

management and coordination plan, limited to 10 pages. The application must have a short abstract focusing on the goals of the research and an executive summary that includes research methodology and coordination plan for the research team. Attachments must include a brief biography for each investigator and external collaborator; a listing of all current and pending federal, state, and private support for each investigator listed in the budget; and letters of commitment from external collaborators not included in the budget. The required page and font format are: 8.5 inch x 11 inch page size; 1 inch top, bottom and right margins; 1.25 inch left margin; single, 1.5 or double line spacing; 12 pt font size for text and appropriate fonts for equations and symbolic notation. DOE is under no obligation to pay for any costs associated with the preparation or submission of applications.

The application must have the following ordered format:

- 1—Face page (DOE F 4650.2).
- 2—Table of contents.
- 3—Project abstract (400 word maximum).
- 4—Executive summary (3 page maximum).
- 5—Budget for each year and cumulative budget (DOE F 4620.1).
- 6—Budget explanation.
- 7—Cover page(s) with project title, names of project director and co-principal investigators and their affiliations. For multi-institutional applications, list the investigator names, their institutions, the yearly amount request from each institution and the yearly total request.
- 8—Research description (40 page maximum, including goals, background, research plan, previous results (if any), and research methodology).
- 9—Research management and coordination plan (10 page maximum).
- 10—References (including full titles).
- 11—Biographical sketches (3 page maximum per principal investigator and external collaborator).
- 12—Description of main facilities to be used in the research.
- 13—Current and pending support for each investigator listed in the budget(s).
- 14—Letters of commitment from external collaborators.
- 15—Federal certification pages for the submitting institution.
- 16—Appendix 1 (For multi-institutional applications only): original signed pages.
- 17—Appendix 2 (For multi-institutional applications only): combined budget sheets.

### Specific Instructions for Multi-Institutional Applications

The leading institution project director/principal investigator is responsible for the management and coordination of the overall effort and for submitting the application. If the application were funded, each institution would receive a separate grant or contract and there would be no subcontracts. Therefore, each institution must prepare and sign its own face page (item 1 listed above), budget sheets and explanation (items 5–6 above) and federal certification pages (item 15 above). On the face page, each institution should identify its principal investigator and specify its amount request. The project director/principal investigator of the leading institution must electronically or otherwise submit the application using the following format: (item 1) leading institution face page citing the amount requested by the leading institution; (items 2–15) body of the application including the leading institution's budget and explanation (items 5–6); (item 16) Appendix 1, containing all original budgets, explanations and federal certification pages from the other institutions; and (item 17) Appendix 2, containing a spreadsheet that combines the budgets from the multiple institutions in an easily readable format.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number for this program is 81.049, and the solicitation control number is ERFAP 10 CFR Part 605.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 10, 2002.

**John Rodney Clark,**

*Associate Director of Science for Resource Management.*

[FR Doc. 02–31649 Filed 12–16–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER03–244–000, et al.]

#### California Independent System Operator Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

December 9, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

### 1. California Independent System Operator Corporation

[Docket No. ER03–244–000]

Take notice that on December 5, 2002, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO), submitted an informational filing in accordance with Article IX, Section B of the Stipulation and Agreement approved by the Commission on May 28, 1999, California Independent System Operator Corp., 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. ISO states that this provision requires the ISO to provide on a confidential basis to the Commission (i) information regarding any notice from an RMR Unit requesting a change of Condition; (ii) the date the chosen Condition will begin; and (iii) if the change is from Condition 2, the applicable level of Fixed Option Payment.

The ISO also states that unredacted copies of this filing have been served, subject to the applicable Non-Disclosure and Confidentiality Agreement in the RMR Contract, on the designated RMR contact persons at the California Public Utilities Commission and the California Electricity Oversight Board. The ISO adds that redacted copies of this filing have been served, subject to the Non-Disclosure and Confidentiality Agreement in the RMR Contract, on the designated RMR contact persons at the relevant Responsible Utilities and the relevant RMR Owners. Moreover, the ISO indicates that redacted copies of this filing have been served on the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Electricity Oversight Board, the California Energy Commission and all parties with effective Scheduling Coordinator Agreements under the ISO Tariff.

