materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 18, 2002.

#### Bernard T. Carreau,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

## APPENDIX 1-- Issues In The Decision Memorandum

- 1. Negative Dumping Margins
- 2. Adverse Facts Available on Sales to Affiliated Reseller
- 3. Conversion of Pounds to Kilograms
- 4. U.S. Inventory Carrying Costs
- 5. U.S. Interest Řevenue
- 6. Date of Sale
- 7. Freight Revenue and Freight Adjustments for Delivered Prices
- 8. Árice Manipulation Between Affiliated Parties
- 9. Facts Available on Sales to Ugine France Service
- 10. U.S. Sales Commissions
- 11. Ugine's Financial Statement Information
- 12. Hague's Scrap Revenue Calculation
- 13. U.S. Interest Cost
- 14. Hague's Financial Statement Information
- 15. Home Market Interest Revenue
- 16. Home Market Rebates
- 17. Home Market Affiliated Common Carrier Prices
- 18. Home Market Credit Expenses
- 19. Completeness of the Record

[FR Doc. 02–32569 Filed 12–24–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Petition Requesting Ban of All-Terrain Vehicles Sold for Use by Children Under 16 Years Old; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of comment period.

summary: The Commission is extending its comment period to receive information concerning a petition asking the Commission to ban the sale of adult-size four wheel all-terrain vehicles (ATVs) sold for the use of children under 16 years of age. Seven manufacturers and distributors of ATVs requested a 60-day extension of the comment period. The Commission has decided to extend the comment period

90 days after the original comment period of December 17, 2002, in order to allow sufficient time for comments related to an ATV study that the Commission staff is currently preparing. **DATES:** The Office of the Secretary should receive comments on the petition by March 16, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments, preferably in five copies, on the petition should be mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207, telephone (301) 504-0800, or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, Room 502, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. Comments may also be filed by telefacsimile to (301) 504-0127 or by email to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. Comments should be captioned "Petition CP 02-4/ HP 02–1, Petition on ATVs." A copy of the petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Reading Room, Room 419, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about submitting comments call or write to Rockelle Hammond, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504–0800, ext. 1232.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 18, 2002, the Commission published a notice announcing that it has docketed a petition asking that the Commission ban adult-size ATVs sold for the use of children under age 16 and requesting comments on the petition. 67 FR 64353. The petitioners assert that ATVs pose an unreasonable risk of injury and death to children, particularly to children under age 16 who ride adult-size ATVs. The October 18 Federal Register notice provided for a 60-day comment period to end December 17, 2002. The Commission has received requests to extend the comment period from American Honda Motor Co., Inc., Arctic Cat, Inc., Bombardier Motor Corporation of America, Kawasaki Motors Corp., U.S.A., Polaris Industries Inc., American Suzuki Motor Corporation, and Yamaha Motor Corporation, U.S.A. These companies, all manufacturers and distributors of ATVs, noted that the Commission staff is preparing a study of ATV-related injuries. The companies requested a 60-day extension of the comment period to allow comment on issues that the study may raise that are relevant to the petition. After considering these requests, the Commission has decided to extend the comment period 90 days after the original comment period of December 17, 2002 to March 16, 2003. Because the

study has not yet been released, the Commission was concerned that a 60-day extension may not be adequate to allow interested members of the public sufficient time to review the study and comment on any issues related to the petition.

Dated: December 20, 2002.

#### Todd Stevenson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–32596 Filed 12–24–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0154]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Davis-Bacon Act—Price Adjustment (Actual Method)

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)
Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Davis-Bacon Act price adjustment (actual method). A request for public comments was published in the Federal Register at 67 FR 66617 on November 1, 2002. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before January 27, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Nelson, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501–1900.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Purpose

The Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause at 52.222-32, Davis-Bacon Act—Price Adjustment (Actual Method), requires that a contractor must submit at the exercise of each option to extend the term of the contract, including a statement of the amount claimed for incorporation of the most current wage determination by the Department of Labor, and any relevant supporting data, including payroll records, that the contracting officer may reasonably require. The contracting officer may include this clause in fixedprice solicitations and contracts, subject to the Davis-Bacon Act, that will contain option provisions to extend the term of the contract. Generally, this clause is only appropriate if contract requirements are predominantly services subject to the Service Contract Act and the construction requirements are substantial and segregable.

### **B. Annual Reporting Burden**

Respondents: 900.

Responses Per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 900.

Hours Per Response: 90.

- - -

Total Burden Hours: 81,000.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:
Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0154, Davis-Bacon Act—Price Adjustment (Actual Method), in all correspondence.

Dated: December 20, 2002.

### Jeremy F. Olson,

Acting Director, Acquisition Policy Division. [FR Doc. 02–32603 Filed 12–24–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Department of the Air Force

## Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force,

**ACTION:** Notice to Alter Systems of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Air Force is proposing to alter a system of records in its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended. The alteration expands the category of individuals to include parachutists, and expands the records maintained to include information about the parachutist's jumps.

**DATES:** This proposed action will be effective without further notice on January 27, 2003 unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Air Force Privacy Act Officer, AF CIO/P, 1155 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330–1155.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mrs. Anne Rollins at (703) 601–4043 or DSN 329–4043.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of the Air Force systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address above.

The proposed system reports, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, was submitted on November 21, 2002, to the House Committee on Government Reform, the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to paragraph 4c of Appendix I to OMB Circular No. A–130, "Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals," dated February 8, 1996 (February 20, 1996, 61 FR 6427).

Dated: December 16, 2002.

#### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

### F011 AF XO A

### SYSTEM NAME:

Air Force Operations Resource Management Systems (AFORMS) (May 7, 1999, 64 FR 24605).

#### CHANGES:

\* \* \* \* \*

#### SYSTEM NAME:

Delete entry and replace with "Aviation Resource Management System (ARMS)".

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Line eight, after "aviation" add "or parachutist". Line nine, after "flying" add "or parachutist". Line eleven, after "flying" add "or jump".

#### CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Delete first paragraph and replace with 'The ARMS data base contains a master file of flying and jump records for each individual in categories listed above, a month-to-date transaction file and a twelve month history file, and career flying and jump history. A centralized file of selected information from each individual's master record is also maintained at HO USAF. In addition to automated data files, this system uses manual files for maintaining historical data and important source documents. An Individual Flight Record Folder (FRF) or Jump Record Folder (JRF) is established for each category of fliers and jumpers listed above and is the prime repository for a computer listing which itemizes each individual's flight and jump accomplishments as well as various source documents which serve to validate information entered into the computer data base for the system. Each Host Aviation Resource Management (HARM) office maintains a file of Aeronautical Orders and Military Pay Orders to provide source documentation of flying pay actions initiated by the flight manager. Information that is maintained in the automated files is derived directly from the ARMS master file or from subsequent processing of information entered into the master file.

#### PURPOSE(S):

Delete entry and replace with "The ARMS provides information and automated data processing capabilities used to manage and administer Air Force aviation and parachutist management such as aircrew and parachutist training and evaluation, flight and jump scheduling functions, flying and parachutist safety and related functions needed to attain and maintain combat or mission readiness. All information is entered into the system at the air base level. This information is then processed for use by flying or parachutist resource managers at all levels through periodic computer product reports or automated systems interfaces.