

§ 103.170

31 CFR Ch. I (7-1-02 Edition)

by the Department of State as a sponsor of international terrorism under 22 U.S.C. 2371;

(D) A foreign bank operating under an offshore banking license, other than a branch of a foreign bank if such foreign bank has been found by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1841, et seq.) or the International Banking Act (12 U.S.C. 3101, et seq.) to be subject to comprehensive supervision or regulation on a consolidated basis by the relevant supervisors in that jurisdiction;

(E) A person located in, or operating under a license issued by, a jurisdiction that has been designated as non-cooperative with international anti-money laundering principles or procedures by an intergovernmental group or organization of which the United States is a member, with which designation the United States representative to the group or organization concurs; and

(F) A person located in, or operating under a license issued by, a jurisdiction that has been designated by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 5318A as warranting special measures due to money laundering concerns;

(iii) That the operator is in compliance with all applicable provisions of subchapter II of chapter 53 of title 31, United States Code and this part;

(2) Designate a compliance officer who will be responsible for assuring that:

(i) The anti-money laundering program is implemented effectively;

(ii) The anti-money laundering program is updated as necessary to reflect changes in risk factors or the risk assessment, current requirements of part 103, and further guidance issued by the Department of the Treasury; and

(iii) Appropriate personnel are trained in accordance with paragraph (c)(3) of this section;

(3) Provide for education and training of appropriate personnel concerning their responsibilities under the program; and

(4) Provide for an independent audit to monitor and maintain an adequate program. The scope and frequency of the audit shall be commensurate with

the risks posed by the persons authorized to issue or accept the operator's credit card. Such audit may be conducted by an officer or employee of the operator, so long as the reviewer is not the person designated in paragraph (c)(2) of this section or a person involved in the operation of the program.

[67 FR 21126, Apr. 29, 2002]

§ 103.170 Deferred anti-money laundering programs for certain financial institutions.

(a) *Exempt financial institutions.* Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, the following financial institutions (as defined in 31 U.S.C. 5312(a)(2) or (c)(1)) are exempt from the requirement in 31 U.S.C. 5318(h)(1) concerning the establishment of anti-money laundering programs:

(1) An agency of the United States Government, or of a State or local government, carrying out a duty or power of a business described in 31 U.S.C. 5312(a)(2); and

(2) Any of the following businesses or activities that is not described in § 103.120(b) or (c), or subject to the requirements of § 103.125 or § 103.130:

- (i) Dealer in precious metals, stones, or jewels;
- (ii) Pawnbroker;
- (iii) Loan or finance company;
- (iv) Travel agency;
- (v) Telegraph company;
- (vi) Seller of vehicles, including automobiles, airplanes, and boats;
- (vii) Persons involved real estate closings and settlements;
- (viii) Private banker;
- (ix) Insurance company;
- (x) Commodity pool operator;
- (xi) Commodity trading advisor; or
- (xii) Investment company.

(b) *Termination of exemption.* (1) *In general.* Subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, a financial institution described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section shall, effective October 24, 2002, establish and maintain an anti-money laundering program as required by 31 U.S.C. 5318(h)(1).

(2) *Exception.* The provisions of paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall not apply to any financial institution to the extent:

- (i) Provided in guidance issued in a document published in the FEDERAL

REGISTER by the Department of the Treasury (including FinCEN) on or before October 24, 2002, governing the application of 31 U.S.C. 5318(h)(1) to such financial institution; or

(ii) That the Secretary determines that the application of any or all of the requirements of 31 U.S.C. 5318(h)(1) to such financial institution is unnecessary or should continue to be deferred pending further analysis and review.

(c) *Compliance obligations of deferred financial institutions.* Nothing in this section shall be deemed to relieve an exempt financial institution from its responsibility to comply with the applicable requirements of law concerning the reporting of certain transactions in cash, currency, or monetary instruments in accordance with §103.30 or 26 CFR 1.6050I.

[67 FR 21113, Apr. 29, 2002]

APPENDIX A TO PART 103—  
ADMINISTRATIVE RULINGS

88-1 (June 22, 1988)

Issue

What action should a financial institution take when it believes that it is being misused by persons who are intentionally structuring transactions to evade the reporting requirement or engaging in transactions that may involve illegal activity such as drug trafficking, tax evasion or money laundering?

Facts

A teller at X State Bank notices that the same person comes into the bank each day and purchases, with cash, between \$9,000 and \$9,900 in cashier's checks. Even when aggregated, these purchases never exceed \$10,000 during any one business day. The teller also notices that this person tries to go to different tellers for each transaction and is very reluctant to provide information about his frequent transactions or other information such as name, address, etc. Likewise, the payees on these cashier's checks all have common names such as "John Smith" or "Mary Jones." The teller informs the bank's compliance officer that she believes that this person is structuring his transactions in order to evade the reporting requirements under the Bank Secrecy Act. X State Bank wants to know what actions it should take in this situation or in any other situation where a transaction or a person conducting a transaction appears suspicious.

Law and Analysis

As it appears that the person may be intentionally structuring the transactions to evade the Bank Secrecy Act reporting requirements, X State Bank should immediately telephone the local office of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and speak to a Special Agent in the IRS Criminal Investigation Division, or should call 1-800-BSA-CTRS, where his call will be referred to a Special Agent.

Any information provided to the IRS should be given within the confines of §1103(c) of the Right to Financial Privacy Act. 12 U.S.C. 3401-3422. Section 1103(c) of that Act permits a financial institution to notify a government authority of information relevant to a possible violation of any statute or regulation. Such information may consist of the names of any individuals or corporate entities involved in the suspicious transactions; account numbers; home and business addresses; social security numbers; type of account; interest paid on account; location of the branch or office where the suspicious transaction occurred; a specification of the offense that the financial institution believes has been committed; and a description of the activities giving rise to the bank's suspicion. S. Rep. 99-433, 99th Cong., 2d Sess., pp. 15-16.

Additionally, the bank may be required, by the Federal regulatory agency which supervises it, to submit a criminal referral form. Thus, the bank should check with its regulatory agency to determine whether a referral form should be submitted.

Lastly, under the facts as described above, X State Bank is not required to file a Currency Transaction Report ("CTR") because the currency transaction (i.e. purchase of cashier's checks) did not exceed \$10,000 during one business day. If the bank had found that on a particular day the person had in fact used a total of more than \$10,000 in currency to purchase cashier's checks, but had each individual cashier's check made out in amounts of less than \$10,000, the bank is obligated to file a CTR, and should follow the other steps described above.

Holding

If X State Bank notices that a person may be misusing it by intentionally structuring transactions to evade the BSA reporting requirements or engaging in transactions that may involve other illegal activity, the bank should telephone the local office of the Internal Revenue Service, Criminal Investigation Division, and report that information to a Special Agent, or should call 1-800-BSA-CTRS. In addition, the Federal regulatory agency which supervises X State Bank may require the bank to submit a criminal referral form. All disclosures to the Government

should be made in accordance with the provisions of the Right to Financial Privacy Act.

