSCAPE DO WHAT WAS SUGGESTED
 THERE, TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THESE GREAT
 OPPORTUNITIES TO DEVELOP SOLUTIONS RATHER THAN TO
 DEVELOP A PLATFORM?
- A. THE SAME REASON WE THOUGHT IT WAS GREAT THAT WORDPERFECT AND LOTUS WOULD GO AND BET ON WINDOWS. YOU NEED GREAT, SMART COMPANIES THAT BET ON YOUR PLATFORM AND DELIVER APPLICATIONS.

 AND WHEN WE WERE LAUNCHING WINDOWS, WE WENT AND BEGGED WORDPERFECT AND LOTUS TO USE THOSE TECHNOLOGIES AND WANTED THEM TO MAKE THAT BET AND INVESTMENT.

IT'S SOLUTIONS THAT DRIVE PEOPLE TO

ADOPT PLATFORMS. IT'S THE PARTNERSHIPS YOU

CREATE AND THE VALUE THOSE MAKE FOR CUSTOMERS.

NETSCAPE IS A SMART COMPANY AND UNDERSTOOD THE

INTERNET COULD DELIVER THOSE SOLUTIONS, AND IT

WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE THEM DRIVING FEATURES INTO

OUR PLATFORM BECAUSE IT MAKES OUR PLATFORM BETTER

AND DELIVERING SOLUTIONS FOR CUSTOMERS BECAUSE IT MAKES THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE BETTER AND MAKES THEM MORE LIKELY TO WANT TO PURCHASE THE PLATFORM.

- Q. HOW WOULD THAT AFFECT--HOW WOULD THAT
 HAVE WORKED DIFFERENTLY FOR MICROSOFT IF NETSCAPE
 HAD SIMPLY FOCUSED ON DEVELOPING SOLUTIONS RATHER
 THAN VIEWING THEIR PRODUCT AS A PLATFORM AS WELL
 AS SOMETHING THAT RAN ON WINDOWS? I MEAN--LET ME
 TRY IT A DIFFERENT WAY.
 - A. OKAY.
- Q. IN TERMS OF WHAT NETSCAPE ULTIMATELY
 DID, THEY DEVELOPED A PRODUCT THAT RAN
 CROSS-PLATFORM AND, IN LARGE PART, RAN ITSELF ON
 WINDOWS 95.

WHY DIDN'T THAT GIVE MICROSOFT THE EFFECT THAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED, BEING A GOOD APPLICATION THAT WOULD RUN ON WINDOWS 95?

A. SAME REASON WORDPERFECT FOR DOS WASN'T
A GOOD APPLICATION FOR WINDOWS. IT DOESN'T TAKE
ADVANTAGE OF THE NEW SERVICES. IT DOESN'T
DELIVER THE INNOVATION ON THE NEW SERVICES.

SO I'M NOT--YOU KNOW, IN SOME SENSES,

JUST BECAUSE NETSCAPE COMPETES WITH US AS A

PLATFORM SPACE DOESN'T MEAN THEY'RE NOT THE BEST

24

25

ISV WE HAVE AROUND WINDOWS. THEY CAN BE BOTH. IT'S NOT BLACK AND WHITE. WORDPERFECT WAS THE BEST--OR LOTUS WAS THE BEST ISV WE HAD AROUND DOS. THEY COMPETED WITH US IN THE SPREADSHEET -- A DIFFERENT ANALOGY, BUT LET'S TAKE NOTES, A BETTER EXAMPLE. THERE ARE PARTS OF NOTES THAT ARE A DEVELOPER PLATFORM, AND THEY'RE A GREAT ISV FOR OUR PLATFORM. WE LOVE THOSE GUYS. THEY DRIVE WINDOWS SALES SUPER. THAT'S WHY WHEN YOU ASKED THE QUESTION ABOUT WITHHOLDING THE API'S, WHAT A JOKE. IF NETSCAPE WOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF ALL THE WINDOWS FEATURES -- IN FACT, WE WANT THEM TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF MORE INCLUDING THE HTML RENDERING AND INCLUDING URLMON. WE WOULD LOVE TO HAVE NETSCAPE IN THAT PARTNERSHIP. THEY'RE NOT TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THOSE SERVICES AND INTRODUCING DIFFERENT SERVICES COMPETITIVE WITH THE ONES WE HAVE IN WINDOWS IS A REAL PROBLEM. IT'S, YOU KNOW, A PROBLEM IN THE SENSE THAT I WANT DEVELOPERS TO WRITE TO WINDOWS. THAT'S MY JOB.

