diminish the power of any state to enforce its own laws. Accordingly, this action does not have federalism implications warranting the application of Executive Order 13132.

The Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq. The establishment of aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. The quotas are necessary to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research and industrial needs of the United States, for export requirements and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. While aggregate production quotas are of primary importance to large manufacturers, their impact upon small entities is neither negative nor beneficial. Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

This action meets the applicable standards set forth in Sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988 Civil Justice Reform.

This action will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

This action is not a major rule as defined by Section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This action will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreignbased companies in domestic and export markets.

The Drug Enforcement Administration makes every effort to write clearly. If you have suggestions as to how to improve the clarity of this regulation, call or write Frank L. Sapienza, Chief, Drug & Chemical Evaluation Section, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Telephone: (202) 307–7183. Dated: December 13, 2002. John B. Brown, III, Deputy Administrator. [FR Doc. 02–31898 Filed 12–18–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-09–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Trade Act of 2002; Notice of Further Assignment of Functions

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Labor. **ACTION:** The Secretary of Labor (Secretary) is further assigning functions under the Trade Act of 2002 (Trade Act) to other agencies and departments of the Executive Branch.

SUMMARY: The Trade Act specifically granted to the President certain authorities and assigned certain functions related to agreements covered by Trade Act provisions. In Executive Order 13277 (67 FR 7305), the President delegated certain authorities and assigned certain functions to the Secretary and provided guidance for exercising that authority and performing those functions, including the redelegation of authority and further assignment of functions to officers of any other department or agency within the Executive Branch. This notice informs the public of the Secretary's further assignment of functions. This order does not create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or equity by a party against the United States, its departments, agencies, instrumentalities or entities, its officers or employees, or any other person.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These actions are effective immediately.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas B. Moorhead, Deputy Under Secretary for International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: 202-693-4470. E-Mail: moorhead-thomas@dol.gov. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Further Assignment of Functions: Pursuant to section (3)(b)(ii) of Executive Order 13277, the Secretary hereby assigns the functions of the President under section 2102(c)(8) and (9) of the Trade Act to the Secretary of State and the United States Trade Representative, to be carried out by the Secretary of Labor, the Secretary of State and the United States Trade Representative. Agencies and departments to which these functions are assigned shall perform them in a manner that is supportive of agreements subject to the Trade Act.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 13th day of December, 2002. Elaine L. Chao, Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 02–31950 Filed 12–18–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–23–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-6584]

State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit #56739M, Dillingham, AK; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called NAFTA-TAA and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was initiated on September 5, 2002 in response to a petition filed by the Bristol Bay Native Association on behalf of Bristol Bay salmon fishermen, State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit #56739M, Dillingham, Alaska.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of November, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–31951 Filed 12–18–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[NAFTA-6585]

State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit # 57548Z, Dillingham, AK; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance, hereinafter called NAFTA– TAA and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), an investigation was