88-2 (June 22, 1988)

#### Issue

When, if ever, should a bank file a CMIR on behalf of its customer, when the customer is importing or exporting more than \$10,000 in currency or monetary instruments?

#### Facts

A customer walks into B National Bank ("B") with \$15,000 in cash for deposit into her account. As is required, the bank teller begins to fill out a Currency Transaction Report ("CTR", IRS Form 4789) in order to report a transaction in currency of more than \$10,000. While the teller is filling out the CTR, the customer mentions to the teller that she has just received the money in a letter from a relative in France. Should the teller also file a CMIR, either on the customer's behalf or on the bank's behalf?

#### Law and Analysis

B National Bank should not file a CMIR when a customer deposits currency in excess of \$10,000 into her account, even if the bank has knowledge that the customer received the currency from a place outside the United States. 31 CFR 103.23 requires that a CMIR be filed by anyone who transports, mails, ships or receives, or attempts, causes or attempts to cause the transportation, mailing, shipping or receiving of currency or monetary instruments in excess of \$10,000, from or to a place outside the United States. The term "monetary instruments" includes currency and instruments such as negotiable instruments endorsed without restriction. *See* 31 CFR 103.11(k).

The obligation to file the CMIR is solely on the person who transports, mails, ships or receives, or causes or attempts to transport, mail, ship or receive. No other person is under any obligation to file a CMIR. Thus, if a customer walks into the bank and declares that he or she has received or transported currency in an aggregate amount exceeding \$10,000 from a place outside the United States and wishes to deposit the currency into his or her account, the bank is under no obligation to file a CMIR on the customer's behalf. Likewise, because the bank itself did not receive the money from a customer outside the United States, it has no obligation to file a CMIR on its own behalf. The same holds true if a customer declares his intent to transport currency or monetary instruments in excess of \$10,000 to a place outside the United States.

However, the bank is strongly encouraged to inform the customer of the CMIR reporting requirement. If the bank has knowledge that the customer is aware of the CMIR re-

porting requirement, but is nevertheless disregarding the requirement or if information about the transaction is otherwise suspicious, the bank should contact the local office of the U.S. Customs Service or 1-800-BE ALERT. The United States Customs Service has been delegated authority by the Assistant Secretary (Enforcement) to investigate criminal violations of 31 CFR 103.23. *See* 31 CFR 103.36(c)(1).

Any information provided to Customs should be given within the confines of section 1103(c) of the Right to Financial Privacy Act, 12 U.S.C. 3401-3422. Section 1103(c) permits a financial institution to notify a Government authority of information relevant to a possible violation of any statute or regulation. Such information may consist of the name (including those of corporate entities) of any individual involved in the suspicious transaction; account numbers; home and business addresses; social security numbers; type of account; interest paid on account; location of branch where the suspicious transaction occurred; a specification of the offense that the financial institution believes has been committed; and a description of the activities giving rise to the bank's suspicions. *See* S. Rep. 99-433, 99th Cong., 2nd Sess., pp. 15-16. Therefore, under the facts above, the teller need only file a CTR for the deposit of the customer's \$15,000 in currency.

A previous interpretation of §103.23(b) by Treasury held that if a bank received currency or monetary instruments over the counter from a person who may have transported them into the United States, and knows that such items have been transported into the country, it must file a report on Form 4790 if a complete and truthful report has not been filed by the customer. *See* 31 CFR 103 appendix, §103.23, interpretation 2, at 364 (1987). This ruling hereby supersedes that interpretation.

#### Holding

A bank should not file a CMIR when a customer deposits currency or monetary instruments in excess of \$10,000 into her account even if the bank has knowledge that the currency or monetary instruments were received or transported from a place outside the United States. 31 CFR 103.23. The same is true if the bank has knowledge that the customer intends to transport the currency or monetary instruments to a place outside the United States. However, the bank is required to file a CTR if it receives in excess of \$10,000 in cash from its customer, and is strongly encouraged to inform the customer of the CMIR requirements. In addition, if the bank has knowledge that the customer is aware of the CMIR reporting requirement and is nevertheless planning to disregard it or if the transaction is otherwise suspicious, the bank should notify the local office of the United

States Customs Service (or 1-800-*Be Alert*) of the suspicious transaction. Such notice should be made within the confines of the Right to Financial Privacy Act, 12 U.S.C. 3403(c).

88-3 (June 22, 1988)

Issue

Whether a bank may exempt "cash-back" transactions of a customer whose primary business is of a type that may be exempted either unilaterally by the bank or pursuant to additional authority granted by the IRS.

Facts

The ABC Grocery ("ABC"), a retail grocery store, has an account at the X State Bank for its daily deposits of currency. Because ABC regularly and frequently deposits amounts ranging from \$20,000 to \$30,000, the bank has properly granted ABC an exemption for daily deposits up to a limit of \$30,000.

Recently, ABC began providing its customers with a check-cashing service as an adjunct to its primary business of selling groceries. ABC's primary business still consists of the sale of groceries. However, the unexpectedly heavy demand for ABC's check-cashing service has required ABC to maintain a substantially greater quantity of cash in the store than was necessary for the grocery business in the past. To facilitate the operations of its check-cashing service, ABC is presenting the bank with large numbers of checks in "cash-back" transactions, rather than depositing the checks into its account and withdrawing cash from that account. X State Bank has just been presented with a "cash-back" transaction wherein an employee of ABC is exchanging \$15,000 worth of checks for cash. How should the bank treat this transaction?

Law and Analysis

A *cash back* transaction is one where one or more checks or other monetary instruments are presented in exchange for cash or a portion of the checks or monetary instruments are deposited while the remainder is exchanged for cash. "Cash back" transactions can never be exempted from the Bank Secrecy Act reporting requirements. Thus, the bank must file a Currency Transaction Report on IRS Form 4789 reporting this \$15,000 "cash back" transaction, even though the customer's account has been granted an exemption for daily deposits of up to \$30,000. This is because §103.22(b)(1) permits a bank to exempt only "(d)eposits or withdrawals of currency from an existing account by an established depositor who is a United States resident and operates a retail type of business in the United States" (emphasis added). As "cash-back" transactions do not con-

stitute either a "deposit or withdrawal of currency" within the meaning of the regulations, the bank must report on a CTR any "cash-back" transaction that results in the transfer of more than \$10,000 in currency to a customer during a single banking day, regardless of whether the customer has properly been granted an exemption for its deposits or withdrawals.

Moreover, because "cash back" transactions are never exemptible, the bank may not unilaterally exempt "cash-back" transactions by ABC, or seek additional authority from the IRS to grant a special exemption for ABC's "cash-back" transactions. Instead, the bank must report ABC's "cash back" transaction on a CTR, listing it as a \$15,000 "check cashed" transaction.

