

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
PRC Country-Wide Rate .....	243.40

Because we have revoked the order with respect to Tianjin's exports of subject merchandise produced by Hengshui, we will order the Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation for exports of such merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 1, 2001, and to refund all cash deposits collected.

#### Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For assessment purposes, we do not have the information to calculate an estimated entered value. Accordingly, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the subject merchandise by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer-specific ad valorem ratios based on the export prices. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting assessment rates uniformly on all entries of that particular importer made during the POR. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e. less than 0.50 percent). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the Customs Service within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above except that, for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5 percent and, therefore, *de minimis*, the Department shall require no deposit of estimated antidumping duties; (2) for a company previously found to be entitled to a separate rate and for which no review

was requested, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent review of that company; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters will be 243.40 percent, the PRC-wide rate established in the LTFV investigation; and (4) the cash deposit rate for a non-PRC exporter of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 7, 2002.

**Faryar Shirzad,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

##### Comments

1. Universe of Sales.
2. Valuation of Activated Carbon.
3. Partial Revocation.

[FR Doc. 02-29344 Filed 11-18-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-533-810

#### Stainless Steel Bar from India: Final Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On August 19, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on an examination of our calculations, we have made a change for the final results. We find that the reviewed company made sales of stainless steel bar from India in the United States below normal value during the period of review, February 1 through July 31, 2001.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 19, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cole Kyle, Office 1, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1503.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended effective January 1, 1995, ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2001).

##### Background

The manufacturer/exporter that requested this new shipper antidumping duty administrative review is Uday Engineering Works ("Uday"). The period of review ("POR") is February 1 through July 31, 2001. Since the publication of the preliminary results of this review (*see Stainless Steel Bar from India: Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 53775 (August 19, 2002)), the following events have occurred:

On September 10, 2002, we issued a supplemental questionnaire to Uday. Uday filed its response on September 23, 2002. Uday filed a case brief on October 2, 2002. Carpenter Technology Corp., Crucible Specialty Metals Division of Crucible Materials Corp., Electralloy Corp., Slater Steel Corp., Empire Specialty Steel, and the United Steelworkers of America (collectively, "the petitioners") filed a rebuttal brief on October 16, 2002. On October 28, 2002, Uday submitted additional written argument. As this submission did not meet the definition of case or rebuttal briefs outlined in the Department's regulations, the Department did not consider this submission in making its decision (*see* 19 CFR 351.309).

### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of stainless steel bar ("SSB"). SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut length rolled products which, if less than 4.75 mm in thickness, have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or, if 4.75 mm or more in thickness, have a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections.

The SSB subject to these reviews is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.19.00.50, 7222.20.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this new shipper administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" from Susan Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Richard Moreland, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated November 12, 2002, ("*Decision Memorandum*"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in Import Administration's Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

### Normal Value Comparisons

To determine whether sales of stainless steel bar from India to the United States were made at less than normal value, we compared export price to normal value. Our calculations followed the methodologies described in the preliminary results, except that we corrected a clerical error in the recalculation of Uday's imputed credit expense reported on its U.S. sale (*see Uday Engineering Works Final Results Calculation Memorandum* dated November 12, 2002).

### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following percentage margin exists for the period February 1 through July 31, 2001:

Producer/Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-Average Margin
Uday Engineering Works	19.80%

### Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that

importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rate was greater than *de minimis*, we calculated a per unit assessment rate by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).

Pursuant to its published announcement, the Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the Customs Service within 15 days of publication of these final results of review (*see* "Announcement Concerning Issuance of Liquidation Instructions Reflecting Results of Administrative Reviews" (August 9, 2002) (<http://ia.ita.doc.gov/download/liquidation-announcement.html>)).

### Cash Deposit Rates

The following antidumping duty deposits will be required on all shipments of stainless steel bar from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, effective on or after the publication date of the final results of this new shipper antidumping duty administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate indicated above; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation (*see Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 59 FR 66915 (December 28, 1994)).

