

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training
Administration****Workforce Investment Act of 1998
(WIA); Notice of Incentive Funding
Availability for Program Year (PY) 2000
Performance****AGENCY:** Employment and Training
Administration (ETA), Labor.**ACTION:** Notice.**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, in
collaboration with the Department of
Education, announces that 12 States are
eligible to apply for Workforce
Investment Act (WIA) (Pub. L. 105–220,
29 U.S.C. 2801 *et seq.*) incentive awards
under the WIA Regulations.**DATES:** The 12 eligible States must
submit their applications for incentive
funding to the Department of Labor by
June 17, 2002.**ADDRESSES:** Submit applications to the
Employment and Training
Administration, Performance
Accountability Task Force, 200
Constitution Avenue NW, Room N–
4470, Washington, DC 20210, Attention:
Christine Kulick, 202–693–3937
(phone), 202–693–3113 (fax), e-mail:
ckulick@doleta.gov. Please be advised
that mail delivery in the Washington,
DC area has been inconsistent because
of concerns about anthrax
contamination. States are encouraged to
submit applications via e-mail.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The
Performance Accountability Task Force:
Christine Kulick (phone: 202–693–3937
or e-mail: ckulick@doleta.gov) or Jim
Aaron (phone: 202–693–2814 or e-mail:
jaaron@doleta.gov). (These are not toll-
free numbers.) Information may also be
found at the *Web site*—[http://
usworkforce.org](http://usworkforce.org).**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** After the
first year of full implementation of the
Workforce Investment Act across the
country, 12 States (see list below) have
qualified to receive a share of the \$27.6
million available for incentive grant
awards under WIA section 503. These
funds are available to the States through
June 30, 2004, to support innovative
workforce development and education
activities that are authorized under titleI or title II (the Adult Education and
Family Literacy Act (AEFLA)) of WIA,
or under the Perkins Act (Pub. L. 105–
332, 20 U.S.C. 2301 *et seq.*)In order to qualify for a grant award,
a State must have exceeded performance
levels, agreed to by the Secretaries,
Governor, and State Education Officer,
for outcomes in WIA title I, adult
education (AEFLA), and vocational
education (Perkins Act) programs. The
goals included placement after training,
retention in employment, and
improvement in literacy levels, among
other measures. After review of the
performance data submitted by States to
the Department of Labor and to the
Department of Education, each
Department determined which States
would qualify for incentives for its
program(s). (See below for a list of the
States that qualified under all three
programs.) These lists of eligible States
were compared, and States that
qualified under all three programs are
eligible to receive an incentive grant
award. The amount that each State is
eligible to receive was determined by
the Department of Labor and the
Department of Education and is based
on WIA section 503(c) (20 U.S.C.
9273(c)) and is proportional to the total
funding received by these States for the
three programs.The States eligible to apply for
incentive grant awards, and the amounts
they are eligible to receive, are listed
below:

State	Amount of award
1. Connecticut	\$ 1,652,500
2. Florida	\$ 3,000,000
3. Idaho	\$ 975,500
4. Illinois	\$ 3,000,000
5. Indiana	\$ 2,896,500
6. Kentucky	\$ 3,000,000
7. Maine	\$ 819,700
8. Massachusetts	\$ 2,887,400
9. Michigan	\$ 3,000,000
10. North Dakota	\$ 750,000
11. Texas	\$ 3,000,000
12. Wisconsin	\$2,599,000

These eligible States must submit
their applications for incentive funding
to the Department of Labor by June 17,
2002. As set forth in the provisions of
WIA section 503(b)(2) (20 U.S.C.
9273(b)(2)), 20 CFR 666.220(b) andTraining and Employment Guidance
Letter (TEGL) No. 20–01, Application
Process for Workforce Investment Act
(WIA) Section 503 Incentive Grants,
Program Year 2000 Performance, which
is available at <http://usworkforce.org>,
the application must include assurances
that:A. The legislature of the State was
consulted with respect to the
development of the application.B. The application was approved by
the Governor, the eligible agency for
adult education (as defined in section
203(4) of WIA (20 U.S.C. 9202(4))) and
the State agency responsible for
vocational and technical education
programs (as defined in section 3(9) of
Perkins III (20 U.S.C. 2302(9))).C. The State and the eligible agency,
as appropriate, exceeded the State
adjusted levels of performance for WIA
title I, the State adjusted levels of
performance for the AEFLA, and the
performance levels established for
Perkins Act programs.In addition, States are requested to
provide a description of the planned use
of incentive grants as part of the
application process, to ensure that the
State's planned activities are innovative
and are otherwise authorized under the
WIA title I, the AEFLA, and/or the
Perkins Act as amended, as required by
WIA Section 503(a). TEGL No. 20–01
provides the specific application
process that States must follow to apply
for these funds.The applications may take the form of
a letter from the Governor, or designee,
to the Assistant Secretary of Labor,
Emily Stover DeRocco, Attention:
Christine Kulick, 200 Constitution
Avenue NW, Room N–4470,
Washington, DC 20210. In order to
expedite the application process, States
are encouraged to submit their
applications electronically to Christine
Kulick at ckulick@doleta.gov. The States
will receive their incentive awards by
June 30, 2002.Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of
April, 2002.**Emily Stover DeRocco,***Assistant Secretary for Employment and
Training.***BILLING CODE 4510–30–P**