Holding

A bank may never grant a unilateral exemption, or obtain additional authority from the IRS to grant a special exemption to the "cash-back" transactions of a customer. A "cash back" transaction is one where one or more checks or other monetary instruments are presented in exchange for cash or a portion of the checks or monetary instruments are deposited while the remainder is exchanged for cash. If a bank handles a "cash-back" transaction that results in the transfer of more than \$10,000 to a customer during a single banking day, it must report that transaction on IRS Form 4789, the Currency Transaction Report, as a "check cashed" transaction, regardless of whether the customer has been properly granted an exemption for daily deposits or withdrawals.

88-4 (August 2, 1988)

Issue

If a bank has exempted a single account of a customer into which multiple establishments of that customer make deposits, must the bank list all of the establishments on its exemption list or may the bank list only the §103.22(f) information of the customer's headquarters or its principal business establishment on its exemption list?

Facts

A fast food company operates a chain of fast-food restaurants in several states. In New York, the company has established a single deposit account at Bank A, into which all of the company's establishments in that area make deposits. In Connecticut, the company has established ten bank accounts at Bank B; each of the company's ten establishments in Connecticut have been assigned a separate account into which it makes deposits. Banks A and B have properly exempted the company's accounts, but now seek guidance on the manner in which they should add these accounts to their exemption lists. All

of the company's establishments use the same taxpayer identification number ("TIN").

#### Law and Analysis

Under the regulations, the bank must keep "in a centralized list," §103.22(f) information for "each depositor that has engaged in currency transactions which have not been reported because of (an) exemption \* \* \*" However, where all of the company's establishments deposit into one exempt account as at Bank A, above, the bank need only maintain §103.22(f) information on its list for the customer's corporate headquarters or the principal establishment that obtained the exemption. The bank may, but is not required to, list identifying information for all of the customers' establishments depositing into the one account. If the bank chooses to list only the information for the customer's headquarters or principal establishment, it should briefly note that on the exemption list and should ensure that the individual addresses for each establishment are readily available upon request. Where each of the company's establishments deposit into separate exempt accounts as at Bank B, the bank must maintain separate §103.22(f) information on the exemption list for each establishment.

Under §103.22(b)(2) (i), (ii), and (iv) and §103.22(e) of the regulation, a bank can only grant an exemption for "an existing account (of) an established depositor who is a United States resident." Under these provisions, therefore, the bank can only grant an exemption for an existing individual account, not for an individual customer or group of accounts. Thus, if a customer has a separate account for each of its business establishments, the bank must consider each account for a separate exemption. If the bank grants exemptions for more than one account, it should prepare a separate exemption statement and establish a separate dollar limit for each account.

Once an exemption has been granted for an account, §103.22(f) requires the bank to maintain a centralized exemption list that includes the name, address, business, types of transactions exempted, the dollar limit of the exemption, taxpayer identification number, and account number of the customers whose accounts have been exempted.

#### Holding

Under 31 CFR 103.22, when a bank has exempted a single account of a customer into which more than one of the customer's establishments make deposits, the bank may include the name, address, business, type of transactions exempted, the dollar limit of the exemption, taxpayer identification number, and account number ("§103.22(f) information") of either the customer's head-

quarters or the principal business establishment, or it may separately list §103.22(f) information for each of the establishments using that account. If the bank chooses to list only the information for the customer's headquarters or principal establishment, it should briefly note that fact on the exemption list, and it should ensure that the individual addresses of those establishments not on the list are readily available upon request. If a bank has granted separate exemptions to several accounts, each of which is used by a single establishment of the same customer, the bank must include on its exemption list §103.22(f) information for each of those establishments. Previous Treasury correspondence or interpretations contrary to this policy are hereby rescinded.

88-5 (August 2, 1988)

#### Issue

Does a financial institution have a duty to file a CTR on currency transactions where the financial institution never physically receives the cash because it uses an armored car service to collect, transport and process its customer's cash receipts?

#### Facts

X State Bank (the "Bank") and Acme Armored Car Service ("Acme") have entered into a contract which provides for Acme to collect, transport and process revenues received from Bank customers:

Each day, Acme picks up cash, checks, and deposit tickets from Little Z, a non-exempt customer of the Bank. Recently, receipts of cash from Little Z have exceeded \$10,000. Acme delivers the checks and deposit tickets to the Bank where they are processed and Little Z's account is credited. All cash collected, however, is taken by Acme to its central office where it is counted and processed. The cash is then delivered by Acme to the Federal Reserve Bank for deposit into the Bank's account. Must the Bank file a CTR to report a receipt of cash in excess of \$10,000 by Acme from Little Z?

#### Law and Analysis

Yes. Since Acme is receiving cash in excess of \$10,000 on behalf of the Bank, the Bank must file a CTR in order to report these transactions.

Section 103.22(a)(1) requires "(e)ach financial institution \* \* \* [to] file a report of each deposit, withdrawal, exchange of currency or other payment or transfer, by, through or to such financial institution which involves a transaction in currency of more than \$10,000." Section 103.11 (a) and (g) defines "Bank" and "Financial Institution" to include agents of those banks and financial institutions.

Under the facts presented, Acme is acting as an agent of the Bank. This is because Acme and the Bank have a contractual relationship whereby the Bank has authorized Acme to pick up, transport and process Little Z's receipts on behalf of the Bank. The Federal Reserve Bank's acceptance of deposits from Acme into the Bank's account at the Fed, is additional evidence of the agency relationship between the Bank and Acme.

Therefore, when Acme receives currency in excess of \$10,000 from Little Z, the Bank must report that transaction on Form 4789. Likewise, if Acme receives currency from Little Z in multiple transactions, §103.22(a)(1) requires the Bank to aggregate these transactions and file a single CTR for the total amount of currency received by Acme, if the Bank has knowledge of these multiple transactions. Knowledge by the Bank's agent, i.e., Acme, that the currency was received in multiple transactions, is attributable to the Bank. The Bank must assure that Acme, as its agent, obtains all the information and identification necessary to complete the CTR.

Holding

Financial institutions must file a CTR for the currency received by an armored car service from the financial institution's customer when the armored car service physically receives the cash from the customer, transports it and processes the receipts, even though the currency may never physically be received by the financial institution. This is because the armored car service is acting as an agent of the financial institution.

89-1 (January 12, 1989)

Issue

Under §103.22 of the BSA regulations, may a bank unilaterally grant one exemption or establish a single dollar exemption limit for a group of existing accounts of the same customer? If not, may a bank obtain additional authority from the IRS to grant a single exemption for a group of exemptible accounts belonging to the same customer?

Facts

ABC Inc. ("ABC"), with TIN 12-3456789, owns five fast food restaurants. Each restaurant has its own account at the X State Bank and each restaurant routinely deposits less than \$10,000 into its individual account. However, when the deposits into these five accounts are aggregated they regularly and frequently exceed \$10,000. Accordingly, the bank prepares and files one CTR for ABC Inc., on each business day that ABC's aggregated currency transactions exceed \$10,000. X State Bank wants to know whether it can unilaterally exempt these five accounts having the same TIN, and, if not, whether it can

obtain additional authority from the IRS to grant a single exemption to the group of five accounts belonging to ABC.

