2/4/04 69 FR5374

RECEIVED

From:

"PHILLIP BARR" <pharb2@msn.com>

To:

"LES_EIS LES_EIS" <LES_EIS@nrc.gov>

Date:

3/13/04 2:03PM

Subject:

Re: Fw: Louisiana Energy Services enrichment plant and National Security

Here is an article from Time Magazine. From the article below-"One of the plant's principal backers is URENCO, a European consortium linked to leaks of enrichment technology to, yes, Iran, Iraq, and North Korea - as well as to Pakistan."

Again from the article below-

"Also controversial are unanswered questions about the disposal of the Tennessee plant's radioactive waste. Officials in Tennessee have reached a tentative agreement with LES to cap the amount of waste and, last week, the company announced that the material would not stay in Tennessee permanently. But it offered no details as to where the waste might be transferred, a process that can be subject to complex federal licensing procedures."

They are saying the same thing here in New Mexico.

I believe this company is an exceptional security risk.

I believe they have no real plans to dispose of the waste. They have misrepresented their case and their license app. should be denied.

Phillip Barr Lea County

Nukes: To Pyongyang from Nashville?

Backers of a proposed uranium enrichment plant have a bad history with keeping secrets

By ADAM ZAGORIN

PAKISTAN TELEVISION/AP

URENCO has been linked to leaks of nuclear enrichment technology to Pakistan

Tuesday, Jan. 21, 2003

Is President Bush's "axis of evil" campaign about to be undermined in his own backyard? A proposed uranium enrichment facility planned in Hartsville, Tenn. (pop. 2,395) raises just that question. One of the plant's principal backers is URENCO, a European consortium linked to leaks of enrichment technology to, yes, Iran, Iraq, and North Korea - as well as to Pakistan.

Sources tell TIME that senior Bush appointees, upset by the ongoing crisis with North Korea, have held detailed discussions in recent days on the need to stop leaks of nuclear technology to rogue states. "To have this company operate in the U.S. after it was the source of sensitive technology reaching foreign powers does raise serious concerns," a high-level U.S. nuclear security administrator told TIME, the first public comment by a federal official on the proposed plant's ownership. "The national security community or the new Homeland Security Department will need to look at this."

Concerns about URENCO first emerged more than 10 years ago when thousands of centrifuge parts, based on URENCO designs, were discovered by U.N. inspectors in Iraq after the Gulf War. A one-time URENCO scientist, known as the "father" of Pakistan's nuclear bomb, is said to have taken URENCO centrifuge blueprints and information on the company's suppliers to his homeland, later passing similar sensitive material to North Korea and Iran.

FRIDS=ADU-03 ass=1. Johnson (cs) m. Vong (ucw)

Nemplote = ASM-013

The company that wants to build the new Tennessee enrichment plant is called Louisiana Energy Services. A consortium of US and foreign companies in which URENCO has a major financial role, LES insists that the link between URENCO and nuclear proliferation is "long ago and far-fetched at this point". URENCO itself has denied authorizing leaks of technology to rogue states.

The only previous attempt by LES to build an enrichment plant involved a multi-year effort in the 1990's targeting a small town in Louisiana. Closed Congressional hearings on Iraqi attempts to acquire nuclear weapons were held not long before, and delved into URENCO's record. Subsequently, powerful Michigan Democrat John Dingell raised concerns that the LES plant in Louisiana might violate provisions governing the movement of classified technology from foreign countries under the federal Atomic Energy Act. That issue was never resolved, but LES gave up attempts to build the Louisiana facility amid controversy over its impact on nearby African-American residents.

With its latest effort in Tennessee, LES seems especially anxious to avoid a reprise of those controversies. In an unusual move, LES has asked for a greenlight from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission without the usual public comment on various environmental, safety and security issues. But groups like the Sierra Club and the National Resources Defense Council contend that this will simply, "reduce the . . . licensing procedure to a flimsy rubber stamp." LES plans to file its 3,000 page license application with the federal government by January 30, to be followed by a review process that could take at least a year.

Also controversial are unanswered questions about the disposal of the Tennessee plant's radioactive waste. Officials in Tennessee have reached a tentative agreement with LES to cap the amount of waste and, last week , the company announced that the material would not stay in Tennessee permanently. But it offered no details as to where the waste might be transferred, a process that can be subject to complex federal licensing procedures.

So far few Tennessee politicians have taken a position on the new enrichment plant. That includes Sen. Bill Frist, the new Senate Majority Leader, who has remained neutral on the proposed plant in his home state. But he plans to follow the debate "very closely," says an aide.

BACK TO TOP

Premium Content

A New Eye on North Korea [Jun 2, 2003]
The CIA lands a well-placed intelligence source
Bush's New Nuclear Push [May 26, 2003]

Although President Bush spends endless hours trying to stop the spread of nuclear weapons, his Administration isn't above creating a few itself. The Pentagon is hard at work pushing to develop the...

Nuclear Rock [Feb 24, 2003]

With oil supplies uncertain, uranium mining heats up

More related items|Search all issues of TIME Magazine since 1985

---- Original Message ----From: LES_EIS LES_EIS To: pharb2@msn.com

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2004 12:09 PM

Subject: Re: Fw: Louisiana Energy Services enrichment plant and National Security

Dear Mr. Barr-

Thank you so much for your comments. The comments you have previously provided are being considered in the preparation of the EIS. I had hoped to meet you at last week's scoping meeting in Eunice.

Your previous comment submitted via email on 3/4 contained a link to http://search.csmonitor.com/search_content/0302/p01s03-wogi.html

Unfortunately, CSM has removed this article from their open website to their archive files which one has to be a registered user to gain access. For this to be properly evaluated in the EIS, we would need to see the article. Would it be possible for you to send me an electronic copy of the file?

Thank you for your consideration, Melanie

Melanie Wong, Environmental Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

>>> "PHILLIP BARR" <pharb2@msn.com> 03/04/04 02:03PM >>> Afternoon,
Subject: Urenco, Louisiana Energy Services and National Security

It appears Urenco has been responsible for the spread of nuclear technology to some very unfriendly governments. What is the NRC's position on this? What is to keep Urenco from letting another DR Khan walk out a front gate of a Les plant in New Mexico with advanced technology, maybe this time with some US technology?

http://search.csmonitor.com/search_content/0302/p01s03-wogi.html

Phillip Barr Lea County

CC: "JEFF BINGAMAN" <senator_bingaman@bingaman.senate.gov>, <senator@biden.senate.gov>