Q. THE ONE PART THAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND

AND I JUST WANT TO FOLLOW UP ON IS, GIVEN THAT

NETSCAPE COULD BE, AS YOU SAID, YOUR BEST ISV

AND--

4 5

A. COULD HAVE BEEN AT THE TIME.

O. COULD HAVE BEEN.

WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN DIFFERENT ABOUT
THEM AS AN ISV BUILDING VALUE FOR WINDOWS HAD YOU
ENTERED INTO SOME SORT OF ARRANGEMENT WITH THEM
VERSUS WHAT HAPPENED WITH THEM PURSUING A
PLATFORM STRATEGY AND COMPETING ON THAT LEVEL?

A. AGAIN, I WOULD HESITATE TO CHARACTERIZE
IT AS AN ARRANGEMENT AND MORE SAY IF THOSE GUYS
HAD CHOSEN TO GO DOWN THE SOLUTIONS PATH, WHAT
WOULD THAT HAVE LOOKED LIKE. THAT WOULD HAVE
BUILT ON TOP OF IIS AND ACTIVE SERVER PAGES AND
THE NT DIRECTORY AND USED ALL THOSE SERVICES THAT
ARE AVAILABLE OR WILL BE AVAILABLE AS PART OF NT
TO FORM THE BACK END OF THEIR SERVICE STRATEGY.

AND CUSTOMERS WHO WANTED TO CREATE A

SPEC SITE OR DOCUMENT DEPOSITORY OR COLLABORATIVE

SITE OR DATABASE FRONT END COULD HAVE

BOUGHT--THEY WOULD HAVE BOUGHT WINDOWS NT AND

BOUGHT A NETSCAPE SERVER THAT RAN ON TOP OF

WINDOWS NT THAT TOOK ADVANTAGE OF THE PLATFORM

CHARACTERISTICS AND DELIVERED CONTENT DOWN TO THE

MICROSOFT PLATFORM, WHICH HAD GREAT DYNAMIC HTML

SUPPORT DELIVERING END-TO-END SOLUTIONS. PRETTY

COOL.

- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION IN THIS

 MEETING WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE OF ANY

 CONSEQUENCES TO NETSCAPE OR ITS BUSINESS OTHER

 THAN--LET ME JUST LEAVE IT AT THAT--OF THEM NOT

 PURSUING THE SOLUTION STRATEGY BUT INSTEAD

 PURSUING AN APPLICATIONS--PURSUING A PLATFORM

 STRATEGY?
- A. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE WERE ANY
 DISCUSSIONS PARTICULARLY ABOUT THAT, LIKE
 CONSEQUENCES. WE THOUGHT WE'D WIN. WE THOUGHT
 WE DELIVERED BETTER VALUE, BETTER PRODUCT.
 SOMEBODY MAY HAVE SAID THAT IN THE ROOM, BUT
 CERTAINLY AT THE TIME I THOUGHT WE WOULD END UP
 DELIVERING BETTER PRODUCT.
- Q. WHAT, IF ANY, REACTION DID YOU GET FROM NETSCAPE AT THE MEETING ITSELF TO WHAT MICROSOFT PEOPLE SAID?
- A. THEY--MY RECOLLECTION IS THEY MOSTLY LISTENED, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF JIM BARKSDALE'S EARLIER COMMENT, AND ASKED US SOME QUESTIONS TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT WHAT OUR BUSINESS WAS, JUST LIKE US ASKING THEM WHAT THEIR BUSINESS WAS.

MY IMPRESSION WAS THEY WERE STILL

TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THEIR BUSINESS WAS.

AND THEY WEREN'T SURE WHAT THE REVENUE MODEL WAS

(EXCERPT.)

GOING TO BE, AND THEY WERE TRYING TO UNDERSTAND IT.

Q. IS PART OF WHAT MICROSOFT PEOPLE WERE SAYING TO NETSCAPE AT THIS MEETING IS WHEREVER THE LINE IS, WHATEVER IS ON THE SOLUTION SIDE VERSUS WHATEVER IS ON THE PLATFORM SIDE, "WE, MICROSOFT, ARE CONTEMPLATING THAT YOU, NETSCAPE,

WILL FOCUS YOUR EFFORTS ON THE SOLUTION SIDE, AND WE'LL FOCUS ON OUR EFFORTS ON THE PLATFORM SIDE"?

A. AGAIN, I THINK I'VE ANSWERED THIS
QUESTION A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT TIMES. WE SAID,
"HERE'S WHERE WE THINK THE PLATFORM IS, HERE'S
WHAT WE THINK THE CAPABILITIES ARE. ARE YOU IN
THIS BUSINESS OR NOT? AND IF YOU ARE, TERRIFIC.
THAT'S YOUR CHOICE TO GO AND DO THAT. IF YOU'RE
NOT, MAYBE WE CAN HAVE A PARTNERSHIP TOGETHER
ABOUT HOW WE CAN BOTH PROMOTE YOUR SOLUTIONS AND
OUR PLATFORM. LET'S FIGURE THAT OUT."