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 12, 2002.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

**Appendix 1****Issues in Decision Memorandum**

*Comment 1.* Calculation of U.S. Imputed Credit Expenses

*Comment 2.* Variable Cost of Manufacturing

*Comment 3.* Duty Drawback

[FR Doc. 02-29347 Filed 11-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[I.D. 110102F]

**Endangered and Threatened Species; Take of Anadromous Fish**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Receipt of an application to modify an existing-scientific research/enhancement permit (1112) and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that NMFS has received an application for a permit modification from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) in Santa Cruz, CA (1112). The modified permit would affect five Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) of salmonids identified in Supplementary Information below. This document serves to notify the public of the availability of the permit modification application for review and comment before a final approval or disapproval is made by NMFS.

**DATES:** Written comments on the permit application must be received at the

appropriate address or fax number (see **ADDRESSES**) no later than 5 p.m. Pacific Standard Time on December 19, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on the modification request should be sent to the appropriate office as indicated below. Comments may also be sent via fax to the number indicated for the request. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the Internet. The applications and related documents are available for review in the indicated office, by appointment: Daniel Logan, Protected Species Division, NMFS, 777 Sonoma Avenue, Room 325, Santa Rosa, CA 95404 6528 (ph: 707 575 6053, fax: 707 578 3435). Documents may also be reviewed by appointment in the Office of Protected Resources, F/PR3, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910 3226 (301 713 1401).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel Logan at phone number 707-575-6053, or e-mail: [dan.logan@noaa.gov](mailto:dan.logan@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Authority**

Issuance of permits and permit modifications, as required by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 1543) (ESA), is based on a finding that such permits/modifications: (1) are applied for in good faith; (2) would not operate to the disadvantage of the listed species which are the subject of the permits; and (3) are consistent with the purposes and policies set forth in section 2 of the ESA. Authority to take listed species is subject to conditions set forth in the permits. Permits and modifications are issued in accordance with and are subject to the ESA and NMFS regulations governing listed fish and wildlife permits (50 CFR parts 222 226).

Those individuals requesting a hearing on an application listed in this notice should set out the specific reasons why a hearing on that application would be appropriate (see **ADDRESSES**). The holding of such a hearing is at the discretion of the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA. All statements and opinions contained in the permit action summaries are those of the applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of NMFS.

**Species Covered in This Notice**

This notice is relevant to the following five threatened salmonid ESUs: threatened California Coastal Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), threatened Central California Coast coho salmon (*O. kisutch*), threatened Central California

Coast steelhead (*O. mykiss*), threatened Northern California steelhead, and threatened South-central California Coast steelhead.

**Modification Request Received**

SWFSC requests a modification to permit 1112 for takes of adult and juvenile ESA-listed Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead associated with studies monitoring the ecology of salmonids in streams, estuaries, and the coastal ocean of California. Presently, permit 1112 authorizes take of juvenile, endangered, Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon. This requested modification would add intentional takes of threatened California Coastal Chinook salmon, threatened Central California Coast coho salmon, threatened Central California Coast steelhead, threatened Northern California Coast steelhead, and threatened South-central California Coast steelhead to SWFSC's permit.

Dated: November 12, 2002.

**Susan Pultz,**

*Acting Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 02-29358 Filed 11-18-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[I.D. 111202F]

**Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Pacific Fishery Management Council's (Council) Highly Migratory Species Plan Development Team (HMSPDT) will hold a work session, which is open to the public.

**DATES:** The HMSPDT will meet Tuesday, December 3, 2002 from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m.; and Wednesday, December 4, 2002 from 9 a.m. until business for the day is completed.

**ADDRESSES:** The work session will be held at the Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute, East Room, 2595 Ingraham Street, San Diego, CA 92109, telephone: (619) 226-3870.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200, Portland, OR 97220-1384.