State	PY 2000 Performance Qualifies State for Incentives			
	WIA (title I)	AEFLA (Adult Education)	Perkins Act (Vocational Education)	WIA title I; AEFLA; Perkins Act
1. Alabama		X		
2. Alaska		X	X	
3. Arizona		X	X	
4. Arkansas		X		
5. California		X	X	
6. Colorado	X	X		
7. Connecticut	X	X	X	X
8. District of Columbia		X	X	
9. Delaware		X		
10. Florida	X	X	X	X
11. Georgia		X	X	
12. Hawaii		X	X	
13. Idaho	X	X	X	X
14. Illinois	X	X	X	X
15. Indiana	X	X	X	X
16. Iowa			X	
17. Kansas		X		
18. Kentucky	X	X	X	X
19. Louisiana		X		
20. Maine	X	X	X	X
21. Maryland		X	X	
22. Massachusetts	X	X	X	X
23. Michigan	X	X	X	X
24. Minnesota			X	
25. Mississippi			X	
26. Missouri		X		
27. Montana		X	X	
28. Nebraska		X		
29. Nevada	X	X		
30. New Hampshire	X	X		
31. New Jersey		X	X	
32. New Mexico		X		
33. New York		X	X	
34. North Carolina		X	X	
35. North Dakota	X	X	X	X
36. Ohio		X	X	
37. Oklahoma		X		
38. Oregon	X		X	
39. Pennsylvania		X	X	
40. Puerto Rico			X	
41. Rhode Island	X	X		
42. South Carolina		X	X	
43. South Dakota		X	X	
44. Tennessee		X	X	
45. Texas	X	X	X	X
46. Utah		X	X	
47. Vermont			X	
48. Virginia		X	X	
49. Washington		X	X	
50. West Virginia		X		
51. Wisconsin	X	X	X	X
52. Wyoming		X	X	

[FR Doc. 02-10888 Filed 5-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-C

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration****[NAFTA-5218 and TA-W-39,831, TA-W-39,831A]****Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia, Chipman Union, Inc., Bryan Scott Plant, Greensboro, GA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration**

By letter dated January 16, 2002, the company, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's denial of North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) and Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers of Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia. The denial notice applicable to NAFTA-05218 was signed on December 17, 2001 and the denial notices for TA-W-39,831 and TA-W-39,831A were signed on December 14, 2001. The notices were published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2002, NAFTA-5218 (67 FR 1513); for TA-W-39,831 and TA-W-39,831A (67 FR 1508).

The workers of Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia (NAFTA-5218) engaged in activities related to the production of socks were denied NAFTA-TAA because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports from Canada and Mexico did not contribute importantly to worker separations. The subject firm did not import socks from Canada or Mexico during the relevant period. There was no shift in the production of socks from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The workers of Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia (TA-W-39-831) and Chipman Union, Inc., Bryan Scott Plant, Greensboro, Georgia (TA-W-39-831A) were denied TAA because criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Imports did not contribute importantly to the worker separations during the relevant period.

The request for reconsideration indicates that the company lost a license agreement, which accounted for a major portion of their sales. The request further indicated that the company that

was awarded the new license, imported the socks.

The Department contacted the company which was awarded the new license agreement and confirmed that the company that was awarded the license began importing the socks from Canada to the subject firm's domestic customers during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that increased imports of socks, including imports from Canada, contributed importantly to the decline in production and to the total or partial separation of workers at Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia (NAFTA-5218) and Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia (TA-W-39,831) and Chipman Union, Inc., Bryan Scott Plant, Greensboro, Georgia (TA-W-39,831A). In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following revised determination:

"All workers at Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia (NAFTA-5218), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 16, 2000, through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974;" and

"All workers at Chipman Union, Inc., Union Point, Georgia (TA-W-39,831) and Chipman Union, Inc., Bryan Scott Plant, Greensboro, Georgia (TA-W-39,831A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 6, 2000, through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 4th day of April, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-10892 Filed 5-1-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Occupational Safety and Health Administration****[Docket No. NACE-2002-1]****National Advisory Committee on Ergonomics**

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of intent to establish a National Advisory Committee on Ergonomics; request for nominations

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Labor intends to establish a Committee to advise the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health (Assistant Secretary) on ergonomic guidelines, research, and outreach, and assistance. The Committee will consist of not more than 15 members who will be selected based upon their expertise or experience with ergonomic issues. OSHA invites interested parties to submit nominations for membership on the Committee.

DATES: Nominations for membership (whether hard copy, electronic mail, or facsimile) must be received by June 17, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Nominations may be submitted in hard copy, electronic mail, or facsimile.

Submitting nominations in hard copy: Nominations for membership on the Committee may be hand-delivered, or sent by Express Mail or other overnight delivery service, to: U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA Docket Office, Docket NACE-2002-1, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20210, Telephone: (202) 693-2350.

Submitting nominations electronically: Nominations for membership on the Committee may be sent electronically from the OSHA website at <http://ecomments.osha.gov>. Nominations may also be faxed to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Bonnie Friedman, OSHA, Office of Public Affairs, Rm. N-3647, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210; Telephone: (202) 693-1999.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

On April 4, 2002, the Secretary of Labor announced a comprehensive approach to ergonomics. This approach consists of four prongs: Guidelines; Enforcement; Outreach and Assistance; and Research. In order for this comprehensive approach to be successful, the Secretary believes it is necessary and in the public interest to establish a National Advisory Committee on Ergonomics. The Committee will advise the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health (Assistant Secretary) on ergonomic guidelines, research, and outreach and assistance. Specifically, the Assistant Secretary intends to seek advice from the Committee in the following areas: (1) Information related to various industry or task-specific guidelines; (2) identification of gaps in the existing research based related to applying ergonomic principles to the