- Q. I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS YOU SAID IN
 DESCRIBING THE PRE-MEETING, IN ADDITION TO
 WONDERING OR FINDING OUT WHERE THEY WERE,
 MICROSOFT WAS INTERESTED IN TRYING TO INFLUENCE
 THE DIRECTION OF WHAT NETSCAPE--
 - A. NO, NO. AGAIN, WE WERE INTERESTED IN

DISCLOSING WHAT OUR BUSINESS INTERESTS WERE AND MAKING THEM FULLY AWARE OF WHAT WE WERE PLANNING ON DOING WITH THE PLATFORM AND THE SYSTEM, JUST LIKE WE DO WITH EVERYBODY ELSE. WHEN WE TOOK TCP/IP AND MADE IT PART OF THE SYSTEM, WE WENT TO ALL THE STACK VENDORS AND SAID, "HEY, GUESS WHAT, WE'RE INNOVATING THE PLATFORM, HERE'S WHAT WE THINK THE CHARACTERISTICS ARE FOR YOUR BUSINESS. LET'S FIGURE OUT WHAT WE CAN DO."

- Q. THAT SOUNDS A LITTLE DIFFERENT--AND IF
 YOU DON'T MEAN IT THAT WAY, TELL ME, BUT SO I
 UNDERSTAND, WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN JUST TELLING
 THEM, "HERE'S WHAT WE'RE DOING, HERE'S WHERE WE
 ARE GOING TO BE"?
- A. BECAUSE WE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THEIR
 BUSINESS WAS. WE HAD NO IDEA AT THE TIME--AND
 AGAIN, THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF COMPANIES:
 COMPANIES WHO BUILD PLATFORM FEATURES TO MAKE
 SURE THEIR SOLUTIONS HAVE THE CAPABILITIES THEY
 NEED, BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY YOU'RE ON AN EXIT
 STRATEGY BECAUSE THAT'S NOT THEIR BUSINESS; AND
 COMPANIES WHO ARE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS.

WE DIDN'T KNOW. AND AT THAT TIME I DON'T THINK THEY KNEW, BUT I KNOW WE DIDN'T KNOW.

Q. AND I CAN UNDERSTAND YOUR WANTING TO

FIND OUT WHERE THEY WERE, BUT WHAT PURPOSE WAS
THERE IN YOUR TELLING THEM, "HERE'S WHAT WE'RE
DOING, WE'RE IN THE PLATFORM BUSINESS"?

- A. THE PURPOSE IS TO FIND OUT IF THERE IS
 A GOOD BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO WORK
 TOGETHER AND GO EXECUTE ON THAT.
- Q. WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING WITH THE NETSCAPE PEOPLE ABOUT WHAT MICROSOFT'S PLANS WERE FOR THE PRICING OF INTERNET EXPLORER?

 DO YOU RECALL THAT?
 - A. I DON'T RECALL THAT.
- Q. DO YOU REMEMBER, WAS THERE ANY MENTION
 THAT WE, MICROSOFT, INTEND TO MAKE INTERNET
 EXPLORER AVAILABLE FREE?
- A. I'M SURE WE SAID WE THINK IT'S PART OF WINDOWS AND WINDOWS VALUES, AND WE'RE GOING TO MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO EVERY SINGLE WINDOWS
 CUSTOMER, SURE. THAT WAS OUR PLAN AT THAT TIME AND ALWAYS HAS BEEN.

YOU HAVE TO RECALL THAT NETSCAPE GAVE
AWAY ITS BROWSER FOR A FAIRLY SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT
OF TIME, AND SO DID THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
BROWSER BEFORE THAT. I MEAN, PEOPLE WERE GIVING
AWAY--IN THE EARLY DAYS OF WINDOWS, THE RUNTIME
VERSION OF WINDOWS WAS AVAILABLE FOR FREE FOR

		I
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
L	0	
L	1	
L	2	
L	3	
L	4	
l	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	

22

23

24

25

ISV'S TO REDISTRIBUTE WINDOWS 1.0. THIS IS HOW PEOPLE GO AND GET DEVELOPERS TO TARGET THEIR PLATFORM. IT'S A FAIRLY COMMON SET OF THINGS TO DO. WHEN YOU ADD PLATFORM ENHANCEMENT TO WINDOWS, YOU MAKE IT AVAILABLE BROADLY TO WINDOWS CUSTOMERS AND TO DEVELOPERS.

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666