Transmission System (PNGTS) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, to become effective on November 12, 2002:

3rd Rev. Sheet 100 1st Rev. Sheet 201

1st Rev. Original Sheet 302

1st Rev. Original Sheet 302 1st Rev. Original Sheet 303

1st Rev. Original Sheet 304

1st Rev. Original Sheet 305

1st Rev. Original Sheet 306

1st Rev. Original Sheet 307

1st Rev. Sheet 504

1st Rev. Sheet 501

1st Rev. Sheet 511

PNGTS asserts that the purpose of its filing is to comply with the Commission's order issued on October 10, 2002 in Docket No. RP02–13–001. That order required PNGTS to modify its tariff to ensure that its long-term firm seasonal service is available on a nondiscriminatory basis.

PNGTS states that copies of this filing are being served on all jurisdictional customers, applicable state commissions, and participants in Docket No. RP02–13–000.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or tollfree at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-29722 Filed 11-20-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-255-055]

TransColorado Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

November 15, 2002.

Take notice that on November 13, 2002, TransColorado Gas Transmission Company (TransColorado) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Fifty-Fourth Revised Sheet No. 21 and Twenty-Seventh Revised Sheet No. 22A, to be effective November 13, 2002.

TransColorado states that the filing is being made in compliance with the Commission's letter order issued March 20, 1997, in Docket No. RP97–255–000.

TransColorado states that the tendered tariff sheets propose to revise TransColorado's Tariff to reflect an amended negotiated-rate contract with Williams Energy Marketing & Trading Company.

TransColorado stated that a copy of this filing has been served upon all parties to this proceeding, TransColorado's customers, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission and the New Mexico Public Utilities Commission.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the

instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–29727 Filed 11–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM01-12-000]

Remedying Undue Discrimination Through Open Access Transmission Service and Standard Electricity Market Design

November 14, 2002.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory

Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of technical conference

agenda.

SUMMARY: As announced in the Notice of Technical Conferences issued on October 22, 2002, Commission staff will convene a technical conference on November 19, 2002 to discuss aspects of the resource adequacy requirement proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued in this docket on July 31, 2002. *See* 67 FR 65, 913–15 (Oct. 29, 2002). This notice provides further organizational details and the conference agenda.

DATES: The conference will take place on November 19, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The conference will take place at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sarah McKinley, Office of External Affairs, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–8004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice of Technical Conference Agenda

1. As announced in the Notice of Technical Conferences issued on October 22, 2002, Commission staff will convene a technical conference on November 19, 2002 to discuss aspects of the resource adequacy requirement proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued in this docket on July 31, 2002. This notice provides further organizational details and the conference agenda.

2. The conference will begin at 9:30 a.m. and will adjourn at about 5:15 p.m. It is scheduled to take place at the Commission's offices, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, in the Commission Meeting Room on the

second floor. The agenda is appended to this notice as Attachment A. As specified in the October 22, 2002 Notice, the discussions will attempt to clarify and seek consensus on specific issues. The discussion questions are appended to this notice as Attachment B.

- 3. The conference is open for the public to attend, and registration is not required; however, in-person attendees are asked to notify the Commission of their intent to attend by sending an email message to *customer@ferc.gov*. Members of the Commission may attend the conference and participate in the discussions.
- 4. Transcripts of the conference will be immediately available from Ace Reporting Company (202-347-3700 or 1-800-336-6646), for a fee. They will be available for the public on the Commission's FERRIS system two weeks after the conference. Additionally, Capitol Connection offers the opportunity for remote listening and viewing of the conference. It is available for a fee, live over the Internet, via C-Band Satellite. Persons interested in receiving the broadcast, or who need information on making arrangements should contact David Reininger or Julia Morelli at the Capitol Connection (703-993-3100) as soon as possible or visit the Capitol Connection web site at http:/ /www.capitolconnection.gmu.edu and click on "FERC."
- 5. Questions about the conference program should be directed to: Sarah McKinley, Manager of State Outreach, Office of External Affairs, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–8368, sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

Attachment A

Schedule

9:30–9:35 AM Introductions 9:35–9:45 AM Opening Remarks Kevin Kelly, Commission Staff 9:45–11:15 AM Session I

Panelists:

Regina M. Carrado, Regulatory Specialist, Exelon Corporation, Exelon Generation, L.L.C.

David LaPlante, Vice President, Markets Development, ISO New England Inc.

Ronald G. Lukas, Senior Vice President, KeySpan Energy Supply, LLC Marc Montalvo, Manager of Wholesale Market Analytics, Lacapra Associates, Inc., on behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocates

Karen Krug O'Neill, Vice President, New Markets, Green Mountain Energy Mark Reeder, Chief, Regulatory

Economics, New York Public Service Commission

11:15–11:30 AM Break 11:30–1:00 PM Session II

Panelists:

Michael Alcantar, Attorney, Alcantar & Kahl LLP, on behalf of the Cogeneration Association of California (CAC) and the Energy Producers and Users Coalition (EPUC)

Kieran Connolly, Public Utilities Specialist, Bonneville Power Administration

Kellan L. Fluckiger, Senior Advisor to the Chair and CEO, California Consumer Power and Conservation Financing Authority

John Meyer, Vice President of Asset Commercialization, Reliant Resources

Charles Reinhold, WestConnect RTO Project Manager, Electric Resource Strategies

Gary Stern, Director of Market Monitoring and Analysis, Southern California Edison Company

To be determined, Silicon Valley Manufacturing Association 1:00–2:00 PM Lunch 2:00–3:30 PM Session III

Panelists:

James Caldwell, Policy Director, American Wind Energy Association William F. Hall, III, Senior Vice President, Energy Policy & Strategy, Duke Energy Corporation

William J. Head, Chief Operating Officer, MAPPCOR, representing the Mid-Continent Area Power Pool

Stephen L. Huntoon, Senior Director & Regulatory Counsel, Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc.