Law and Analysis

Under §103.22(b)(2) (i) and (ii) of the Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA") regulations, 31 CFR part 103, only an individual account of a customer may be unilaterally exempted from the currency transaction reporting provisions. The bank may not unilaterally grant one exemption or establish a single dollar exemption limit for multiple accounts of the same customer. This is because §§103.22(b)(2)(i) and 103.22(b)(2)(ii) of the BSA regulations only permit a bank to unilaterally exempt "[d]eposits or withdrawals of currency from an existing account by an established depositor who is a United States resident and operates a retail type of business in the United States." 31 CFR 103.22(b)(2) (i) and (ii).

Section 103.22(e) of the BSA regulations provides, however, that "[a] bank may apply to the \* \* \* [IRS] for additional authority to grant exemptions to the reporting requirements not otherwise permitted under paragraph (b) of this section \* \* \*" 31 CFR 103.22(e). Therefore, under this authority, and at the request of a bank, the IRS may, in its discretion, grant the requesting bank additional authority to exempt a group of accounts when the following conditions are met:

- (1) Each of the accounts in the group is owned by the same person and has the same taxpayer identification number.
- (2) The deposits or withdrawals into each account are made by a customer that operates a business that may be either unilaterally or specially exemptible and each account meets the other exemption criteria (except for the dollar amount).
- (3) Currency transactions for each account individually do not exceed \$10,000 on a regular and frequent basis.
- (4) Aggregated currency transactions for all accounts included in the group regularly and frequently exceed \$10,000.

If a bank determines that an exemption would be appropriate in a situation involving a group of accounts belonging to a single customer, it must apply to the IRS for authority to grant one special exemption covering the accounts in question. As with all requests for special exemptions, any request for additional authority to grant a special exemption must be made in writing and accompanied by a statement of the circumstances that warrant special exemption treatment and a copy of the statement signed by the customer as required by §103.22(d). 31 CFR 103.22(d).

Additional authority to grant a special exemption for a group of accounts must be obtained from the IRS regardless of whether the businesses may be unilaterally exempted

under §103.22(b)(2), because the exemption, if granted, would apply to a group of existing accounts as opposed to an individual existing account, 31 CFR 103.22(b)(2).

Also, if any one of a given customer's accounts has regular and frequent currency transactions which exceed \$10,000, that account may not be included in the group exemption. This is because the bank may, as provided by §103.22(b)(2), either unilaterally exempt that account or obtain authority from the IRS to grant a special exemption for that account if it meets the other criteria for exemption. Thus, only accounts of exemptible businesses which do not have regular and frequent (*e.g.*, daily, weekly or twice a month) currency transactions in excess of \$10,000 may be eligible for a group exemption.

The intention of this special exemption is to permit banks to exempt the accounts of established customers, such as the ABC Inc. restaurants described above, which are owned by the same person and have the same TIN but which individually do not have sufficient currency deposit or withdrawal activity that regularly and frequently exceed \$10,000.

#### Holding

If X State Bank determines that an exemption would be appropriate for ABC Inc., it must apply to the IRS for authority to grant one special exemption covering ABC's five separate accounts. As with all requests for special exemptions, ABC's request for additional authority to grant a special exemption must be made in writing and accompanied by a statement of the circumstances that warrant special exemption treatment and a copy of the statement signed by the customer as required by §103.22(d). 31 CFR 103.22(d). The IRS may, in its discretion, grant additional authority to exempt the ABC accounts if: (1) They have the same taxpayer identification number; (2) they each are for customers that operate a business that may be either unilaterally or specially exemptible and each account meets the other exemption criteria (except for dollar amount); (3) the currency transactions for each account individually do not exceed \$10,000 on a regular and frequent basis; but (4) when aggregated the currency transactions for all the accounts regularly and frequently do exceed \$10,000.

89-2 (June 21, 1989)

#### Issue

When a customer has established bank accounts for each of several establishments that it owns, and the bank has exempted one or more of those accounts, how does the bank aggregate the customer's currency transactions?

#### Facts

X Company ("X") operates two fast-food restaurants and a wholesale food business. X has opened separate bank accounts at the A National Bank (the "Bank") for each of its two restaurants, account numbers 1 and 2 respectively. Each of these two accounts has been properly exempted by the bank. Account number 1 has an exemption limit of \$25,000 for deposits, and account number 2 has an exemption limit of \$40,000 for deposits. X also has a third account, account number 3, at the bank for use in the operation of its wholesale food business. On occasion, cash deposits of more than \$10,000 are made into this third account. Because these cash deposits are infrequent, the bank cannot obtain additional authority to grant this account a special exemption.

During the same business day, two \$15,000 cash deposits totalling \$30,000 are made into account number 1, a separate cash deposit of \$35,000 is made into account number 2 and a deposit of \$9,000 in currency is made into account number 3 (X's account for its wholesale food business).

The bank must now determine how to aggregate and report all of these transactions on a Form 4789, Currency Transaction Report, ("CTR"). Must they aggregate all of the deposits made into account numbers 1, 2 and 3 and report them on a single CTR?

#### Law and Analysis

Section 103.22 of the Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA"), 31 CFR part 103, requires a financial institution to treat multiple currency transactions "as a single transaction if the financial institution has knowledge that they are by or on behalf of any person and result in either cash-in or cash-out totalling more than \$10,000 during any one business day." This means that a financial institution must file a CTR if it knows that multiple currency transactions involving two or more accounts have been conducted by or on behalf of the same person and, those transactions, when aggregated, exceed \$10,000. Knowledge, in this context, means knowledge on the part of a partner, director, officer or employee of the institution or on the part of any existing computer or manual system at the institution that permits it to aggregate transactions.

Thus, if the bank has knowledge of multiple transactions, the bank should aggregate the transactions in the following manner.

First, the bank should separately review and total all cash-in and cash-out transactions within each account. Cash-in transactions should be aggregated with other cash-in transactions and cash-out transactions should be aggregated with cash-out

transactions. Cash-in and cash-out transactions should not be aggregated together or offset against each other.

Second, the bank should determine whether the account has an exemption limit. If the account has an exemption limit, the bank should determine whether it has been exceeded. If the exemption limit has not been exceeded, the transactions for the exempted account should not be aggregated with other transactions.

If the total transactions during the same business day for a particular account exceed the exemption limit, the total of all of the transactions for that account should be aggregated with the total amount of the transactions for other accounts that exceed their respective exemption limits, with any accounts without exemption limits, and with transactions conducted by or on behalf of the same person that do not involve accounts (e.g., purchases of bank checks with cash) of which the bank has knowledge.