*Comment Date:* December 26, 2002.

### 2. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER03–245–000]

Take notice that on December 5, 2002 PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Notice of Cancellation of FERC Electric Tariff, Volume 5 that was filed on July 9, 1996 in Docket No. OA96–13.

PECO requests that the cancellation be effective on February 3, 2003. PECO states that notice of the cancellation has been served to all 32 parties who have executed service under the Tariff.

*Comment Date:* December 26, 2002.

### Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211

and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number filed to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866)208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202)502-8659. Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-31637 Filed 12-16-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL00-95-071, et al.]

#### San Diego Gas & Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

December 10, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

#### 1. San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents

[Docket No. EL00-95-071]

Investigation of practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange.

[Docket No. EL00-98-060]

Public meeting in San Diego, California.

[Docket No. EL00-107-013]

Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc., Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc., and Southern Energy California, L.L.C., Complainants, v. California Independent System Operator Corporation, Respondent.

[Docket No. EL00-97-007]

California Electricity Oversight Board, Complainant, v. all sellers of energy and ancillary services into the energy and ancillary services markets operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents.

[Docket No. EL00-104-012]

California Municipal Utilities Association, Complainant, v. all jurisdictional sellers of energy and ancillary services into markets operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents.

[Docket No. EL01-1-013]

Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. (CARE), Complainant, v. Independent Energy Producers, Inc., and all sellers of energy and ancillary services into markets operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange; all scheduling coordinators acting on behalf of the above sellers; California Independent System Operator Corporation; and California Power Exchange Corporation, Respondents.

[Docket No. EL01-2-007]

Investigation of wholesale rates of public utility sellers of energy and ancillary services in the Western System Coordinating Council.

[Docket No. EL01-68-026]

Take notice that on December 2, 2002, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) tendered for filing a compliance filing made in compliance with the Commission's October 31, 2002, Order on Compliance Filing and Compliance Report. The compliance filing revises section 5.11 of the ISO's tariff, in accordance with the October 31 Order.

The ISO states that this filing has been served on all entities that are on the official service list for these dockets.

*Comment Date:* January 2, 2002.

#### 2. New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER00-3591-015, ER00-1969-017, ER00-3038-008, ER02-2081-002 and EL00-70-009]

Take notice that on December 2, 2002, the New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (NYISO) tendered for filing a compliance filing in accordance with the Commission's October 31,

2002, order in the above-captioned proceedings.

The NYISO has served a copy of this filing upon all parties designated on the official service lists compiled by the Secretary in these proceedings.

*Comment Date:* December 23, 2002.

#### 3. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER03-247-000]

Take notice that on December 6, 2002, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) tendered for filing a three-party letter agreement between SCE, Pure Power Energy Company, LLC (Pure Power Energy), and Wintec Energy, Ltd. (Wintec).

The purpose of the letter agreement is for SCE, Pure Power Energy and Wintec to agree upon a short-term arrangement pursuant to which SCE will engineer, design, and install additional protection equipment necessary to interconnect the demonstration project at SCE's Buckwind Substation; and for SCE to provide short-term, temporary interconnection service via the existing interconnection facilities at Buckwind Substation. SCE respectfully requests that the letter agreement become effective on November 29, 2002.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Pure Power Energy, and Wintec.

*Comment Date:* December 27, 2002.

#### 4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER03-248-000]

Take notice that on December 6, 2002, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing a revised Appendix B to service agreement no. 42 for Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) and an agreement for installation or allocation of special facilities, both between PG&E and the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART).

The revised NITS Appendix B reflects changes due to the BART-San Francisco International Airport Extension Project. The SFA permits PG&E to recover the ongoing costs associated with owning, operating and maintaining certain special facilities required by BART for interconnection with PG&E's system. As detailed in the special facilities agreement, PG&E proposes to charge BART an equivalent one-time payment cost of ownership charge equal to the rates for transmission-level, customer-financed facilities in PG&E's currently effective electric rule 2, as filed with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). PG&E's currently effective rate of 0.31% for transmission-level, customer-financed special facilities is