Sam Randazzo, Partner, McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC, on behalf of Ohio Industrial Consumers

Rick Riley, Director, Transmission Policy, Entergy Services, Inc. on behalf of SeTrans Sponsors

of SeTrans Sponsors
Raymond J. Wahle, P.E., Director,
Power Supply and Operations, Missouri
River Energy Services
3:30–3:45 PM Break
3:45–5:15 PM Session IV

Panelists:

The Honorable Thomas Welch, Chairman, Maine Public Utilities Commission

The Honorable Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner, Michigan Public Service Commission

Richard Campbell, Director, Energy & Technology, American Forest & Paper Association David R. Nevius, Vice President, North American Electric Reliability Council

Roy Shanker, Consultant and Participant of the Northeast Joint Capacity Adequacy Group

David M. Velazquez, Vice President, Business Planning, Conectiv Energy Supply Inc., on behalf of The Edison Electric Institute (EEI) and the Alliance of Energy Suppliers

Attachment B

Discussion Questions

Each panel will discuss the following questions:

- 1. Should there be a standard resource adequacy plan for the entire grid?
- a. If not, what other measures can be used to ensure regional resource adequacy?
- 2. For LSEs in states with bundled retail sales which have met state planning guidelines, what more must the ITP do?
- a. Should the ITP independently verify that the guidelines have been met?
- b. Should the ITP ensure the physical deliverability of identified resources?
- c. Should the ITP verify that no resources have been double counted on a regional basis?
- d. Is there value to coordinating these state planning guidelines regionally?
- 3. What should the resource adequacy product requirement be?
- a. Combination energy/call contracts requirement.
- b. Capacity requirements, where energy and capacity are separate products sold in the market. The seller of a capacity product would be obligated to offer energy into the market.
- 4. How should the penalty structure on deficient LSEs be set?
- a. Is a penalty on LSEs in real time sufficient?
- b. Should an LSE who failed to meet its forward obligation in the appropriate planning horizon be able to avoid a realtime penalty by procuring its resources past the deadline?
- 5. What disincentives should exist for adequacy suppliers to prevent non-performance?
- 6. Should the resource adequacy requirement be met solely through bilateral contracts or should a centralized market (accommodating bilateral contracts) be available?
- 7. What process should be implemented if the ITP identifies a shortage of planned resources?
- a. Should the ITP implement a market to ensure such resources are available?
- b. If so, who should pay for the availability of such resources?

- c. Further, should existing resources be able to participate in such a market?
- 8. How will the ITP ensure deliverability of adequacy resources?
- a. Must resources be physically identified to meet the adequacy requirement?
- b. Should liquidated damages contracts without specific resources identified be sufficient?
- c. How should transmission rights to distant generation sources be allocated to meet the adequacy requirement?
- 9. What guidelines should the Regional State Advisory Committee (RSAC) follow in determining the planning horizons and adequacy procurement deadlines?
- a. Should a ladder approach to procurement be allowed? This approach would require LSEs to procure an increasing percentage of their total adequacy requirement at intermediate points during the span of the planning horizon.
- 10. What should the RSAC process be to determine each region's adequacy requirement?
- 11. What should be the relationship between the RSAC and the ITP in the load forecasting and resource evaluation process?
- 12. How should each LSE's obligation be set in a fluid retail access environment? a. Should the adequacy product necessarily be liquid and fungible?
- 13. How can demand resources be measured to count equally toward adequacy requirements?
- 14. How can intermittent resources be evaluated to count appropriately toward adequacy?

[FR Doc. 02–29461 Filed 11–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2964-006]

City of Sturgis, Michigan; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

November 15, 2002.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR part 380 (Order No.

486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for a new license for the Strugis Hydroelectric Project located on the St. Joseph River, in St Joseph County, Michigan, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project. In the FEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental effects of the project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the EA is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. The EA may also be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call toll free 1–866–208–3676.

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the issuance date of this notice and should be addressed to the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Room 1-A, Washington, DC 20426. Please affix "Sturgis Project No. 2964" to all comments. Comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's website under the "e-Filing" link. For further information, contact Patrick Murphy at (202) 502-8755.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–29719 Filed 11–20–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98-1-000]

Regulations Governing Off-the-Record Communications; Public Notice

November 15, 2002.

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(h), of the receipt of exempt and prohibited off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive an exempt or a prohibited off-the-record communication relevant to the merits of a contested on-the-record proceeding, to deliver a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication, to the Secretary.

Prohibited communications will be included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become part of the decisional record, the prohibited offthe-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such requests only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication should serve the document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications will be included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).

The following is a list of exempt and prohibited off-the-record communications recently received in the Office of the Secretary. These filings are available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http://www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, call (202)502–8222 or for TTY, (202) 502–8659.

Exempt

Docket No.	Date filed	Presenter or requester
1. Project No. 2574–000	11–08–02	Judith Leckrone Lee