In the example discussed above, all of the transactions have been conducted "on behalf of" X, as X owns the restaurants and the wholesale food business. The total \$30,000 deposit for account 1 exceeds the \$25,000 exemption limit for that account. The \$35,000 deposit into account number 2 is less than the \$40,000 exemption limit for that account. Finally, the \$9,000 deposit into account number 3, does not by itself constitute a reportable transaction.

Therefore, under the facts above, the bank should aggregate the entire \$30,000 deposit into account number 1 (not just the amount that exceeds the exemption limit), with the \$9,000 deposit into account number 3, for a total of \$39,000. The bank should not include the \$35,000 deposit into account number 2, as that deposit does not exceed the exemption limit for that account. Accordingly, the bank should complete and file a single CTR for \$39,000.

If the bank does not have knowledge that multiple currency transactions have been conducted in these accounts on the same business day (e.g., because it does not have a system that aggregates among accounts and the deposits were made by three different individuals at different times) the bank should file one CTR for \$30,000 for account number 1, as the activity into that account exceeds its exemption limit.

#### Holding

When a customer has more than one account and a bank employee has knowledge that multiple currency transactions have been conducted in the accounts or the bank has an existing computer or manual system that permits it to aggregate transactions for multiple accounts, the bank should aggregate the transactions in the following manner.

First, the bank should aggregate for each account all cash-in or cash-out transactions conducted during one business day. If the account has an exemption limit, the bank should determine whether the exemption limit of that account has been exceeded. If the exemption limit has not been exceeded, the total of the transactions for that particular account does not have to be aggregated with other transactions. If the total transactions during the same business day for a particular account exceed the exemption limit, however, the total of all of the transactions for that account should be aggregated with any total from other accounts that exceed their respective exemption limits, with any accounts without exemption limits, and with any reportable transactions conducted by or on behalf of the customer not involving accounts (e.g., purchases of bank checks or "cash back" transactions) of which the bank has knowledge. The bank should then file a CTR for the aggregated amount.

89-5 (December 21, 1989)

#### Issue

How does a financial institution fulfill the requirement that it furnish information about the person on whose behalf a reportable currency transaction is being conducted?

#### Facts

*No. 1.* Linda Scott has had an account relationship with the Bank for 15 years. Ms. Scott enters the bank and deposits \$15,000 in cash into her personal checking account. The bank knows that Ms. Scott is an artist who on occasions exhibits and sells her art work and that her art work currently is on exhibit at the local gallery. The bank further knows that cash deposits in the amount of \$15,000 are commensurate with Ms. Scott's art sales.

*No. 2.* Dick Wallace has recently opened a personal account at the Bank. Although the bank verified his identity when the account was opened, the bank has no additional information about Mr. Wallace. Mr. Wallace enters the bank with \$18,000 in currency and asks that it be wire transferred to a bank in a foreign country.

*No. 3.* Dorothy Green, a partner at a law firm, makes a \$50,000 cash deposit into the firm's trust account.<sup>1</sup> The bank knows that this is a trust account. The \$50,000 represents cash received from three clients.

<sup>1</sup>This type of account is sometimes called a trust account, attorney account or special account. It is an account established by an attorney into which commingled funds of clients may be deposited. It is not necessarily a "trust" in the legal sense of the term.

*No. 4.* Carlos Gomez enters a Currency Dealer and asks to buy \$12,000 in traveler's checks with cash.

*No. 5.* Gail Julian, a trusted employee of Q-mart, a large retail chain, enters the bank three times during one business day and makes three large cash deposits totalling \$48,000 into Q-mart's account. The Bank knows that Ms. Julian is responsible for making the deposits on behalf of Q-mart. Q-mart has an exemption limit of \$45,000.

#### Law and Analysis

Under §103.28 of the Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA") regulations, 31 CFR part 103, a financial institution must report on a Currency Transaction Report ("CTR") the name and address of the individual conducting the transaction, and the identity, account number, and the social security or taxpayer identification number of any person on whose behalf the transaction was conducted. See 31 U.S.C. 5313. "A participant acting for another person shall make the report as the agent or bailee of the person and identify the person for whom the transaction is being made." Identifying information about the person on whose behalf the transaction is conducted must always be furnished if the transaction is reportable under the BSA, regardless of whether the transaction involves an account.

Because the BSA requires financial institutions to file complete and accurate CTR's, it is the financial institution's responsibility to ascertain the real party in interest. 31 U.S.C. 5313. One way that a financial institution can obtain information about the identity of the person on whose behalf the transaction is being conducted is to ask the person conducting the transaction whether he is acting for himself or on behalf of another person. Only if as a result of strong "know your customer" or other internal control policies, the financial institution is satisfied that its records contain information concerning the true identity of the person on whose behalf the transaction is conducted, may the financial institution rely on those records to complete the CTR.

*No. 1.* Linda Scott, an artist, is a known customer of the bank. The bank is aware that she is exhibiting her work at a local gallery and that cash deposits in the amount of \$15,000 would not be unusual or inconsistent with Ms. Scott's business practices. Therefore, if the bank through its stringent "know your customer" policies is satisfied that the money being deposited by Ms. Scott into her personal account is for her benefit, the bank need not ask Ms. Scott whether she is acting on behalf of someone else.

*No. 2.* Because Dick Wallace is a new customer of the bank and because the bank has no additional information about him or his business activity, the bank should ask Mr. Wallace whether he is acting on his own be-

half or on behalf of someone else. This is particularly true given the nature of the transaction—a wire transfer with cash for an individual to a foreign country.

*No. 3.* Dorothy Green's cash deposit of \$50,000 into the law firm's trust account clearly is being done on behalf of someone else. The bank should ask Ms. Green to identify the clients on whose behalf the transaction is being conducted. Because Ms. Green is acting both on behalf of her employer and the clients, the names of the three clients and the law firm should be included on the CTR filed by the bank.

*No. 4.* The currency dealer, having no account relationship with Carlos Gomez, should ask Mr. Gomez if he is acting on behalf of someone else.

*No. 5.* Gail Julian is known to the bank as a trusted employee of Q-mart, who often deposits cash into Q-mart's account. If the bank, through its strong "know your customer" policies is satisfied that Ms. Julian makes these deposits on behalf of Q-mart, the bank need not ask her if she is acting on behalf of someone other than Q-mart.

#### Holding

It is the responsibility of a financial institution to file complete and accurate CTRs. This includes providing identifying information about the person on whose behalf the transaction is conducted in Part II of the CTR. One way that a financial institution can obtain information about the true identity of the person on whose behalf the transaction is being conducted is to ask the person conducting the transaction whether he is acting for himself or on behalf of another person. Only if as a result of strong "know your customer" or other internal control policies, the financial institution is satisfied that its record contain the necessary information concerning the true identity of the person on whose behalf the transaction is being conducted, may the financial institutions rely on those records in completing the CTR.

