may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the proposed action does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This proposed Federal action acts on pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards when developing a new regulation. To comply with NTTAA, EPA must consider and use "voluntary consensus standards" (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

EPA believes that VCS are inapplicable to today's proposed action because it does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of VCS.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: October 29, 2002.

Alexis Strauss,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 02–29477 Filed 11–19–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IN145-1b; FRL-7398-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to particulate matter (PM) emissions regulations for Union Tank Car of Lake County, Indiana. The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) submitted the revised regulations on April 30, 2002 and September 6, 2002 as an amendment to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions consist of relaxing the PM limits for one emissions unit; however, actual emissions will not increase, and the PM National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) should be protected. EPA is approving revisions for Union Tank Car because complying with the current limits is infeasible, and because the revisions should not harm air quality.

DATES: The EPA must receive written comments on this proposed rule by December 20, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You should mail written comments to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

You may inspect copies of Indiana's submittal at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, Telephone Number: (312) 886–6524, E-Mail Address: rau.matthew@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. What Action Is EPA Taking Today? II. Where can I find more information about this proposal and the corresponding direct final rule?

I. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to particulate matter emissions

regulations for Union Tank Car's railcar manufacturing facility in Lake County, Indiana. IDEM submitted the revised regulations to EPA on April 30, 2002 and September 6, 2002 as an amendment to its SIP.

The revisions consist of relaxing the limits for one emissions unit; however, actual emissions will not increase, and the PM NAAQS should be protected. EPA is proposing approving revisions for Union Tank Car because complying with the current limits is infeasible, and because the revisions should not harm air quality.

II. Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 15, 2002.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 02–29474 Filed 11–19–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-2002-0280; FRL-7278-3]

Pesticides; Minimal Risk Tolerance Exemptions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to reorganize certain existing tolerance exemptions. All of these chemical substances were reviewed as part of the tolerance reassessment process required under the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FOPA). As a result of that review, certain chemical substances are now classified as "minimal risk," and are therefore being shifted to the section of 40 CFR part 180 that holds minimal risk chemical substances. The Agency is merely moving certain tolerance exemptions from one section of the CFR to another section: No tolerance exemptions are lost as a result of this action.

DATES: Comments, identified by docket ID number OPP–2002–0280, must be received on or before January 21, 2003. **ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.