92-1 (November 16, 1992)

31 U.S.C. 5313—Reports on Domestic Coins and Currency Transactions

31 U.S.C. 5325—Identification Required to Purchase Certain Monetary Instruments

31 CFR 103.28—Identification Required

31 CFR 103.29—Purchases of Bank Checks and Drafts, Cashier's Checks, Money Orders and Traveler's Checks

Identification of elderly or disabled patrons conducting large currency transactions. Financial institutions must file a form 4789, Currency Transaction Report (CTR) on transactions in currency in excess of \$10,000, and must verify and record information about the identity of the person(s) who conduct(s) the transaction in Part I of

the CTR. Financial institutions also must record on a chronological log sales of, and verify the identity of individuals who purchase, certain monetary instruments with currency in amounts between \$3,000 and \$10,000, inclusive. Many financial institutions have asked Treasury how they can meet the requirement to examine an identifying document that contains the person's name and address when s/he does not possess such a document (e.g., a driver's license). Financial institutions have indicated that this question arises almost exclusively with their elderly and/or disabled patrons. This Administrative Ruling answers those inquiries.

Issue

How does a financial institution fulfill the requirement to verify and record the name and address of an elderly or disabled individual who conducts a currency transaction in excess of \$10,000 or who purchases certain monetary instruments with currency valued between \$3,000 and \$10,000 when he/she does not possess a passport, alien identification card or other official document, or other document that is normally acceptable within the banking community as a means of identification when cashing checks for non-depositors?

Holding

It is the responsibility of a financial institution to file complete and accurate CTRs and to maintain complete and accurate monetary instrument logs pursuant to 31 CFR §§103.27(d) and 103.29 of the BSA regulations. It is also the responsibility of a financial institution to verify and to record the identity of individuals conducting reportable currency transactions and/or cash purchases of certain monetary instruments as required by BSA regulations §§103.28 and 103.29. Only if the financial institution is confident that an elderly or disabled patron is who s/he says s/he is may it complete these transactions. A financial institution shall use whatever information it has available, in accordance with its established policies and procedures, to determine its patron's identity. This includes review of its internal records for any information on file, and asking for other forms of identification, including a social security or medicare/medicaid card along with another document which contains both the patron's name and address such as an organizational membership card, voter registration card, utility bill or real estate tax bill. These forms of identification shall also be identified as acceptable in the bank's formal written policy and operating procedures as identification for transactions involving the elderly or the disabled. Once implemented, the financial institution should permit no exception to its policy and procedures. In these cases, the financial institution should record

the word "Elderly" or "Disabled" on the CTR and/or chronological log and the method used to identify the elderly, or disabled patron such as "Social Security and (organization) Membership Card only ID."

Law and Analysis

Before concluding a transaction for which a Currency Transaction Report is required pursuant to 31 CFR 103.22, a financial institution must verify and record the name and address of the individual conducting the transaction. 31 CFR 103.28. Verification of the individual's identity must be made by examination of a document, other than a bank signature card, that is normally acceptable within the banking community as a means of identification when cashing checks for non-depositors (e.g., a driver's license). A bank signature card may be relied upon only if it was issued after documents establishing the identity of the individual were examined and a notation of the method and specific information regarding identification (e.g., state of issuance and driver's license number) was made on the signature card. In each instance, the specific identifying information noted above and used to verify the identity of the individual must be recorded on the CTR. The notation of "known customer" or "bank signature card on file" on the CTR is prohibited. 31 CFR 103.28.

Before issuing or selling bank checks or drafts, cashier's checks, traveler's checks or money orders to an individual(s), for currency between \$3,000 and \$10,000, a financial institution must verify whether the individual has a deposit account or verify the individual's identity. 31 CFR 103.29. Verification may be made by examination of a signature card or other account record at the financial institution if the deposit account holder's name and address were verified at the time the account was opened, or at any subsequent time, and that information was recorded on the signature card or record being examined.

Verification may also be made by examination of a document that contains the name and address of the purchaser and which is normally acceptable within the banking community as a means of identification when cashing checks for nondepositors. In the case of a deposit account holder whose identity has not been previously verified, the financial institution shall record the specific identifying information on its chronological log (e.g. state of issuance and driver's license number). In all situations, the financial institution must record all the appropriate information required by §103.29(a)(1)(i) for deposit account holders or 103.29(a)(2)(i) for nondeposit account holders.

Certain elderly or disabled patrons do not possess identification documents that would normally be considered acceptable within

the banking community (*e.g.*, driver's licenses, passports, or state-issued identification cards). Accordingly, the procedure set forth below should be followed to fulfill the identification verification requirements of §§ 103.28 and 103.29.

Financial institutions may accept as appropriate identification a social security, medicare, medicaid or other insurance card presented along with another document that contains both the name and address of the patron (*e.g.* an organization membership or voter registration card, utility or real estate tax bill). Such forms of identification shall be specified in the bank's formal written policy and operating procedures as acceptable identification for transactions involving elderly or disabled patrons who do not possess identification documents normally considered acceptable within the banking community for cashing checks for nondepositors.

This procedure may only be applied if the following circumstances exist. First, the financial institution must establish that the identification the elderly or disabled patron has is limited to a social security or medicare/medicaid card plus another document which contains the patron's name and address. Second, the financial institution must use whatever information it has available, or policies and procedures it has in place, to determine the patron's identity. If the patron is a deposit account holder, the financial institution should review its internal records to determine if there is information on file to verify his/her identity. Only if the financial institution is confident that the elderly or disabled patron is who s/he says s/he is, may the transaction be concluded. Failure to identify an elderly or a disabled customer's identity as required by 31 CFR § 103.28 and as described herein may result in the imposition of civil and or criminal penalties. Finally, the financial institution shall establish a formal written policy and implement operating procedures for processing reportable currency transactions or recording cash sales of certain monetary instruments to elderly or disabled patrons who do not have forms of identification ordinarily considered "acceptable." Once implemented, the financial institution shall permit no exceptions to its policy and procedures. In addition, financial institutions are encouraged to record the elderly or disabled patron's identity and address as well as the method of identification on a signature card or other record when it is obtained and verified.

In completing a CTR, if all of the above conditions are satisfied, the financial institution should enter the words "Elderly" or "Disabled" and the method used to verify the patron's identity, such as "Social Security and (organization) Membership Cards Only ID," in Item 15a.

Similarly, when logging the cash purchase of a monetary instrument(s), the financial

institution shall enter on its chronological log the words, "Elderly" or "Disabled," and the method used to verify such patron's identity.

#### Example

Jesse Fleming, a 75 year old retiree, has been saving \$10 bills for twenty years in order to help pay for his granddaughter's college education. He enters the Trustworthy National Bank where he has no account but his granddaughter has a savings account, and presents \$13,000 in \$10 bills to the teller. He instructs the teller to deposit \$9,000 into his granddaughter's savings account, and requests a cashier's check for \$4,000 made payable to State University.

Because of poor eyesight, Mr. Fleming no longer drives and does not possess a valid driver's license. When asked for identification by the teller he presents a social security card and his retirement organization membership card that contains his name and address.

#### Application of Law to Example

In this example, the Trustworthy National Bank must check to determine if Mr. Fleming's social security and organizational membership cards are acceptable forms of identification as defined in the bank's policy and procedures. If so, and the bank is confident that Mr. Fleming is who he says he is, it may complete the transaction. Because Mr. Fleming conducted a transaction in currency which exceeded \$10,000 (deposit of \$9,000 and purchase of \$4,000 monetary instrument), First National Bank must complete a CTR. It should record information about Mr. Fleming in Part I of the CTR and in Item 15a record the words "Elderly—Social Security and (organization) Membership Cards Only ID." The balance of the CTR must be appropriately completed as required by §§ 103.22 and 103.27(d). First National Bank must also record the transaction in its monetary instrument sales log because it issued to Mr. Fleming a cashier's check for \$4,000 in currency. Mr. Fleming must be listed as the purchaser and the bank should record on the log the words "Elderly—Social Security and (organization) Membership Cards Only ID" as the method used to verify his identity. In addition, because Mr. Fleming is not a deposit account holder at First National Bank, the bank is required to record on the log all the information required under § 103.29(a)(2)(i) for cash purchases of monetary instruments by nondeposit account holders.

92-2 (November 16, 1992)

31 U.S.C. 5313—Reports on Domestic Coins and Currency Transactions

31 CFR 103.22—Reporting of Currency Transactions

31 CFR 103.28—Identification Required

*Proper completion of the Currency Transaction Report (CTR), IRS Form 4789, when reporting multiple transactions.* Financial institutions must report transactions in currency that exceed \$10,000 or an exempted account's established exemption limit and provide certain information including verified identifying information about the individual conducting the transaction. Multiple currency transactions must be treated as a single transaction, aggregated, and reported on a single Form 4789, if the financial institution has knowledge that the transactions are by or on behalf of any person and result in either cash in or cash out totalling more than \$10,000, or the exemption limit, during any one business day. All CTRs must be fully and accurately completed. Some or all of the individual transactions which comprise an aggregated CTR are frequently below the \$10,000 reporting or applicable exemption threshold and, as such, are not reportable and financial institutions do not gather the information required to complete a CTR.

Issue

How should a financial institution complete a CTR when multiple transactions are aggregated and reported on a single form and all or part of the information called for in the form may not be known?

Holding

Multiple transactions that total in excess of \$10,000, or an established exemption limit, when aggregated must be reported on a CTR if the financial institution has knowledge that the transactions have occurred. In many cases, the individual transactions being reported are each under \$10,000, or the exemption limit, and the institution was not aware at the time of any one of the transactions that a CTR would be required. Therefore, the identifying information on the person conducting the transaction was not required to be obtained at the time the transaction was conducted.

If after a reasonable effort to obtain the information required to complete items 4 through 15 of the CTR, all or part of such information is not available, the institution must check item 3d to indicate that the information is not being provided because the report involves multiple transactions for which complete information is not available. The institution must, however, provide as much of the information as is reasonably available.

All subsections of item 48 on the CTR must be completed to report the number of transactions involved and the number of locations of the financial institution and zip codes of those locations where the transactions were conducted.

Law and Analysis

Sections 103.22(a)(1) and (c) of the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) regulations, 31 CFR part 103, require a financial institution to file a CTR for each deposit, withdrawal, exchange of currency, or other payment or transfer, by, through, or to the financial institution, which involves a transaction in currency of more than \$10,000 or the established exemption limit for an exempt account. Multiple transactions must be treated as a single transaction if the financial institution has knowledge that they are by, or on behalf of, any person and result in either cash in or cash out of the financial institution totalling more than \$10,000 or the exemption limit during any one business day. Knowledge, in this context, means knowledge on the part of a partner, director, officer or employee of the financial institution or on the part of any existing automated or manual system at the financial institution that permits it to aggregate transactions.

The purpose of item 3 on the CTR is to indicate why all or part of the information required in items 4 through 15 is not being provided on the form. If the reason information is missing is solely because the transaction(s) occurred through an armored car service, a mail deposit or shipment, or a night deposit or Automated Teller Machine (ATM), the financial institution must check either box a, b, or c, as appropriate, in item 3. CTR instructions state that item 3d is to be checked for multiple transactions where none of the individual transactions exceeds \$10,000 or the exemption limit and all of the required information might not be available.

As described in Example No. 5 below, there may be situations where one transaction among several exceeds the applicable threshold. Item 3d should be checked whenever multiple transactions are being reported and all or part of the information necessary to complete items 4 through 15 is not available because at the time of any one of the individual transactions, a CTR was not required and the financial institution did not obtain the appropriate information.

When reporting multiple transactions, the financial institution must complete as many of items 4 through 15 as possible. In the event the institution learns that more than one person conducted the multiple transactions being reported, it must check item 2 on the CTR and is encouraged to make reasonable efforts to obtain and report any appropriate information on each of the persons in items 4 through 15 on the front and back of the CTR form, and if necessary, on additional sheets of paper attached to the report.

The purpose of item 48 is to indicate that multiple transactions are involved in the CTR being filed. Items 48 a, b, and c require information about the number of transactions being reported and the number of

bank branches and the zip code of each branch where the transactions took place. If multiple transactions exceeding \$10,000 or an account exemption limit occur at the same time, the financial institution should treat the transactions in a manner consistent with its internal transaction posting procedures. For example, if a customer presents four separate deposits, at the same time, totalling over \$10,000, the institution may report the transactions in item 48a to be one or four separate transactions. If the transactions are posted as four separate transactions the financial institution should enter the number 4 in item 48a and the number 1 in item 48b. If the transactions are posted as one transaction the institution should enter the 1 in both 48a and 48b. Reporting the transactions in this manner will guarantee the integrity of the paper trail being created, that is, the number of transactions reported on the CTR will be the same as the number of transactions showing in the institution's records.

These situations should be differentiated from those cases where separate transactions occur at different times during the same business day, and which, when aggregated, exceed \$10,000 or the exemption limit. For instance, if the same or another individual conducts two of the same type of transactions at different times during the same business day at two different branches of the financial institution on behalf of the same person, and the institution has knowledge that the transactions occurred and exceed \$10,000 or the exemption limit, then the financial institution must enter the number 2 in items 48a and 48b.

#### Examples and Application of Law to Examples

##### Example No. 1

Dorothy Fishback presents a teller with three cash deposits to the same account, at the same time, in amounts of \$5,000, \$6,000, and \$8,500 requesting that the deposits be posted to the account separately. It is the bank's procedure to post the transactions separately. A CTR is completed while the customer is at the teller window.

##### Application of Law to Example No. 1

A CTR is completed based upon the information obtained at the time Dorothy Fishback presents the multiple transactions. Item 3d would not be checked on the CTR because all of the information in items 4 through 15 is being provided contemporaneously with the transaction. As it is the bank's procedure to post the transactions separately, the number of transactions reported in item 48a would be 3 and the number of branches reported in item 48b would be 1. The zip code for the location where the

transactions were conducted would be entered in item 48c.

##### Example No. 2

Andrew Weiner makes a \$7,000 cash deposit to his account at ABC Federal Savings Bank. Later the same day, Mr. Weiner returns to the same teller and deposits \$5,000 in cash to a different account. At the time Mr. Weiner makes the second deposit, the teller realizes that the two deposits exceed \$10,000 and prepares a CTR obtaining all of the necessary identifying information directly from Mr. Weiner.

##### Application of Law to Example No. 2

Even though the two transactions were conducted at different times during the same business day, Mr. Weiner conducted both transactions at the same place and the appropriate identifying information was obtained by the teller at the time of the second transaction. Item 3d would not be checked on the CTR. The number of transactions reported in item 48a must be 2 and the number of branches reported in item 48b would be 1. The zip code for the location where the transactions took place would be entered in item 48c.

##### Example No. 3

Internal auditor Mike Pelzer is reviewing the daily cash transactions report for People's Bank and notices that five cash deposits were made the previous day to account #12345. The total of the deposits is \$25,000 and they were made at three different offices of the bank. Mike researches the account data base and finds that the account belongs to a department store and that the account is exempted for deposits up to \$17,000 per day. Each of the five transactions was under \$17,000.

##### Application of Law to Example No. 3

Having reviewed the report of aggregated transactions, Mike Pelzer has knowledge that transactions exceeding the account exemption limit have occurred during a single business day. A CTR must be filed. People's Bank is encouraged to make a reasonable effort to provide the information for items 4 through 15 on the CTR. Such efforts could include a search of the institution's records or a phone call to the department store to identify the persons that conducted the transactions. If all of the information is not contained in the institution's records or otherwise obtained, item 3d must be checked. The number of transactions reported in item 48a must be 5 and the number of branches reported in 48b would be 3. The zip codes for the three locations where the transactions occurred must be entered in item 48c.

## Monetary Offices, Treasury

Pt. 103, App. A

### Example No. 4

Mrs. Saunders makes a cash withdrawal, for \$4,000, from a joint savings account she owns with her husband. That day her husband, Mr. Saunders, withdraws \$7,000 cash using the same teller. Realizing that the withdrawals exceed \$10,000, the teller obtains identifying information on Mr. Saunders required to complete a CTR.

### Application of Law to Example No. 4

In this case, item 2 on the CTR must be checked because the teller knows that more than one person conducted the transactions. Information on Mr. Saunders would appear in Part I and the bank is encouraged to ask him for, or to check its records for the required identifying information on Mrs. Saunders. If after taking reasonable efforts to locate the desired information, all of the required information is not found on file in the institution's records or is not otherwise obtained, box 3d must be checked to indicate that all information is not being provided because multiple transactions are being reported. Whatever information on Mrs. Saunders is contained in the records of the institution must be reported in the continuation of Part I on the back of Form 4789. The number of transactions reported in item 48a must be 2 and the number of branches reported in item 48b would be 1. The zip code for the branch where the transactions took place would be entered in item 48c.

### Example No. 5

On another day, Mrs. Saunders makes a deposit of \$3,000 cash and no information required for Part I of the CTR is requested of her. She is followed later the same day by her husband, Mr. Saunders, who deposits \$12,000 in currency and who provides all data required to complete Part I for himself.

### Application of Law to Example No. 5

Item 2 on the CTR must be checked because the teller knows that more than one person conducted the transactions. Information on Mr. Saunders would appear in Part I and the bank is encouraged to ask him for, or to check its records for the required identifying information on Mrs. Saunders. If

after taking reasonable efforts to locate the desired information, all of the required information is not found on file in the institution's records or is not otherwise obtained, box 3d must be checked to indicate that all information is not being provided because multiple transactions are being reported. Whatever information on Mrs. Saunders is contained in the records of the institution must be reported in the continuation of Part I on the back of Form 4789. The number of transactions reported in item 48a must be 2 and the number of branches reported in item 48b would be 1. The zip code for the branch where the transactions took place would be entered in item 48c.

### Example No. 6

A review of First Federal Bank's daily cash transactions report for a given day indicates several cash deposits to a single account totaling more than \$10,000. Two separate deposits were made in the night depository at the institution's main office, and two deposits were conducted at the teller windows of two other branch locations. Each deposit was under \$10,000.

### Application of Law to Example No. 6

Item 3c should be checked to indicate that identifying information is not provided because transactions were received through the night deposit box. If the tellers involved with the two face to face deposits remember who conducted the transactions, institution records can be checked for identifying information. If the records contain some of the information required by items 4 through 15, that information must be provided, and item 3d must be checked to indicate that some information is missing because multiple transactions are being reported and the information was not obtained at the time the transactions were conducted. Item 48a must indicate 4 transactions and item 48b must indicate 3 locations. The zip code of those locations would be provided in item 48c.

[53 FR 40064, Oct. 13, 1988, as amended at 54 FR 21214, May 17, 1989; 54 FR 30543, July 21, 1989; 55 FR 1022, Jan. 11, 1990; 58 FR 7048, Feb. 4, 1993. Redesignated and amended at 67 FR 9877, Mar. 4, 2002]

APPENDIX B TO PART 103—CERTIFICATION FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 314(B) OF THE USA PATRIOT ACT AND 31 CFR 103.110

Certification for Purposes of Section 314(b) of the USA PATRIOT Act and 31 CFR 103.110

I hereby certify, on behalf of (insert name, address, and federal employer identification number (EIN) of financial institution or association of financial institutions) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ that:

(1) (i) The financial institution specified above is a "financial institution" as such term is defined in 31 CFR 103.110(a)(2), or (ii) The association specified above is an "association of financial institutions" as such term is defined in 31 CFR 103.110(a)(3) .

(2) The financial institution or association specified above intends, for a period of one (1) year beginning on the date of this certification, to engage in the sharing of information with other financial institutions or associations of financial institutions regarding individuals, entities, organizations, and countries, as permitted by section 314(b) of the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-56) and the implementing regulations of the Department of the Treasury, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (31 CFR 103.110).

(3) The financial institution or association of financial institutions specified above has established and will maintain adequate procedures to safeguard the security and confidentiality of such information.

(4) Information received by the above named financial institution or association pursuant to section 314(b) and 31 CFR 103.110 will not be used for any purpose other than as permitted by 31 CFR 103.110(c)(2).

(5) In the case of a financial institution, the primary federal regulator, if applicable, of the above named financial institution is \_\_\_\_\_.

(6) The following person may be contacted in connection with inquiries related to the information sharing under section 314(b) of the USA PATRIOT Act and 31 CFR 103.110:

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_

MAILING ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

E-MAIL ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

FACSIMILE NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

Name

\_\_\_\_\_

Title

Executed on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_\_\_\_.